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1. Introduction

Differential equations of higher order with and without delay arise from a variety of applications including in various fields of science and engineering such as applied sciences, practical problems concerning mechanics, the engineering technique fields, economy, control systems, physics, chemistry, biology, medicine, atomic energy, information theory, harmonic oscillator, nonlinear oscillations, conservative systems, stability and instability of geodesic on Riemannian manifolds, dynamics in Hamiltonian systems, etc. (see [5, 9, 11–13, 22, 24, 29, 34, 37]).

In particular, problems concerning qualitative behaviors of linear and non-linear differential equations of the fourth order with and without delay have received the attention of many authors. Many criteria have been found, most of which based on the non-linearization of the famous Routh–Hurwitz criteria. Among numerous papers dealing with stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions, we refer in particular to the book of Reissig et al. [35] as a survey and the papers of Abou-El-Ela et al. [1], Abou-El-Ela et al. [2, 3], Adesina & Ogundare [4], Bereketoglu [6], Burganskaja [7], Cartwright [8], Chukwu [10], Ezeilo [14–16], Ezeilo & Tejumola [17], Harrow [18], Hu [19], Kang & Si [20], Kaufman & Harrow [21], Korkmaz & Tunc [23], Lalli & Skrakep [25–27], Lin et al. [28], Ogundare & Okecha [30], Ogurcov [31, 32], Okoronkwo [33], Sadek [36], Sinha [38], Skidmore [39], Skrakep & Lalli [40], Tejumola [41], Tejumola & Techengani [42], Tunc [43–55], Wu & Xiong [56], Yang [57] and the references cited therein.

In the literature, the first attempt for the following linear and non-linear differ-
Stability and boundedness of the fourth order

\[ x^{(4)} + a_1 x''' + a_2 x'' + a_3 x' + a_4 x = 0, \]
\[ x^{(4)} + a_1 x''' + a_2 x'' + a_3 x' + f(x) = 0 \]

and

\[ x^{(4)} + a_1 x''' + a_2 x'' + \psi(x)x' + f(x) = 0 \]

was due to Cartwright [8], who investigated the asymptotic stability of zero solution of these equations by the Lyapunov’s second method based on the use of the Routh–Hurwitz criteria. The key idea in [8] is to transform the each of the above differential equations into an equivalent system of first order differential equations and then to construct an appropriate Lyapunov’s function which guarantees the asymptotic stability of the solution. Throughout the above mentioned book and papers, the authors followed the approach as presented in [8] and the stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions for fourth order differential equations with and without delay were studied. It should be noted that a disadvantage of the Lyapunov’s second method is that construction of a Lyapunov function, which gives meaningful results, remains as an open problem in the literature by this time.

This paper is concerned with the problems of stability and boundedness of solutions to the fourth order nonlinear differential equation with multiple variable delays

\[ x^{(4)} + \phi(x')x''' + h(x, x', x'') \]
\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x'(t - r_i(t))) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x(t - r_i(t))) = p(t, x, x', x'', x''') \]

or its equivalent system

\[ x' = y, \]
\[ y' = z, \]
\[ z' = u, \]
\[ u' = -\phi(z)u - h(x, y, z) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x) \]
\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} g_i'(y(s))z(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} f_i'(x(s))y(s)ds \]
\[ + p(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t)), \]

where \( \phi, h, g_i, f_i \) and \( p \) depend only on the variables displayed explicitly and \( r_i(t) \) are positive bounded delays with \( r(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(t) \), \( r'(t) \leq \gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1 \). It is assumed as basic that the functions \( \phi, h, g_i, f_i \) and \( p \) are continuous in their respective arguments and satisfy a Lipschitz condition in \( x, y, z \) and \( u \); \( h(x, y, 0) = g_i(0) = f_i(0) = 0 \) and the derivatives \( \frac{dg_i}{dy} = g_i'(y) \) and \( \frac{df_i}{dx} = f_i'(x) \) exist and are also continuous.

The motivation of this paper comes by the above mentioned results on the fourth order differential equations. This paper is the first attempt on the stability
and boundedness of solutions of fourth order differential equations with multiple variable delays. Our results complement and improve some recent ones in the literature. By this we mean that when we check the related literature, there are many papers on the stability and boundedness of the solutions to certain nonlinear differential equations of fourth order without and with delay (See the references of this paper). However, we cannot find any paper on the same topic for the differential equations with multiple delays. Here, we will get some results for certain nonlinear differential equations of fourth order with multiple delays. Besides, when $r_i(t) = 0$, ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$) in Eq.(1.1), the considered equation and assumptions to be established here reduce that in the literature. However, we would not like to give details here. Therefore, the results of this paper include and improve some related ones in the literature.

