# EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR A DEGENERATE QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEM IN BOUNDED DOMAIN

G.A. Afrouzi<sup>1</sup>, N.T. Chung<sup> $2,\dagger$ </sup> and M. Mirzapour<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract** Using variational methods, we study the existence of weak solutions for the degenerate quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(h_1(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = F_u(x,u,v) & \text{in }\Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}(h_2(x)|\nabla v|^{q-2}\nabla v) = F_v(x,u,v) & \text{in }\Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $\nabla F = (F_u, F_v)$  stands for the gradient of  $C^1$ -function  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , the weights  $h_i$ , i = 1, 2 are allowed to vanish somewhere, the primitive F(x, u, v) is intimately related to the first eigenvalue of a corresponding quasilinear system.

**Keywords** Quasilinear degenerate elliptic system, Palais-Smale condition, mountain pass theorem, existence.

MSC(2000) 35J60, 35B30, 35B40.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(h_{1}(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = F_{u}(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(h_{2}(x)|\nabla v|^{q-2}\nabla v\right) = F_{v}(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N (N \ge 2)$ , 1 , <math>1 < q < N,  $(F_u, F_v) = \nabla F$  stands for the gradient of F in the variable  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We point out that in the case  $h_1(x) = h_2(x) \equiv 1$ , problem (1.1) has been studied in many papers. For more details about this kind of systems, we refer to [4, 8, 9, 11–13, 15, 19], in which the authors used various methods to get the existence of solutions. The degeneracy of this system is considered in the sense that the measurable, non-negative diffusion coefficients  $h_1, h_2$  are allowed to vanish in  $\Omega$  (as well as at the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ) and/or to blow up in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The point of departure for the consideration of suitable assumptions on the diffusion coefficients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>the corresponding author.Email address:ntchung82@yahoo.com(N.T. Chung)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{Department}$  of Mathematics and Informatics, Quang Binh University, 312 Ly

Thuong Kiet, Dong Hoi, Quang Binh, Vietnam

is the work [10], where the degenerate scalar equation was studied. In [5–7, 16, 18], the authors studied the existence, non-existence and multiplicity of solutions for degenerate system (1.1) in the semilinear case p = q = 2. In recent papers [1,2], G.A. Afrouzi et al. have studied the existence of solutions for quasilinear problem (1.1) under the following condition

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p} F_u(x,u,v)u + \frac{1}{q} F_v(x,u,v)v - F(x,u,v)\right) = \infty.$$
(1.2)

This condition plays an important role in proving that the energy functional satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Motivated by the results in [10, 17], our main goal in this paper is to illustrate how the ideas introduced in [8, 16] can be applied to handle the problem of existence of nontrivial solutions for system (1.1) in which the primitive F(x, u, v) is intimately related to the first eigenvalue of a corresponding quasilinear system.

Let us introduce the function space  $(\mathbf{H})_p$  which consists of functions  $h: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $h \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $h^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $h^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega)$ , for some p > 1,  $s > \max\{\frac{N}{p}, \frac{1}{p-1}\}$  satisfying  $ps \leq N(s+1)$ . Then for the weight functions  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  we assume the following hypothesis:

(**H**) There exist functions  $\mu_1$  in the space  $(\mathbf{H})_p$ , for some  $s_p$  and  $\mu_2$  in the space  $(\mathbf{H})_q$ , for some  $s_q$ , such that

$$\frac{\mu_1(x)}{C_1} \le h_1(x) \le C_1\mu_1(x) \text{ and } \frac{\mu_2(x)}{C_2} \le h_2(x) \le C_2\mu_2(x),$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ , for some constants  $C_1, C_2 > 1$ .

We consider the weighted Sobolev spaces  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, h_1)$  and  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, h_2)$  to be defined as the closures of  $C_0^{\infty}$  with respect to the norms

$$\|u\|_{h_1,p}^p = \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx \text{ for all } u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega),$$
$$\|v\|_{h_2,q}^q = \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx \text{ for all } v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

and set  $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, h_1) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, h_2)$ . It is clear that W is a reflexive Banach space under the norm

$$||(u,v)||_W = ||u||_{h_1,p} + ||v||_{h_2,q}$$
 for all  $(u,v) \in W$ .

