

EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH COUPLED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS*

Johnny Henderson¹ and Rodica Luca^{2,†}

Abstract We study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a system of nonlinear second-order difference equations subject to coupled multi-point boundary conditions.

Keywords Difference equations, coupled multi-point boundary conditions, positive solutions, existence, multiplicity.

MSC(2010) 39A10.

1. Introduction

The mathematical modeling of many nonlinear problems from computer science, economics, mechanical engineering, control systems, biological neural networks and others, leads to the consideration of nonlinear difference equations (see Kelley and Peterson [16], Lakshmikantham and Trigiante [17]). In the last decades, many authors have investigated such problems by using various methods, such as fixed point theorems, the critical point theory, upper and lower solutions, the fixed point index theory and the topological degree theory (see for example [1, 3–9, 15, 18–22]).

In this paper, we consider the system of nonlinear second-order difference equations

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{n-1} + f(n, v_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \\ \Delta^2 v_{n-1} + g(n, u_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \end{cases}$$

with the coupled multi-point boundary conditions

$$(BC) \quad u_0 = 0, \quad u_N = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i v_{\xi_i}, \quad v_0 = 0, \quad v_N = \sum_{i=1}^q b_i u_{\eta_i},$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, Δ is the forward difference operator with stepsize 1, $\Delta u_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$, $\Delta^2 u_{n-1} = u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1}$, $n = \overline{k, m}$ means that $n = k, k+1, \dots, m$ for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$ and $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$.

[†]the corresponding author. Email address: rluca@math.tuiasi.ro (R. Luca)

¹Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, 76798-7328 USA

²Department of Mathematics, Gh. Asachi Technical University, Iasi 700506, Romania

*The work of R. Luca was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0557.

Under sufficient conditions on the functions f and g , we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of problem $(S) - (BC)$ by using some theorems from the fixed point index theory. By a positive solution of problem $(S) - (BC)$ we mean a pair of sequences $(u, v) = ((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}})$ satisfying (S) and (BC) , with $u_n \geq 0$, $v_n \geq 0$ for all $n = \overline{0, N}$ and $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$.

The existence of positive solutions for the system of nonlinear second-order difference equations with two parameters λ and μ , namely the system

$$(S_1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{n-1} + \lambda f(n, u_n, v_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \\ \Delta^2 v_{n-1} + \mu g(n, u_n, v_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \end{cases}$$

with the coupled boundary conditions (BC) was investigated in Henderson and Luca [13]. The system (S_1) with the uncoupled boundary conditions

$$(BC_1) \quad u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i u_{\xi_i}, \quad u_N = \sum_{i=1}^q b_i u_{\eta_i}, \quad v_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i v_{\zeta_i}, \quad v_N = \sum_{i=1}^l d_i v_{\rho_i},$$

has been investigated in Henderson and Luca [11], and in Henderson and Luca [12] by using the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. We also mention the paper Henderson et al [14], where the authors studied the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the system (S) with the multi-point boundary conditions (BC_1) .

In Section 2, we present some auxiliary results from Henderson and Luca [13] which investigate a system of second-order difference equations subject to the coupled boundary conditions (BC) . In Section 3, we prove the main theorems for the existence and multiplicity of the positive solutions with respect to a cone for our problem $(S) - (BC)$ which are based on three theorems from the fixed point index theory. An example is presented in Section 4 to illustrate our main results.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we present some auxiliary results from Henderson and Luca [13] related to the following system of second-order difference equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{n-1} + x_n = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \\ \Delta^2 v_{n-1} + y_n = 0, & n = \overline{1, N-1}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with the coupled multi-point boundary conditions

$$u_0 = 0, \quad u_N = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i v_{\xi_i}, \quad v_0 = 0, \quad v_N = \sum_{i=1}^q b_i u_{\eta_i}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$, $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i = \overline{1, N-1}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Henderson and Luca [13]). *If $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$,*

