# EXTREMAL SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH MULTI-ORDERS FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES\*

Lihong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Juan J. Nieto<sup>2</sup> and Guotao Wang<sup>1,†</sup>

**Abstract** In this paper, by employing the lower and upper solutions method, we give an existence theorem for the extremal solutions for a nonlinear impulsive differential equations with multi-orders fractional derivatives and integral boundary conditions. A new comparison result is also established.

**Keywords** Multi-orders fractional derivatives, Impulse, Multi-orders fractional integral boundary conditions, Nonlinear fractional differential equations, Extremal solutions.

MSC(2010) 34A08, 34B15, 34B37.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study an integral boundary problem of nonlinear impulsive differential equations with fractional derivatives involving several orders and given by

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad t \in J', \\
\triangle u(t_{k}) = I_{k}(u(t_{k})), & \triangle u'(t_{k}) = I_{k}^{*}(u(t_{k})), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\
u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0, & t_{k} < \eta_{k} < t_{k+1},
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  ${}^CD^{\alpha_k}_{t_k^+}$  is the Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha_k$  and  $\mathcal{J}^{\beta_k}_{t_k^+}$  is fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of order  $\beta_k > 0$ .  $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $I_k, I_k^* \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\lambda_k, \eta_k$  are positive constants. J = [0, T](T > 0),  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < \cdots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$ ,  $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_p\}$ ,  $\Delta u(t_k) = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-)$ , where  $u(t_k^+)$  and  $u(t_k^-)$  denote the right and the left limits of u(t) at  $t = t_k(k = 1, 2, \cdots, p)$ , respectively.  $\Delta u'(t_k)$  have a similar meaning for u'(t).

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger the$  corresponding author. Email address: wgt2512@163.com(G.Wang)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen, Shanxi 041004, China

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Departamento}$  de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>\*</sup>This work is supported by NNSF of China(No.11501342), the Scientific and Technologial Innovation Programs of Higher Education Institutions in Shanxi, China(Nos.2014135 and 2014136).

Boundary value problems for fractional differential equations have considered by many authors recently. See, for example, [1–6,10,11,13,16–18,22] and the references therein. The concept of solution is based on [15] and we show the existence of extremal solutions by using the classical Monotone Iterative Technique [7,9,12,14,19–21].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us fix  $J_0 = [0, t_1], J_{k-1} = (t_{k-1}, t_k], k = 2, \dots, p+1$  with  $t_{p+1} = T$  and introduce the Banach space:

$$PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{u : J \to \mathbb{R} \mid u \in C(J_k), \ k = 0, 1, \dots, p \text{ and } u(t_k^+) \text{ exist}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, p \}$$
 with the norm  $||u|| = \sup_{t \in J} |u(t)|$ .

$$AC^n(J) = \{h : J \to \mathbb{R} : h, h', \dots, h^{(n-1)} \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } h^{(n-1)} \text{ is absolutely continuous}\}.$$

For the reader's convenience, we present some necessary definitions from fractional calculus theory and several important Lemmas.

**Definition 2.1** ([8]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha$  for a function  $f \in L^1([d,\infty),\mathbb{R})$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_{d^{+}}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{d}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \ \alpha > 0,$$

provided the integral exists.

**Definition 2.2** ([8]). The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  for a function  $f \in AC^n[d,\infty)$  is defined by

$$^{C}D_{d+}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{d}^{t} (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \ n = [\alpha] + 1,$$

where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of real number  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For a given  $y \in C[0,T]$  and constants  $\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{I}_k^*(k=1,2,\cdots,p_n)$ , the impulsive integral boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) = y(t), & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \quad t \in J', \\ \triangle u(t_{k}) = \mathcal{I}_{k}, & \triangle u'(t_{k}) = \mathcal{I}_{k}^{*}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds + \mathcal{A}, & t \in J_0; \\ \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i^* \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k (t - t_k) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i^* \right] + \mathcal{A}, \\ t \in J_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)}\right)^{-1} \times \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \int_{t_{k}}^{\eta_{k}} \frac{(\eta_{k} - s)^{\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k})} y(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}} (t_{k} - t_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k} + 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 2)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \kappa\right\}.$$

**Proof.** Let u be a solution of (2.1). Then, by [8, Lemma 2.22, pp 96], for any  $t \in J_0$ , we have

$$u(t) = \mathcal{J}_0^{\alpha_0} y(t) - c_1 - c_2 t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds - c_1 - c_2 t, \quad t \in J_0, (2.3)$$

for some  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Differentiating (2.3), we get