2. Preliminaries

We also consider the general autonomous delay differential system

$$\dot{x} = f(x_t), \quad x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta), \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.1** (Sinha [38]). Suppose $f(0) = 0$. Let $V$ be a continuous functional defined on $C_H = C$ with $V(0) = 0$, and let $u(s)$ be a function, non-negative and continuous for $0 \leq s < \infty$, $u(s) \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$ with $u(0) = 0$. If for all $\phi \in C$, $u(|\phi(0)|) \leq V(\phi)$, $V(\phi) \geq 0, V(\phi) \leq 0$, then the solution $x = 0$ of Eq.(2.1) is stable. If we define $Z = \{\phi \in C_H : V(\phi) = 0\}$, then the solution $x = 0$ of Eq.(2.1) is asymptotically stable, provided that the largest invariant set in $Z$ is $Q = \{0\}$.

3. The main results

For convenience, we shall introduce the notations:

$$\phi_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \phi(\tau) d\tau, & z \neq 0, \\ \phi(0), & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h_1(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(x,y,z)}{z}, & z \neq 0, \\ h(x,y), & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$G(y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g_i(y)}{y}, & y \neq 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i'(0), & y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Our first main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $P(.) \equiv 0$. In addition to the basic assumptions imposed on $\phi, h, g, \text{ and } f_i$, we assume that there are positive constants $a, b, c, d, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $k_i, c_i$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$) such that:
(i) \[ abc - c \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i - ad\phi(z) \geq \delta > 0, \ g_i'(y) \leq k_i, \text{ for all } y \text{ and } z; \]

(ii) \[ 0 < d - \frac{ab}{4c} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i'(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \leq d, \ 0 < f_i'(x) \leq c_i \text{ for all } x; \]

(iii) \[ 0 \leq G(y) - c < \frac{\delta}{8c} \sqrt{\frac{d}{2ac}} \text{ for all } y; \]

(iv) \[ 0 \leq h_i(x, y, z) - b \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2d}, \text{ for all } z (z \neq 0) \text{ in which } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2d^2}, D = ab + \frac{bc}{d}; \]

(v) \[ \phi(z) \geq a, \phi_1(z) - \phi(z) < \frac{\delta}{2a^2}, \text{ for all } z; \]

(vi) \[ \left| \frac{\partial h(x, y, z)}{\partial x} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\beta\delta}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \left| \frac{\partial h(x, y, z)}{\partial y} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{10c}. \]

If

\[ r(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(t) < \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon c}{2(\beta d + \beta ab + 2\lambda)}, \frac{\delta}{8a c(ab + d + 2\mu)}, \frac{2a c}{\alpha(ab + d)} \right\} \]

with \( \alpha = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{a}, \beta = \varepsilon + \frac{d}{c}, \lambda = \frac{d(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0, \mu = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0, \) then the zero solution of system Eq.(1.2) is asymptotically stable.

**Remark 3.1.** Conditions (i) and (v) imply

\[ \phi(z) < \frac{bc}{d}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i < ab, a \varepsilon \leq 1. \]  

\[ (3.1) \]

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( P(.) \neq 0. \) Assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and the following assumption hold;

\[ |p(t, x, y, z, u)| \leq q(t), \]

where \( \max q(t) < \infty \) and \( q \in L^1(0, \infty), L^1(0, \infty) \) is space of integrable Lebesgue functions. If

\[ r(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(t) < \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon c}{2(\beta d + \beta ab + 2\lambda)}, \frac{\delta}{8a c(ab + d + 2\mu)}, \frac{2a c}{\alpha(ab + d)} \right\} \]

with \( \alpha = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{a}, \beta = \varepsilon + \frac{d}{c}, \lambda = \frac{d(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0, \mu = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0, \) then there exists a finite positive constant \( K \) such that the solution \( x(t) \) of Eq.(1.1) defined by the initial function

\[ x(t) = \psi(t), \ x'(t) = \psi'(t), \ x''(t) = \psi''(t), \ x'''(t) = \psi'''(t), \text{ where } t \in [t_0 - r, t_0], \]