$\Delta_0 = N^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i) (\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i) \neq 0$, and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i = \overline{1, N-1}$, then the unique solution of (2.1)–(2.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_1(n, j) x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_2(n, j) y_j, \quad n = \overline{0, N}, \\ v_n &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, j) y_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, j) x_j, \quad n = \overline{0, N}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(n, j) &= g_0(n, j) + \frac{n}{\Delta_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^q b_i g_0(\eta_i, j) \right), \\ G_2(n, j) &= \frac{nN}{\Delta_0} \sum_{i=1}^p a_i g_0(\xi_i, j), \\ G_3(n, j) &= g_0(n, j) + \frac{n}{\Delta_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i g_0(\xi_i, j) \right), \\ G_4(n, j) &= \frac{nN}{\Delta_0} \sum_{i=1}^q b_i g_0(\eta_i, j), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$g_0(n, j) = \frac{1}{N} \begin{cases} j(N-n), & 1 \leq j \leq n \leq N, \\ n(N-j), & 0 \leq n \leq j \leq N-1, \end{cases}$$

for all $n = \overline{0, N}$ and $j = \overline{1, N-1}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Henderson and Luca [13]). *If $a_i \geq 0$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \geq 0$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$, and $\Delta_0 = N^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i) (\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i) > 0$, then the functions G_i , $i = \overline{1, 4}$, given by (2.4), satisfy $G_i(n, j) \geq 0$ for all $n = \overline{0, N}$, $j = \overline{1, N-1}$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$. Moreover, if $x_n \geq 0$, $y_n \geq 0$ for all $n = \overline{1, N-1}$, then the solution $((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}})$ of problem (2.1)–(2.2) (given by (2.3)) satisfies $u_n \geq 0$, $v_n \geq 0$ for all $n = \overline{0, N}$.*

Lemma 2.3 (Henderson and Luca [13]). *Assume that $a_i \geq 0$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \geq 0$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$, and $\Delta_0 > 0$. Then the functions G_i , $i = \overline{1, 4}$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$a_1) \quad G_1(n, j) \leq I_1(j), \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}, \quad j = \overline{1, N-1}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$I_1(j) = g_0(j, j) + \frac{N}{\Delta_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^q b_i g_0(\eta_i, j) \right).$$

$a_2)$ For every $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, we have $\min_{n=c, N-c} G_1(n, j) \geq \frac{c}{N} I_1(j)$, $\forall j = \overline{1, N-1}$.

$$b_1) \quad G_2(n, j) \leq I_2(j), \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}, \quad j = \overline{1, N-1}, \quad \text{where } I_2(j) = \frac{N^2}{\Delta_0} \sum_{i=1}^p a_i g_0(\xi_i, j).$$

$b_2)$ For every $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, we have $\min_{n=c, N-c} G_2(n, j) \geq \frac{c}{N} I_2(j)$, $\forall j = \overline{1, N-1}$.

$c_1)$ $G_3(n, j) \leq I_3(j)$, $\forall n = \overline{0, N}$, $j = \overline{1, N-1}$, where

$$I_3(j) = g_0(j, j) + \frac{N}{\Delta_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i g_0(\xi_i, j) \right).$$

$c_2)$ For every $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, we have $\min_{n=c, N-c} G_3(n, j) \geq \frac{c}{N} I_3(j)$, $\forall j = \overline{1, N-1}$.

$d_1)$ $G_4(n, j) \leq I_4(j)$, $\forall n = \overline{0, N}$, $j = \overline{1, N-1}$, where $I_4(j) = \frac{N^2}{\Delta_0} \sum_{i=1}^q b_i g_0(\eta_i, j)$.

$d_2)$ For every $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, we have $\min_{n=c, N-c} G_4(n, j) \geq \frac{c}{N} I_4(j)$, $\forall j = \overline{1, N-1}$, where $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ is the largest integer not greater than $N/2$.

Lemma 2.4 (Henderson and Luca [13]). Assume that $a_i \geq 0$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \geq 0$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$, $\Delta_0 > 0$, $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, and $x_n, y_n \geq 0$ for all $n = \overline{1, N-1}$. Then the solution of problem (2.1)–(2.2) satisfies the inequalities

$$\min_{n=c, N-c} u_n \geq \frac{c}{N} \max_{m=0, N} u_m, \quad \min_{n=c, N-c} v_n \geq \frac{c}{N} \max_{m=0, N} v_m.$$

3. Main results

In this section, we investigate the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for our problem (S) – (BC) under various assumptions on the functions f and g .

We present the basic assumptions that we shall use in the sequel.

(A1) $a_i \geq 0$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, p}$, $b_i \geq 0$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = \overline{1, q}$, $1 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_p \leq N-1$, $1 \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_q \leq N-1$ and $\Delta_0 = N^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i) (\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i) > 0$.