$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 - 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha_0 - 2} y(s) ds - c_2, \ t \in J_0.$$

If  $t \in J_1$ , then

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_1 - 1} y(s) ds - d_1 - d_2(t-t_1),$$
  
$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_1 - 2} y(s) ds - d_2,$$

for some  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} u(t_1^-) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds - c_1 - c_2 t_1, \qquad u(t_1^+) = -d_1, \\ u'(t_1^-) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 - 1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 2} y(s) ds - c_2, \qquad u'(t_1^+) = -d_2. \end{split}$$

Using the impulse conditions

$$\triangle u(t_1) = u(t_1^+) - u(t_1^-) = \mathcal{I}_1, \ \triangle u'(t_1) = u'(t_1^+) - u'(t_1^-) = \mathcal{I}_1^*,$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -d_1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds - c_1 - c_2 t_1 + \mathcal{I}_1, \\ -d_2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 - 1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 2} y(s) ds - c_2 + \mathcal{I}_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{split} u(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_1 - 1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds \\ & + \frac{t - t_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 - 1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_0 - 2} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_1 + (t - t_1) \mathcal{I}_1^* - c_1 - c_2 t, \ t \in J_1. \end{split}$$

By a similar process, we get

$$u(t) = \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k})} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{1} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_{k}-t_{i}) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{1}^{*} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (t-t_{k}) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{1}^{*} \right] - c_{1} - c_{2}t,$$

$$t \in J_{k}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

$$(2.4)$$

The boundary condition u'(0) = 0 implies  $c_2 = 0$ . For  $t \in J_k$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(t) = \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k})} y(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(t-t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k}+1)} \Big[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s)ds + \mathcal{I}_{1} \Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(t-t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}(t_{k}-t_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{k}+1)} \Big[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} y(s)ds + \mathcal{I}_{1}^{*} \Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(t-t_{k})^{\beta_{k}+1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k}+2)} \Big[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} y(s)ds + \mathcal{I}_{1}^{*} \Big] - \frac{c_{1}(t-t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k}+1)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Applying the boundary condition  $u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_k \mathcal{J}_{t_k^+}^{\beta_k} u(\eta_k) + \kappa$ , we find

$$-c_{1} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\lambda_{k}(\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)}\right)^{-1} \times \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \int_{t_{k}}^{\eta_{k}} \frac{(\eta_{k} - s)^{\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k})} y(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k}(\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k}(\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}(t_{k} - t_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k}(\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k} + 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 2)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \kappa \right\}.$$

Substituting the value of  $c_i$  (i = 1, 2) in (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the unique solution u(t) of impulsive fractional integral boundary value problem (2.1), which is given by expression (2.2), This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2** (Comparison theorem). If 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\lambda_k (\eta_k - t_k)^{\beta_k}}{\Gamma(\beta_k + 1)} < 1$$
 and  $u(t) \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap$ 

 $AC^2(J_k)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) \geq 0, & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \quad t \in J', \\ \triangle u(t_{k}) \geq 0, & \triangle u'(t_{k}) \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ u(0) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(\eta_{k}), \quad u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6)$$

Then  $u(t) \ge 0, \ \forall t \in J$ .

**Proof.** Consider a modified version of problem (2.1):

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) = y(t), & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad t \in J', \\
\Delta u(t_{k}) = \mathcal{I}_{k}, & \Delta u'(t_{k}) = \mathcal{I}_{k}^{*}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\
u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}} u(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0,
\end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where  $y(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{I}_k^*(k = 1, 2, \dots, p)$ ,  $\kappa$  are nonnegative constants. Then, the problem (2.7) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_0 - 1} y(s) ds + \mathfrak{B}, \ t \in J_0; \\ \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_k - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i^* \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k (t - t_k) \left[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{(t_i - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_i^* \right] + \mathfrak{B}, \\ t \in J_k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.8)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)}\right)^{-1} \times \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \int_{t_{k}}^{\eta_{k}} \frac{(\eta_{k} - s)^{\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k} + \beta_{k})} y(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1})} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k}} (t_{k} - t_{i})}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 1)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{k} (\eta_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta_{k} + 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{k} + 2)} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i} - s)^{\alpha_{i-1} - 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1} - 1)} y(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_{i}^{*}\right] + \kappa\right\}.$$

On account of the nonnegative nature of function y(t) and constants  $\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{I}_k^*, \kappa$ , then by (2.8), the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 holds.