satisfies the inequalities

\[ |x(t)| \leq K, \ |x'(t)| \leq K, \ |x''(t)| \leq K, \ |x'''(t)| \leq K, \]

for all \( t \geq t_0, \) where \( \psi \in C^3([t_0 - r, t_0], R). \)
Proof of Theorem 3.1. To prove the theorem, we define a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional by

\[
2V = 2\beta \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta + b\beta y^2 - \alpha dy^2 + 2 \int_0^y \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\eta)d\eta \\
+ 2\alpha \int_0^z h(x, y, \tau)d\tau + 2 \int_0^z \phi(\tau)d\tau - \beta z^2 + \alpha u^2 \\
+ 2y \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) + 2\alpha z \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) + 2\alpha z \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(y) \\
+ 2\beta y \int_0^z \phi(\tau)d\tau + 2\beta yu + 2zu \\
+ 2\lambda \int_{-r(t)}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta)d\theta ds + 2\mu \int_{-r(t)}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta)d\theta ds, \tag{3.2}
\]

where \(\alpha = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \beta = \varepsilon + \frac{2}{\beta}\) and \(\lambda, \mu\) are positive constants that will be determined later in the proof. It is clear that \(V(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0\). We write the expression of \(2V\) in the following form:

\[
2V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5, \tag{3.3}
\]

where

\[
V_1 = 2\beta \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta - \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right]^2,
\]

\[
V_2 = \left[ b\beta - \alpha d - \beta^2 \phi_1(z) \right] y^2 + 2 \int_0^y \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\eta)d\eta - cy^2,
\]

\[
V_3 = \left[ 2\alpha \int_0^z h(x, y, \tau)d\tau - (\beta + \alpha^2 c)z^2 \right] + \left[ 2 \int_0^z \phi(\tau)d\tau - \phi_1(z)z^2 \right],
\]

\[
V_4 = \left[ \alpha - \frac{1}{\phi_1(z)} \right] u^2 + 2\alpha yz \left[ G(y) - c \right],
\]

\[
V_5 = \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) + cy + \alpha cz \right]^2 + \frac{1}{\phi_1(z)} \left[ u + \phi_1(z)z + \beta \phi_1(z)y \right]^2,
\]

\[
+ 2\lambda \int_{-r(t)}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta)d\theta ds + 2\mu \int_{-r(t)}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta)d\theta ds.
\]

By using the mean value theorem for derivatives and (ii), it follows that

\[
V_1 = 2\beta \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta - \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right]^2
\]

\[
= 2 \left( \varepsilon + \frac{d}{c} \right) \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta - \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right]^2
\]

\[
= 2 \int_0^x \left[ \left( \varepsilon + \frac{d}{c} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) d\zeta
\]
\[\geq 2 \int_0^x \left( \varepsilon + \frac{d}{c} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta \]
\[\geq 2\varepsilon \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\zeta) d\zeta \]
\[\geq \varepsilon \left( d - \frac{\alpha \delta}{4c} \right) x^2. \quad (3.4)\]

Because of the mean value theorem for derivatives, from condition (iii) and Eq.(3.1), we have \(c < ab\). By using the mean value theorem for integrals, we have
\[\phi_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \phi(s) ds = \phi(\gamma z), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1. \quad (3.5)\]

In view of (i), (iii), Eq.(3.1), and Eq.(3.5), it follows
\[V_2 = [b\beta - \alpha d - \beta^2 \phi_1(z)] y^2 + 2 \int_0^y \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\eta) d\eta - cy^2 \]
\[\geq [b\beta - \alpha d - \beta^2 \phi(\theta_1 z)] y^2, \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 \leq 1. \quad (3.6)\]

By noting the estimate \(\phi'_1(z) = -\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \int_0^z \phi(\tau) d\tau + \frac{\phi(z)}{z}\), it can be written
\[z^2 \phi'_1(z) = \int_0^z \phi_1(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^z \phi(\tau) \tau d\tau. \quad (3.7)\]

From (i), (iii)-(v), Eq.(3.1) and Eq.(3.7), we get
\[V_3 = \left[ 2\alpha \int_0^z h(x, y, \tau) d\tau - (\beta + \alpha^2 c)z^2 \right] + \left[ 2 \int_0^z \phi(\tau) d\tau - \phi_1(z) z^2 \right] \]
\[\geq [ab - \beta - \alpha^2 c] z^2 + \int_0^z [\phi(\tau) - \phi_1(\tau)] \tau d\tau \]
\[\geq \left( \frac{\delta}{2a^2 c} \right) z^2. \quad (3.8)\]