(A2) The functions $f, g : \{1, \dots, N-1\} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous.

By using the functions G_i , $i = \overline{1, 4}$ from Section 2 (Lemma 2.1), our problem (S) – (BC) can be written equivalently as the following system

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_1(n, i) f(i, v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_2(n, i) g(i, u_i), & n = \overline{0, N}, \\ v_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i) g(i, u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i) f(i, v_i), & n = \overline{0, N}. \end{cases}$$

We consider the Banach space $X = \mathbb{R}^{N+1} = \{u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_N), u_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{0, N}\}$ with the maximum norm $\|\cdot\|$, $\|u\| = \max_{n=0, N} |u_n|$, and the Banach space $Y = X \times X$ with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\|$. We define the cone $P \subset Y$ by

$$P = \left\{ (u, v) \in Y; u = (u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, v = (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, u_n \geq 0, v_n \geq 0, \forall n = \overline{0, N} \right\}.$$

We introduce the operators $Q_1, Q_2 : Y \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{Q} : Y \rightarrow Y$ defined by $Q_1(u, v) = (Q_1(u, v))_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, $Q_2(u, v) = (Q_2(u, v))_{n=\overline{0, N}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_1(u, v))_n &= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N-1}}^{N-1} G_1(n, i) f(i, v_i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N-1}}^{N-1} G_2(n, i) g(i, u_i), \quad n = \overline{0, N}, \\ (Q_2(u, v))_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i) g(i, u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i) f(i, v_i), \quad n = \overline{0, N}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = (Q_1(u, v), Q_2(u, v))$, $(u, v) = ((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}) \in Y$.

Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), it is easy to see that the operator $\mathcal{Q} : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous (see also Lemma 3.1 from Henderson and Luca [13]). Thus the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of problem (S) – (BC) are equivalent to the existence and multiplicity of fixed points of operator \mathcal{Q} .

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. If the functions f and g also satisfy the conditions*

(A3) *There exists $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$ such that*

$$i) f_\infty^i = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \min_{n=c, N-c} \frac{f(n, u)}{u} = \infty. \quad ii) g_\infty^i = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \min_{n=c, N-c} \frac{g(n, u)}{u} = \infty.$$

(A4) *There exist $p_1 \geq 1$ and $q_1 \geq 1$ such that*

$$i) f_0^s = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{n=1, N-1} \frac{f(n, u)}{u^{p_1}} = 0. \quad ii) g_0^s = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{n=1, N-1} \frac{g(n, u)}{u^{q_1}} = 0,$$

then problem (S) – (BC) has at least one positive solution $((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}})$.

Proof. For c given in (A3), we define the cone

$$P_0 = \left\{ (u, v) \in P, u = (u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, v = (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, \right. \\ \left. \min_{n=c, N-c} u_n \geq \frac{c}{N} \|u\|, \min_{n=c, N-c} v_n \geq \frac{c}{N} \|v\| \right\}.$$

From our assumptions and Lemma 2.4, for any $(u, v) \in P$, we deduce that $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = (Q_1(u, v), Q_2(u, v)) \in P_0$, that is $\mathcal{Q}(P) \subset P_0$.

We consider the sequences $u^0 = (u_n^0)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, $v^0 = (v_n^0)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, defined by

$$\begin{cases} u_n^0 = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N-1}}^{N-1} G_1(n, i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N-1}}^{N-1} G_2(n, i), & n = \overline{0, N}, \\ v_n^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i), & n = \overline{0, N}, \end{cases}$$

that is (u^0, v^0) is the solution of problem (2.1)–(2.2) with $x^0 = (x_n^0)_{n=\overline{1, N-1}}$, $y^0 = (y_n^0)_{n=\overline{1, N-1}}$, $x_n^0 = 1$, $y_n^0 = 1$ for all $n = \overline{1, N-1}$. Hence $(u^0, v^0) = \mathcal{Q}(x^0, y^0) \in P_0$.