## 3. Main results

**Definition 3.1.** We say that u(t) is called a lower solution of (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) \leq f(t, u(t)), & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \quad t \in J', \\ \triangle u(t_{k}) \leq I_{k}(u(t_{k})), & \triangle u'(t_{k}) \leq I_{k}^{*}(u(t_{k})), \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ u(0) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k}\mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and it is an upper solution of (1.1) if the above inequalities are reversed.

To prove the existence of extremal solutions of problem (1.1), we need the following fixed point theorem in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.1** ([7]). Let [a,b] be an order interval in a subset Y of an ordered Banach space X and let  $Q:[a,b] \to [a,b]$  be a nondecreasing mapping. If each sequence  $\{Qx_n\} \subset Q([a,b])$  converges, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a monotone sequence in [a,b], then the sequence of Q-iteration of a converges to the least fixed point  $x_*$  of Q and the sequence of Q-iteration of B converges to the greatest fixed point B of B. Moreover,

$$x_* = \min\{y \in [a, b]: y \ge Qy\} \text{ and } x^* = \max\{y \in [a, b]: y \le Qy\}$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that

- (**H<sub>1</sub>**) The functions  $f(t, u), I_k(u), I_k^*(u) (k = 1, \dots, p)$  are continuous and nondecreasing on u.
- (**H<sub>2</sub>**) There exist  $u_0$  and  $v_0 \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap AC^2(J_k)$ , lower and upper solutions, respectively, for the problem (1.1), such that  $u_0 \leq v_0$ .

$$(\mathbf{H_3}) \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\lambda_k (\eta_k - t_k)^{\beta_k}}{\Gamma(\beta_k + 1)} < 1.$$

are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has extremal solutions in the sector  $[u_0, v_0]$ .

**Proof.** Consider the problem (2.1) with  $y(t) = f(t, \omega(t))$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_k = I_k(\omega(t_k))$  and  $\mathcal{I}_k^* = I_k^*(\omega(t_k))(k = 1, 2, \dots, p)$ . By Lemma 2.1, we know problem (2.1) has a unique solution. Define  $u(t) = \mathcal{G}\omega(t)$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is an operator from  $[u_0, v_0]$  to  $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap AC^2(J_k)$ . Now we shall prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  maps  $[u_0, v_0]$  into  $[u_0, v_0]$ .

Let  $u_1 = \mathcal{G}u_0$ ,  $v_1 = \mathcal{G}v_0$ . Then  $u_1, v_1$  are well defined and satisfy

$$\begin{cases}
C D_{t_k^+}^{\alpha_k} u_1(t) = f(t, u_0(t)), & 1 < \alpha_k \le 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad t \in J', \\
\Delta u_1(t_k) = I_k(u_0(t_k)), & \Delta u_1'(t_k) = I_k^*(u_0(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\
u_1(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_k \mathcal{J}_{t_k^+}^{\beta_k} u_1(\eta_k) + \kappa, \quad u_1'(0) = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

and

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{*}}^{\alpha_{k}}v_{1}(t) = f(t, v_{0}(t)), & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \quad t \in J', \\
\triangle v_{1}(t_{k}) = I_{k}(v_{0}(t_{k})), & \triangle v_{1}'(t_{k}) = I_{k}^{*}(v_{0}(t_{k})), \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\
v_{1}(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}} v_{1}(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad v_{1}'(0) = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Now, put  $r = u_1 - u_0$ . Combining with the definition of lower solution, we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}}^{\alpha_{k}}r(t) \geq 0, & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \quad t \in J', \\ \triangle r(t_{k}) \geq 0, & \triangle r'(t_{k}) \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ r(0) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}r(\eta_{k}), \quad r'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $p(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in J$ . That is  $\mathcal{G}u_0 \geq u_0$ . Similarly, together with the definition of upper solution, we can show  $\mathcal{G}v_0 \leq v_0$ .

Denote  $q = v_1 - u_1$ , by (3.1), (3.2) and (**H**<sub>1</sub>), we can get

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}q(t) = f(t, v_{0}(t)) - f(t, u_{0}(t)) \geq 0, & 1 < \alpha_{k} \leq 2, \ k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p, \ t \in J', \\ \triangle q(t_{k}) = I_{k}(v_{0}(t_{k})) - I_{k}(u_{0}(t_{k})) \geq 0, & k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ \triangle q'(t_{k}) = I_{k}^{*}(v_{0}(t_{k})) - I_{k}^{*}(u_{0}(t_{k})) \geq 0, & k = 1, 2, \cdots, p, \\ q(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}} q(\eta_{k}) \geq 0, & q'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2 ensures that  $q(t) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{G}v_0 \geq \mathcal{G}u_0$ . It means  $\mathcal{G}$  is nondecreasing and  $u_0 \leq \mathcal{G}u \leq v_0$  for any  $u \in [u_0, v_0]$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}: [u_0, v_0] \rightarrow [u_0, v_0]$  and  $\|\mathcal{G}u\| \leq \max\{\|u_0\|, \|v_0\|\} := \text{II}$ .