It is also clear that
\[\alpha - \frac{1}{\phi_1(z)} u^2 = \left[ \varepsilon + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{\phi_1(z)} \right] u^2 \]
\[\geq \left[ \varepsilon + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a} \right] u^2 = \varepsilon u^2 \]

and
\[|2\alpha y z [G(y) - c]| \leq \frac{4}{a} |yz [G(y) - c]| \]
\[\leq \frac{\delta}{2ac} \sqrt{\frac{d}{2ac}} yz \]
\[\leq \frac{\delta d}{4ac^2} y^2 + \frac{\delta}{8a^2 c} z^2. \]
Then
\[ V_4 \geq \varepsilon u^2 - \frac{\delta d}{4ac^2} y^2 - \frac{\delta}{8a^2 c} z^2. \]  
(3.9)

Combining estimates Eq.(3.4), Eq.(3.6), Eq.(3.8) and Eq.(3.9), we obtain
\[ 2V \geq \varepsilon \left( d - \frac{a\delta}{4c} \right) x^2 + \left( \frac{\delta d}{4ac^2} \right) y^2 + \varepsilon u^2 + \frac{\delta}{8a^2 c} z^2 + V_5. \]  
(3.10)

Calculating the time derivative of \( V \) along solutions of system Eq.(1.2), we have
\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = - \left[ d - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f'_i(x) \right] \left( y + \frac{\alpha}{2} z \right)^2 - [h_1(x, y, z) - b] \left( z + \frac{\beta}{2} y \right)^2 - \left[ \beta G(y) - d \right] y^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} [h_1(x, y, z) - b] y^2 - \left[ b - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i(y) - \beta \phi_1(z) \right] z^2 + \alpha^2 \left[ d - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f'_i(x) \right] z^2 + \alpha y \int_0^z \frac{\partial h(x, y, \tau)}{\partial x} d\tau + \alpha z \int_0^z \frac{\partial h(x, y, \tau)}{\partial y} ds + (\alpha u + \beta y + z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} g'_i(y(s))z(s)ds + (\alpha u + \beta y + z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} f'_i(x(s))y(s)ds + (\alpha u + \beta u + z) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} y^2(s)ds + \mu z^2 r(t) + \lambda z^2 r(t) - \lambda(1-r'(t)) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} y^2(s)ds - \mu(1-r'(t)) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^2(s)ds. \]  
(3.11)

By conditions (i)-(vi), Eq.(3.5) and \( a\varepsilon < 1 \), it is obvious that
\[ \beta G(y) - d \geq \varepsilon \varepsilon, \varepsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2acD} < \frac{d}{c} \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left[ h_1(x, y, z) - b \right] \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{2}, \]
and
\[ b - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i(y) - \beta \phi_1(z) \geq \frac{1}{ac} \left[ abc - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i(y) - ad\phi(\gamma z) \right] - ab\varepsilon - \varepsilon \phi(\gamma z) \]
\[ \geq \frac{\delta}{2ac}, \]  
(3.12)

and
\[ \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \left[ d - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f'_i(x) \right] < \frac{\delta}{4ac}, \quad \alpha \phi(z) - 1 \geq a\varepsilon, \]
\[ \alpha \int_0^z \frac{\partial h(x, y, \tau)}{\partial x} y d\tau \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{4} y^2 + \frac{\delta}{8ac} z^2, \quad \alpha \int_0^z \frac{\partial h(x, y, \tau)}{\partial y} z d\tau \leq \frac{\delta}{16ac} z^2. \]
Hence, we get
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\frac{c\varepsilon}{4}y^2 - \frac{\delta}{16ac}z^2 - a\varepsilon u^2
\]
\[
+ (\alpha u + \beta y + z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} f'_i(x(s))y(s)ds
\]
\[
+ (\alpha u + \beta y + z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_i(t)}^{t} g'_i(y(s))z(s)ds + \lambda y^2 r(t) + \mu z^2 r(t)
\]
\[
- \lambda (1 - r'(t)) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} y^2(s)ds - \mu (1 - r'(t)) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^2(s)ds.
\] (3.13)