We define the set

$$M = \{(u, v) \in P, \text{ there exists } \lambda \geq 0 \text{ such that } (u, v) = \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \lambda(u^0, v^0)\}.$$

We will show that $M \subset P_0$ and M is a bounded set of Y . If $(u, v) \in M$, then there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $(u, v) = \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \lambda(u^0, v^0)$ or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_1(n, i)(f(i, v_i) + \lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_2(n, i)(g(i, u_i) + \lambda), & n = \overline{0, N}, \\ v_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i)(g(i, u_i) + \lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i)(f(i, v_i) + \lambda), & n = \overline{0, N}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain $(u, v) = ((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}) \in P_0$, hence $M \subset P_0$, and

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{N}{c} \min_{n=c, N-c} u_n, \quad \|v\| \leq \frac{N}{c} \min_{n=c, N-c} v_n, \quad \forall (u, v) \in M. \quad (3.1)$$

From (A3), we conclude that for $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{2N}{cm_4} > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{2N}{cm_2} > 0$, there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$f(n, u) \geq \varepsilon_1 u - C_1, \quad g(n, u) \geq \varepsilon_2 u - C_2, \quad \forall n = \overline{c, N-c}, \quad u \in [0, \infty), \quad (3.2)$$

where $m_i = \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} I_i(j)$, $i = 2, 4$, and I_i , $i = 2, 4$ are defined in Lemma 2.3.

For $(u, v) = ((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}) \in M$ and $n = \overline{c, N-c}$, by using Lemma 2.3 and relations (3.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= (Q_1(u, v))_n + \lambda u_n^0 \geq (Q_1(u, v))_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_1(n, i)f(i, v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_2(n, i)g(i, u_i) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i)g(i, u_i) \geq \frac{c}{N} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_2(i)(\varepsilon_2 u_i - C_2) \\ &\geq \frac{c\varepsilon_2 m_2}{N} \min_{i=c, N-c} u_i - \frac{cm_2 C_2}{N} = 2 \min_{i=c, N-c} u_i - C_3, \quad C_3 = \frac{cm_2 C_2}{N}, \\ v_n &= (Q_2(u, v))_n + \lambda v_n^0 \geq (Q_2(u, v))_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i)g(i, u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i)f(i, v_i) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i)f(i, v_i) \geq \frac{c}{N} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_4(i)(\varepsilon_1 v_i - C_1) \\ &\geq \frac{c\varepsilon_1 m_4}{N} \min_{i=c, N-c} v_i - \frac{cm_4 C_1}{N} = 2 \min_{i=c, N-c} v_i - C_4, \quad C_4 = \frac{cm_4 C_1}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce

$$\min_{i=c, N-c} u_i \leq C_3, \quad \min_{i=c, N-c} v_i \leq C_4, \quad \forall (u, v) = ((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}) \in M. \quad (3.3)$$

From relations (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain $\|u\| \leq \frac{NC_3}{c}$, $\|v\| \leq \frac{NC_4}{c}$, and then $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\| \leq \frac{NC_3}{c} + \frac{NC_4}{c} =: C_5$, for all $(u, v) \in M$, that is M is a bounded set of Y .

Besides, there exists a sufficiently large $R_1 > 1$ such that

$$(u, v) \neq \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \lambda(u^0, v^0), \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial B_{R_1} \cap P, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0.$$

From Amann [2], we deduce that the fixed point index of operator \mathcal{Q} over $B_{R_1} \cap P$ with respect to P is

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{R_1} \cap P, P) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, from assumption (A4), we conclude that for $\varepsilon_3 = \min\{\frac{1}{4M_1}, \frac{1}{4M_4}\}$ and $\varepsilon_4 = \min\{\frac{1}{4M_2}, \frac{1}{4M_3}\}$, there exists $r_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$f(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_3 u^{p_1}, \quad g(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_4 u^{q_1}, \quad \forall n = \overline{1, N-1}, \quad u \in [0, r_1], \quad (3.5)$$

where $M_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_1(j)$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

By using (3.5), we deduce that for all $(u, v) \in \bar{B}_{r_1} \cap P$ and $n = \overline{0, N}$

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_1(u, v))_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i) \varepsilon_3 v_i^{p_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i) \varepsilon_4 u_i^{q_1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_3 M_1 \|v\|^{p_1} + \varepsilon_4 M_2 \|u\|^{q_1} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\| + \frac{1}{4} \|u\| = \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \\ (Q_2(u, v))_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i) \varepsilon_4 u_i^{q_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i) \varepsilon_3 v_i^{p_1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_4 M_3 \|u\|^{q_1} + \varepsilon_3 M_4 \|v\|^{p_1} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\| + \frac{1}{4} \|v\| = \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

These imply that $\|Q_1(u, v)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y$, $\|Q_2(u, v)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y$, and so