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a monotone sequence in  $[u_0, v_0]$ , then  $u_0 \leq \mathcal{G}u_n \leq v_0$  and  $\|\mathcal{G}u_n\| \leq II$ . Next, we shall show that the sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}u_n\}$  is an equicontinuous set. For any  $(t, u) \in J \times [-II, II]$ , there exist nonnegative constants  $L_i > 0$  (i = 1, 2, 3) such that  $|f(t, u)| \leq L_1, |I_k(u)| \leq L_2$  and  $|I_k^*(u)| \leq L_3$ . Thus, for any  $t \in J_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq p$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &|(\mathcal{G}u)'(t)|\\ &\leq \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_{k}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k}-1)} |f(s,u(s))| ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big[ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} |f(s,u(s))| ds + |I_{i}^{*}(u(t_{i}))| \Big]\\ &\leq L_{1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_{k}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k}-1)} ds + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Big[ L_{1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \frac{(t_{i}-s)^{\alpha_{i-1}-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i-1}-1)} ds + L_{3} \Big]\\ &\leq \frac{T^{\alpha_{k}-1}L_{1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{k})} + p \Big[ \frac{L_{1} \max_{0 \leq i \leq p} T^{\alpha_{i}-1}}{\min_{0 \leq i \leq p} \Gamma(\alpha_{i})} + L_{3} \Big]\\ &\leq (p+1) \frac{L_{1} \max_{0 \leq i \leq p} T^{\alpha_{i}-1}}{\min_{0 \leq i \leq p} \Gamma(\alpha_{i})} + pL_{3} := \mathfrak{L}(constant). \end{split}$$

Hence, for  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in J_k$  with  $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2, \ 0 \leq k \leq p$ , we have

$$|(\mathcal{G}u)(\tau_2) - (\mathcal{G}u)(\tau_1)| \le \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |(\mathcal{G}u)'(s)| ds \le \mathfrak{L}(t_2 - t_1).$$

This implies that  $\{\mathcal{G}u_n\}$  is an equicontinuous set on all  $J_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p$  and hence, by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem,  $\{\mathcal{G}u_n\}$  is relatively compact. In consequence,  $\{\mathcal{G}u_n\}$  converges in  $\mathcal{G}([u_0, v_0])$ .

Theorem 3.1 ensures that  $\mathcal{G}$  has a least and a greatest fixed point in  $[u_0, v_0]$ . This further implies that the problem (1.1) has extremal solutions on  $[u_0, v_0]$ .

# 4. Example

**Example 4.1.** For  $\alpha_0 = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{5}{4}$ ,  $\beta_0 = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\beta_1 = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\eta_0 = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ , and  $t_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ , we consider

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha_{k}}u(t) = \frac{t}{5}(u(t) + e^{u(t)}), & t \in [-0, 1], \ t \neq \frac{1}{4}, k = 0, 1, \\
\triangle u(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{32}\arctan u(t_{1}), & \triangle u'(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{5}u^{3}(t_{1}), \\
u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}}u(\eta_{k}) + \frac{1}{2}, & u'(0) = 0,
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

here  $f(t,u) = \frac{t}{5}(u+e^u)$ ,  $I_1(u) = \frac{1}{32}\arctan u$ ,  $I_1^*(u) = \frac{1}{5}u^3$ .

Take 
$$u_0(t) = 0$$
,  $v_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{t^2}{2}, & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{4} \\ 1 + t^2, & \frac{1}{4} < t \le 1 \end{cases}$ . Then  $u_0, v_0$  are lower and upper solutions of problem (4.1), respectively. Moreover, by a simple calculation,

upper solutions of problem (4.1), respectively. Moreover, by a simple calculation,  $\frac{\lambda_0(\eta_0 - t_0)^{\beta_0}}{\Gamma(\beta_0 + 1)} + \frac{\lambda_1(\eta_1 - t_1)^{\beta_1}}{\Gamma(\beta_1 + 1)} = 0.013695 < 1.$ 

At last, it's obvious that  $(H_1)$  is satisfied. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 applies and the problem (4.1) has extremal solutions in the sector  $[u_0, v_0]$ .