Because of \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f'_i(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \leq d, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i(y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \leq ab\) and \(2mn \leq m^2 + n^2\), we can write
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{c\varepsilon}{2} - (ab\beta + \beta d + 2\lambda) r \right] y^2 - \left[ a\varepsilon - \frac{\alpha}{2} (ab + d) r \right] u^2
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\delta}{8ac} - (ab + d + 2\mu) r \right] z^2
\]
\[
+ \left[ \frac{d}{2} (\alpha + \beta + 1) - \lambda (1 - \gamma) \right] \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} y^2(s)ds
\]
\[
+ \left[ \frac{ab}{2} (\alpha + \beta + 1) - \mu (1 - \gamma) \right] \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^2(s)ds.
\] (3.14)

Let \(\lambda = \frac{d(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0\) and \(\mu = \frac{ab(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{2(1 - \gamma)} > 0\). Then
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{c\varepsilon}{2} - (ab\beta + \beta d + 2\lambda) r \right] y^2 - \left[ a\varepsilon - \frac{\alpha}{2} (ab + d) r \right] u^2
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\delta}{8ac} - (ab + d + 2\mu) r \right] z^2.
\] (3.15)

Therefore, if
\[
r(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(t) < \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon c}{2(\beta d + \beta ab + 2\lambda)}, \frac{\delta}{8ac(ab + d + 2\mu)}, \frac{2a\varepsilon}{\alpha(ab + d)} \right\},
\]
then we have
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\sigma (y^2 + z^2 + u^2), \text{ for some constants } \sigma > 0.
\] (3.16)

Using \(\frac{dV}{dt} = 0\) and system Eq.(1.2), we can easily obtain \(x = y = z = u = 0\). This means that the largest invariant set in \(Z\) is \(Q = \{0\}\). Hence, all the conditions in Lemma 2.1 are satisfied, and so the zero solution of Eq.(1.1) is asymptotically stable. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete. \(\square\)
Theorem 3.2. From equality Eq.(3.10), we have
\[ V \geq D_1 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2), \quad (3.17) \]
where \( D_1 = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \varepsilon \left( d - \frac{a_0}{\alpha} \right), \frac{a_0}{\alpha}, \varepsilon u^2 \right\} \). Taking the total derivative of Eq.(3.17) with respect to \( t \) along the trajectory of system Eq.(1.2), we obtain
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\sigma (y^2 + z^2 + u^2) + |\alpha y + \beta z| |p(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t))| \\
\leq |\alpha y + \beta z| |p(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t))| \\
\leq D_2 (|y| + |z| + |u|) q(t),
\]
where \( D_2 = \max \{\alpha, \beta, 1\} \). Now, making use of the estimate \(|y| < 1 + y^2\), it is clear that
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq D_2 (3 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2) q(t).
\]
By Eq.(3.17), we also have
\[
(y^2 + z^2 + u^2) \leq (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2) \leq D_1^{-1} V(x, y, z, u).
\]
Hence
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq D_2 (3 + D_1^{-1} V(x, y, z, u)) q(t) \\
= 3D_2 q(t) + D_2 D_1^{-1} V(x, y, z, u) q(t).
\]
Now, integrating the last inequality from 0 to \( t \), using the assumption \( q \in L^1(0, \infty) \) and Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality, we obtain
\[
V(x, y, z, u) \leq V(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) + 3D_2 A + D_2 D_1^{-1} \int_0^t V(x_s, y_s, z_s, u_s) q(s) ds \\
\leq (V(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) + 3D_2 A) \exp \left( D_2 D_1^{-1} \int_0^t q(s) ds \right) \\
\leq (V(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) + 3D_2 A) \exp (D_2 D_1^{-1} A) = K_1 < \infty, \quad (3.18)
\]
where \( K_1 > 0 \) is constant, \( (V(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) + 3D_2 A) \exp (D_2 D_1^{-1} A) = K_1 < \infty \) and \( A = \int_0^t q(s) ds \). Now, the inequalities Eq.(3.17) and Eq.(3.18) together yield that
\[
x^2(t) + y^2(t) + z^2(t) + u^2(t) \leq D_1^{-1} V(x_t, y_t, z_t, u_t) \leq K^2,
\]
where \( K^2 = K_1 D_1^{-1} \). Thus, we can conclude that
\[
|x(t)| \leq K, \quad |y(t)| \leq K, \quad |z(t)| \leq K, \quad |u(t)| \leq K
\]
for all \( t \geq t_0 \). That is,
\[
|x(t)| \leq K, \quad |x'(t)| \leq K, \quad |x''(t)| \leq K, \quad |x'''(t)| \leq K
\]
for all \( t \geq t_0 \). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed. \( \square \)
Example 3.1. Consider for $n = 2$ in Eq.(1.1) the fourth order nonlinear differential equation with multiple variable delays