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y = \|Q_1(u, v)\| + \|Q_2(u, v)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial B_{r_1} \cap P.$$

From Amann [2], we conclude that the fixed point index of operator \mathcal{Q} over $B_{r_1} \cap P$ with respect to P is

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{r_1} \cap P, P) = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, (B_{R_1} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1}) \cap P, P) = i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{R_1} \cap P, P) - i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{r_1} \cap P, P) = -1.$$

We deduce that \mathcal{Q} has at least one fixed point $(u, v) \in (B_{R_1} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1}) \cap P$, that is $r_1 < \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_1$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. If the functions f and g also satisfy the conditions*

$$(A5) \quad i) \quad f_\infty^s = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{n=1, N-1} \frac{f(n, u)}{u} = 0. \quad ii) \quad g_\infty^s = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{n=1, N-1} \frac{g(n, u)}{u} = 0.$$

(A6) *There exist $c \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor\}$, $p_2 \in (0, 1]$ and $q_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$i) \quad f_0^i = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \min_{n=c, N-c} \frac{f(n, u)}{u^{p_2}} = \infty. \quad ii) \quad g_0^i = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \min_{n=c, N-c} \frac{g(n, u)}{u^{q_2}} = \infty,$$

then problem (S) – (BC) has at least one positive solution $((u_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n)_{n=\overline{0, N}})$.

Proof. From the assumption (A5), we deduce that for $\varepsilon_5 = \min\{\frac{1}{4M_1}, \frac{1}{4M_4}\}$ and $\varepsilon_6 = \min\{\frac{1}{4M_2}, \frac{1}{4M_3}\}$ there exist $C_6, C_7 > 0$ such that

$$f(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_5 u + C_6, \quad g(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_6 u + C_7, \quad \forall n = \overline{1, N-1}, \quad u \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence for $(u, v) \in P$, by using (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_1(u, v))_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i)(\varepsilon_5 v_i + C_6) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i)(\varepsilon_6 u_i + C_7) \\
&\leq \varepsilon_5 \|v\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i) + C_6 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i) + \varepsilon_6 \|u\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i) + C_7 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i) \\
&= \varepsilon_5 \|v\| M_1 + C_6 M_1 + \varepsilon_6 \|u\| M_2 + C_7 M_2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\| + \frac{1}{4} \|u\| + C_8 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y + C_8, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}, \quad C_8 := C_6 M_1 + C_7 M_2, \\
(Q_2(u, v))_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i)(\varepsilon_6 u_i + C_7) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i)(\varepsilon_5 v_i + C_6) \\
&\leq \varepsilon_6 \|u\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i) + C_7 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i) + \varepsilon_5 \|v\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i) + C_6 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i) \\
&= \varepsilon_6 \|u\| M_3 + C_7 M_3 + \varepsilon_5 \|v\| M_4 + C_6 M_4 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\| + \frac{1}{4} \|v\| + C_9 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y + C_9, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}, \quad C_9 := C_7 M_3 + C_6 M_4,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y = \|Q_1(u, v)\| + \|Q_2(u, v)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y + C_{10}, \quad C_{10} := C_8 + C_9.$$

Then there exists a sufficiently large $R_2 \geq \max\{4C_{10}, 1\}$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y \leq \frac{3}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall (u, v) \in P, \quad \|(u, v)\|_Y \geq R_2.$$

Hence $\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y < \|(u, v)\|_Y$ for all $(u, v) \in \partial B_{R_2} \cap P$ and from Amann [2], we have

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{R_2} \cap P, P) = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, from (A6) we conclude that for $\varepsilon_7 = \frac{N}{c(m_3+m_4)}$ and $\varepsilon_8 = \frac{N}{c(m_1+m_2)}$ there exists $r_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(n, u) \geq \varepsilon_7 u^{p_2}, \quad g(n, u) \geq \varepsilon_8 u^{q_2}, \quad \forall n = \overline{c, N-c}, \quad u \in [0, r_2], \quad (3.9)$$

where $m_i = \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} I_i(j)$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

From (3.9) and Lemma 2.3, we deduce for any $(u, v) \in \bar{B}_{r_2} \cap P$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_1(u, v))_n &\geq \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) f(i, v_i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) g(i, u_i) \\
&\geq \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) v_i^{p_2} + \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) u_i^{q_2} \\
&\geq \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) v_i + \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) u_i =: (L_1(u, v))_n, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_2(u, v))_n &\geq \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i)g(i, u_i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i)f(i, v_i) \\
&\geq \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i)u_i^{q_2} + \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i)v_i^{p_2} \\
&\geq \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i)u_i + \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i)v_i =: (L_2(u, v))_n, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, v) \geq L(u, v), \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial B_{r_2} \cap P, \quad (3.10)$$

where the linear operator $L : P \rightarrow P$ is defined by $L(u, v) = (L_1(u, v), L_2(u, v))$.