# 5. Concluding remarks

It is noted that, the problem investigated in this paper has a very general form. Some of special cases are listed below:

By setting  $\alpha_k = \alpha(1 < \alpha \le 2)$  in (1.1), we obtain the special case of an integral boundary problem for nonlinear impulsive differential equations with fractional derivatives involving single order

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad t \in J', \\
\triangle u(t_{k}) = I_{k}(u(t_{k})), \quad \triangle u'(t_{k}) = I_{k}^{*}(u(t_{k})), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\
u(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \lambda_{k} \mathcal{J}_{t_{k}^{+}}^{\beta_{k}} u(\eta_{k}) + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0, \quad t_{k} < \eta_{k} < t_{k+1}.
\end{cases} (5.1)$$

Again, by setting  $I_k = I_k^* = 0$ ,  $\alpha_k = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_k = \beta$ ,  $\lambda_k = \lambda$ ,  $\eta_k = \eta$  in (1.1), we can obtain a very special case of (1.1). That is, we get a nonlinear fractional differential equations without impulse effect

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0}^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 1 < \alpha \le 2, \quad t \in J, \\ u(0) = \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - s)^{\beta - 1} u(s) ds + \kappa, \quad u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (5.2)

Finally, taking  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$  in (5.2), we have the classical second order problem with integral boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in J, \\ u(0) = \lambda \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds + \kappa, & u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (5.3)

Accordingly, our results also give rise to various interesting situations. It is a contribution to the theory of integral boundary value problem and fractional differential equation.

### References

- [1] A. Anguraj, P. Karthikeyan, M. Rivero, J. J. Trujillo, On new existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with impulsive and integral conditions, Comput. Math. Appl., 2014, 66(12), 2587–2594.
- [2] C. Archana, D. Jaydev, Local and global existence of mild solution to an impulsive fractional functional integro-differential equation with nonlocal condition, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2014, 19(4), 821–829.
- [3] K. Balachandran, S. Kiruthika, J. J. Trujillo, Remark on the existence results for fractional impulsive integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2012, 17(6), 2244–2247.
- [4] A. Cabada, G. Infante, Positive solutions of a nonlocal Caputo fractional BVP, Dynamic Systems and Applications, 2014, 23, 715–722.
- [5] A. Debbouche, D. Baleanu, Controllability of fractional evolution nonlocal impulsive quasilinear delay integro-differential systems, Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, 62(3), 1442–1450.
- [6] H. Ergoren, M. G. Sakar, Boundary value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions, Advances in applied mathematics and approximation theory, 283–297, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 41, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [7] S. Heikkila, V. Lakshmikantham, Monotone iterative techniques for discontinuous nonlinear differential equations, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [8] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [9] G. S. Ladde, V.Lakshmikantham, A. S. Vatsala, Monotone iterative techniques for nonlinear differential equations, Pitman, New York, 1985.
- [10] Y. Liu, Juan J. Nieto, Otero-Zarraquinos, Oscar Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear singular fractional differential equations with impulse effects, Math. Probl. Eng., 2013, Art. ID 498781, 21 pp.
- [11] Z. Liu, X. Li, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2013, 18(6), 1362–1373.
- [12] Juan J. Nieto, An abstract monotone iterative technique, Nonlinear Anal., 1997, 28(12), 1923–1933.
- [13] I. Stamova, Global stability of impulsive fractional differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput, 2014, 237, 605–612.
- [14] G. Wang, Explicit iteration and unbounded solutions for fractional integral boundary value problem on an infinite interval, Appl. Math. Letters, 2015, 47, 1–7.

- [15] Guotao Wang, Bashir Ahmad, Lihong Zhang, Juan J. Nieto, Comments on the concept of existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2014, 19(3), 401–403.
- [16] G. Wang, L. Zhang, G. Song, Boundary value problem of a nonlinear Langevin equation with two different fractional orders and impulses, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012, 200, 17 pp.
- [17] L. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Lu, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a singular system of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, 2013, 18(4), 493–518.
- [18] J. Xu, Z. Wei, Y. Ding, Positive solutions for a boundary-value problem with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Lith. Math. J., 2012, 52(4), 462–476.
- [19] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, G. Wang, Successive iterations for positive extremal solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations on a half-line, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2015, 91, 116–128.
- [20] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, G. Wang, Explicit iterations and extremal solutions for fractional differential equations with nonlinear integral boundary conditions, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2015, 268, 388–392.
- [21] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, G. Wang, R. P. Agarwal, Nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations on unbounded domains in a Banach space, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2013, 249, 51–56.
- [22] L. Zhang, G. Wang, Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with impulses and anti-periodic boundary conditions, EJ Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2011, 7, 1–11.