\[
x^{(4)} + (2 + \cos x')x''' + ((50.4)z + 0.4 \sin x \cos x' \sin x') + 4x'(t - r_1(t)) + 0.5 \sin x'(t - r_1(t)) + 7x'(t - r_2(t)) + 0.5 \sin x'(t - r_2(t)) + x(t - r_1(t)) + 0.9 \sin x(t - r_1(t)) + 2x(t - r_2(t)) + \sin x(t - r_2(t)) = \frac{2}{1 + t^2 + \exp(x) + (x')^4 + \sin^2 x + (x'')^2} \tag{3.19}
\]

or its equivalent system

\[
x' = y, \\
y' = z, \\
z' = u, \\
u' = -(2 + \cos z)u - ((50.4)z + 0.4 \sin x \cos y \sin z) - (4y + 0.5 \sin y) \\
-(7y + 0.5 \sin y) - (x + 0.9 \sin x) - (2x + \sin x) + \int_{t-r_1(t)}^{t} (4 + 0.5 \cos y)z ds \\
+ \int_{t-r_1(t)}^{t} (7 + 0.5 \cos y)z ds + \int_{t-r_1(t)}^{t} (1 + 0.9 \cos x) y ds \\
+ \int_{t-r_2(t)}^{t} (2 + \cos x) y ds + \frac{2}{1 + t^2 + \exp(x) + y^4 + \sin^2 z + u^2},
\]

where $r_1(t), r_2(t)$ are positive bounded delays with $r(t) = \max\{r_1(t), r_2(t)\}$, $r'(t) \leq \gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1$.

\[
\phi(z) = 2 + \cos z, \quad \phi(z) \geq 1, \quad a = 1, \quad \phi_1(z) - \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z} (2 + \cos \tau) d\tau - (2 + \\
\cos z) < 2 < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}\alpha}, \quad \text{for all} \quad g_1(y) = 4y + 0.5 \sin y, \quad g_1(0) = 0, \quad g_1'(y) < 4.5, \\
k_1 = 4.5, \quad g_2(y) = 7y + 0.5 \sin y, \quad g_2(0) = 0, \quad g_2'(y) < 7.5, \quad k_2 = 7.5, \quad f_1(x) = \\
x + 0.9 \sin x, \quad f_1(0) = 0, \quad 0 < f_1(x) < 2, \quad c_1 = 2, \quad f_2(x) = 2x + \sin x, \quad f_2(0) = 0, \\
1 < f_2'(x) < 3, \quad c_2 = 3, \quad d = 10, \quad G(y) = \begin{cases} \\
\frac{11y + \sin y}{y}, & y \neq 0 \\
12, & y = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

for all $y, c = 10, h(x, y, z) = (50.4)z + 0.4 \sin x \cos y \sin z, h(x, y, 0) = 0, \\
h_1(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \\
(50.4)z + 0.4 \sin x \cos y \sin z, & z \neq 0 \\
h(x, y, z), & z = 0
\end{cases}, \quad 50 \leq 50.4 + \frac{0.4 \sin x \cos y \sin z}{z} \leq 50.8,
\]

for all $z (z \neq 0), b = 50, \frac{|\partial h(x, y, z)|}{\partial x} = |0.4 \cos x \cos y \sin z| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \alpha \delta}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{|\partial h(x, y, z)|}{\partial y} = \\
|-0.4 \sin x \sin y \sin z| \leq \frac{\delta}{16}, \quad \delta = 350, \quad D = 100, \quad \varepsilon = 0.17, \\
p(t, x, y, z, u) = \frac{2}{1 + t^2 + \exp(x) + y^4 + \sin^2 z + u^2} \leq \frac{2}{1 + t^2} = q(t), \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1 + t^2} ds = \pi < \infty \quad \text{that is} \quad q \in L^1(0, \infty). \quad \text{Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 hold. That is, null solution of Eq.(3.19) is asymptotically stable and all solutions of the same equation are bounded, when $p \equiv 0$ and $p \neq 0$ in Eq.(3.19), respectively.}
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