We consider now $(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0) \in P \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ with $\tilde{u}^0 = (\tilde{u}_n^0)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$ and $\tilde{v}^0 = (\tilde{v}_n^0)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_n^0 &= \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i), \quad n = \overline{0, N}, \\
\tilde{v}_n^0 &= \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i), \quad n = \overline{0, N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $L(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0) = (L_1(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0), L_2(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(L_1(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0))_n &= \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_3(i, j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_4(i, j) \right) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_1(i, j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_2(i, j) \right) \\
&\geq \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_3(j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_4(j) \right) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_1(j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_2(j) \right) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon_7 c}{N} (m_3 + m_4) \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) + \frac{\varepsilon_8 c}{N} (m_1 + m_2) \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) \\
&= \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_1(n, i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_2(n, i) = \tilde{u}_n^0, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}, \\
(L_2(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0))_n &= \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_1(i, j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_2(i, j) \right) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_3(i, j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} G_4(i, j) \right) \\
&\geq \varepsilon_8 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_1(j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_2(j) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon_7 \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i) \left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_3(j) + \sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \frac{c}{N} I_4(j) \right) \\
& = \frac{\varepsilon_8 c}{N} (m_1 + m_2) \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i) + \frac{\varepsilon_7 c}{N} (m_3 + m_4) \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i) \\
& = \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_3(n, i) + \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_4(n, i) = \tilde{v}_n^0, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$L(\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0) \geq (\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{v}^0). \quad (3.11)$$

We may suppose that \mathcal{Q} has no fixed point on $\partial B_{r_2} \cap P$ (otherwise the proof is finished). From (3.10) and (3.11), and Lemma 2.3 from Zhou and Xu [23], we conclude that

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{r_2} \cap P, P) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, from (3.8) and (3.12), we have

$$i(\mathcal{Q}, (B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_2}) \cap P, P) = i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{R_2} \cap P, P) - i(\mathcal{Q}, B_{r_2} \cap P, P) = 1.$$

Then \mathcal{Q} has at least one fixed point in $(B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_2}) \cap P$, that is $r_2 < \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_2$. Thus problem (S) – (BC) has at least one positive solution $(u, v) \in P$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that (A1) – (A3) and (A6) hold. If the functions f and g also satisfy the condition*

(A7) *For each $n = \overline{1, N-1}$, $f(n, u)$ and $g(n, u)$ are nondecreasing with respect to u , and there exists a constant $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$f(n, N) < \frac{R_0}{4m_0}, \quad g(n, N) < \frac{R_0}{4m_0}, \quad \forall n = \overline{1, N-1},$$

where $m_0 = \max\{M_i, i = \overline{1, 4}\}$, ($M_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_i(j)$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$), then problem (S) – (BC) has at least two positive solutions $\left((u_n^1)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n^1)_{n=\overline{0, N}} \right)$ and $\left((u_n^2)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, (v_n^2)_{n=\overline{0, N}} \right)$.

Proof. By using (A7), for any $(u, v) \in \partial B_{R_0} \cap P$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_1(u, v))_n & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_1(n, i) f(i, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_2(n, i) g(i, N) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i) f(i, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i) g(i, N) \\
& < \frac{R_0}{4m_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_1(i) + \frac{R_0}{4m_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_2(i) \\
& = \frac{R_0 M_1}{4m_0} + \frac{R_0 M_2}{4m_0} \leq \frac{R_0}{2}, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_2(u, v))_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_3(n, i)g(i, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_4(n, i)f(i, N) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i)g(i, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i)f(i, N) \\
&< \frac{R_0}{4m_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_3(i) + \frac{R_0}{4m_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_4(i) \\
&= \frac{R_0 M_3}{4m_0} + \frac{R_0 M_4}{4m_0} \leq \frac{R_0}{2}, \quad \forall n = \overline{0, N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce

$$\|Q(u, v)\|_Y = \|Q_1(u, v)\| + \|Q_2(u, v)\| < R_0 = \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial B_{R_0} \cap P.$$

By Amann [2], we conclude that

$$i(Q, B_{R_0} \cap P, P) = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, from (A3), (A6) and the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we know that there exists a sufficiently large $R_1 > R_0$ and a sufficiently small $r_2 \in (0, R_0)$ such that

$$i(Q, B_{R_1} \cap P, P) = 0, \quad i(Q, B_{r_2} \cap P, P) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

From the relations (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i(Q, (B_{R_1} \setminus \bar{B}_{R_0}) \cap P, P) &= i(Q, B_{R_1} \cap P, P) - i(Q, B_{R_0} \cap P, P) = -1, \\
i(Q, (B_{R_0} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_2}) \cap P, P) &= i(Q, B_{R_0} \cap P, P) - i(Q, B_{r_2} \cap P, P) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Q has at least one fixed point $(u^1, v^1) \in (B_{R_1} \setminus \bar{B}_{R_0}) \cap P$ and has at least one fixed point $(u^2, v^2) \in (B_{R_0} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_2}) \cap P$. Therefore, problem (S) – (BC) has two distinct positive solutions (u^1, v^1) and (u^2, v^2) . The proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed. \square

4. An example

Let $N = 30$, $p = 3$, $q = 2$, $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 1$, $a_3 = 1/2$, $\xi_1 = 5$, $\xi_2 = 15$, $\xi_3 = 25$, $b_1 = 1$, $b_2 = 1/2$, $\eta_1 = 10$, $\eta_2 = 20$.

We consider the system of second-order difference equations

$$(S_0) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{n-1} + f(n, v_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, 29}, \\ \Delta^2 v_{n-1} + g(n, u_n) = 0, & n = \overline{1, 29}, \end{cases}$$

with the multi-point boundary conditions

$$(BC_0) \quad u_0 = 0, \quad u_{30} = 3v_5 + v_{15} + v_{25}/2, \quad v_0 = 0, \quad v_{30} = u_{10} + u_{20}/2,$$

where the functions f and g are given by $f(n, u) = a_0(u^{\alpha_0} + u^{\beta_0})$, $g(n, u) = b_0(u^{\gamma_0} + u^{\delta_0})$ for $n = \overline{1, 29}$ and $u \in [0, \infty)$, with $\alpha_0 > 1$, $0 < \beta_0 < 1$, $\gamma_0 > 1$, $0 < \delta_0 < 1$, $a_0, b_0 > 0$. We have $\Delta_0 = N^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \xi_i) (\sum_{i=1}^q b_i \eta_i) = 50 > 0$.

The functions I_i , $i = \overline{1, 4}$ from Lemma 2.3 are given by

$$I_1(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60}(1335j - 2j^2), & 1 \leq j \leq 10, \\ \frac{1}{60}(15300 - 195j - 2j^2), & 11 \leq j \leq 20, \\ \frac{1}{30}(15300 - 480j - j^2), & 21 \leq j \leq 29, \end{cases} \quad I_2(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{111}{2}j, & 1 \leq j \leq 5, \\ \frac{3}{2}(180 + j), & 6 \leq j \leq 15, \\ \frac{3}{2}(360 - 11j), & 16 \leq j \leq 25, \\ \frac{3}{2}(510 - 17j), & 26 \leq j \leq 29, \end{cases}$$

$$I_3(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{30}(1140j - j^2), & 1 \leq j \leq 5, \\ \frac{1}{30}(5400 + 60j - j^2), & 6 \leq j \leq 15, \\ \frac{1}{30}(10800 - 300j - j^2), & 16 \leq j \leq 25, \\ \frac{1}{30}(15300 - 480j - j^2), & 26 \leq j \leq 29, \end{cases} \quad I_4(j) = \begin{cases} 15j, & 1 \leq j \leq 10, \\ 180 - 3j, & 11 \leq j \leq 20, \\ 360 - 12j, & 21 \leq j \leq 29. \end{cases}$$

We also deduce $M_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{29} I_1(j) \approx 3974.83333333$, $M_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{29} I_2(j) = 5962.5$, $M_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{29} I_3(j) \approx 4124.83333333$ and $M_4 = \sum_{j=1}^{29} I_4(j) = 2700$. Then $m_0 = \max_{i=\overline{1,4}} M_i = M_2$. The functions $f(n, u)$ and $g(n, u)$ are nondecreasing with respect to u , for any $n = \overline{1, 29}$, and for $p_2 = q_2 = 1$ and $c \in \{1, \dots, 15\}$, the assumptions (A3) and (A6) are satisfied; indeed we obtain $f_\infty^i = \infty$, $g_\infty^i = \infty$, $f_0^i = \infty$ and $g_0^i = \infty$. We take $R_0 = 1$ and then $f(n, R_0) = 2a_0$, $g(n, R_0) = 2b_0$ for all $n = \overline{1, 29}$. If $a_0 < \frac{1}{8m_0}$ and $b_0 < \frac{1}{8m_0}$, then the assumption (A7) is satisfied. For example, if $a_0 \leq 2.096 \cdot 10^{-5}$ and $b_0 \leq 2.096 \cdot 10^{-5}$, then by Theorem 3.3, we deduce that problem $(S_0) - (BC_0)$ has at least two positive solutions.

References

- [1] G. A. Afrouzi and A. Hadjian, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a discrete nonlinear boundary value problem*, *Electr. J. Differ. Equ.*, 35(2014), 1–13.
- [2] H. Amann, *Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces*, *SIAM Review*, 18(1976), 620–709.
- [3] D. R. Anderson, *Solutions to second-order three-point problems on time scales*, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 8(2002)(8), 673–688.
- [4] F. Atici and A. C. Peterson, *Inequality for a 2nth order difference equation*, *PanAmer. Math. J.*, 6(1996), 41–49.
- [5] R. Avery, *Three positive solutions of a discrete second order conjugate problem*, *PanAmer. Math. J.*, 8(1998), 79–96.
- [6] W. S. Cheung and J. Ren, *Positive solution for discrete three-point boundary value problems*, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 1(2), Article 9 (2004), 1–7.
- [7] C. S. Goodrich, *Existence of a positive solution to a nonlocal semipositone boundary value problem on a time scale*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 54(2013)(4), 509–525.
- [8] J. R. Graef, L. Kong and M. Wang, *Multiple solutions to a periodic boundary value problem for a nonlinear discrete fourth order equation*, *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.*, 8(2013)(2), 203–215.

- [9] J. R. Graef, L. Kong and M. Wang, *Existence of multiple solutions to a discrete fourth order periodic boundary value problem*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst., 2013(2013), Supplement, 291–299.
- [10] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [11] J. Henderson and R. Luca, *Existence of positive solutions for a system of second-order multi-point discrete boundary value problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 19(2013)(11), 1889–1906.
- [12] J. Henderson and R. Luca, *On a second-order nonlinear discrete multi-point eigenvalue problem*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 20(2014)(7), 1005–1018.
- [13] J. Henderson and R. Luca, *Positive solutions for a system of difference equations with coupled multi-point boundary conditions*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 22(2016)(2), 188–216.
- [14] J. Henderson, R. Luca and A. Tudorache, *Multiple positive solutions for a multi-point discrete boundary value problem*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, Ser. A1, Math. Stat., 63(2014)(2), 59–70.
- [15] A. Iannizzotto and S. A. Tersian, *Multiple homoclinic solutions for the discrete p -Laplacian via critical point theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 403(2013)(1), 173–182.
- [16] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *Difference Equations. An Introduction with Applications*, Second Edition, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2001.
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations. Numerical Methods and Applications*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 181. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [18] W. T. Li and H. R. Sun, *Positive solutions for second-order m -point boundary value problems on times scales*, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser., 22(2006)(6), 1797–1804.
- [19] J. Rodriguez, *Nonlinear discrete Sturm-Liouville problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 308(2005)(1), 380–391.
- [20] H. R. Sun and W. T. Li, *Positive solutions for nonlinear three-point boundary value problems on time scales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 299(2004)(2), 508–524.
- [21] L. Wang and X. Chen, *Positive solutions for discrete boundary value problems to one-dimensional p -Laplacian with delay*, J. Appl. Math., 2013, Article ID 157043 (2013), 1–8.
- [22] D. B. Wang and W. Guan, *Three positive solutions of boundary value problems for p -Laplacian difference equations*, Comp. Math. Appl., 55(2008)(9), 1943–1949.
- [23] Y. Zhou and Y. Xu, *Positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 320(2006), 578–590.