For more details about the space setting we refer to [10] and the references therein. The key in our arguments is the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1** (see [10]). Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and the weight h satisfies  $(\mathbf{H})_p$ . Then the following embedding hold:

(i) 
$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,h) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)$$
 continuously for  $1 < p_s^* < N$ , where  $p_s^* := \frac{Nps}{N(s+1)-ps}$ ;

(ii)  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,h) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$  compactly for any  $r \in [1, p_s^*)$ .

In the sequel we denote by the  $p^*$  and  $q^*$  the quantities  $p_{s_p}^*$  and  $q_{s_q}^*$ , respectively, where  $s_p$  and  $s_q$  are induced by condition the (**H**). The assumptions concerning the coefficient functions of (1.1) are the following:

- (A)  $a \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}(\Omega)$  and either there exists  $\Omega_a^+ \subset \Omega$  of positive Lebesgue measure, i.e.,  $|\Omega_a^+| > 0$ , such that a(x) > 0, for all  $x \in \Omega_a^+$ , neither  $a(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ .
- (**D**)  $d \in L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-q}}(\Omega)$  and either there exists  $\Omega_d^+ \subset \Omega$  of positive Lebesgue measure, i.e.,  $|\Omega_d^+| > 0$ , such that d(x) > 0, for all  $x \in \Omega_d^+$ , neither  $d(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ .
- (**B**)  $b(x) \ge 0$ , a.e. in  $\Omega, b \ne 0$  and  $b \in L^w(\Omega)$ , where  $w = \left[1 \frac{\alpha + 1}{p^*} \frac{\beta + 1}{q^*}\right]^{-1}$ .

In [17], the author studied the principal eigenvalue of the system

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla(h_1(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda b(x)|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1}u & \text{in }\Omega, \\ -\nabla(h_2(x)|\nabla v|^{q-2}\nabla v) = \lambda d(x)|v|^{q-2}v + \lambda b(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta-1}v & \text{in }\Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  satisfy (**H**),  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$  such that  $\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} = 1$  and the coefficients a, d and b satisfy the conditions (**A**), (**D**) and (**B**), respectively. Then we have the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  for (1.3) is given by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{(u,v)\in\Theta} \Big[ \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx \Big], \qquad (1.4)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Theta &= \Big\{ (u,v) \in W; \ \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^p dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\Omega} d(x) |v|^q dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dx = 1 \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, it is proved in [17] that this eigenvalue is simple, unique up to positive eigenfunctions and isolated. In order to state the main result of this paper, we assume the following conditions hold:

(F<sub>1</sub>) There exist R > 0,  $0 < \mu < p$  and  $0 < \nu < q$  such that

$$\frac{u}{p}F_u(x, u, v) + \frac{v}{q}F_v(x, u, v) - F(x, u, v) \ge c(|u|^{\mu} + |v|^{\nu})$$

for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $|u| \ge R$ ,  $|v| \ge R$ ;

 $(\mathbf{F}_2)$  There exists positive constant  $C_3$  such that

$$|F(x, u, v)| \le C_3(1 + |u|^p + |v|^q)$$

for all  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ;

 $(\mathbf{F_3})$  It holds that

$$\begin{split} & \limsup_{|(u,v)|\to 0} \frac{2(\max\{\alpha,\beta\}+1)F(x,u,v)}{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}\int_{\Omega}a(x)|u|^{p}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q}\int_{\Omega}d(x)|v|^{q}dx + \int_{\Omega}b(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}dx} <\lambda_{1} \\ & < \liminf_{|(u,v)|\to\infty} \frac{(\min\{\alpha,\beta\}+1)F(x,u,v)}{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}\int_{\Omega}a(x)|u|^{p}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q}\int_{\Omega}d(x)|v|^{q}dx + \int_{\Omega}b(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}dx}, \end{split}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is defined in (1.4).

It should be noticed that the hypothesis ( $\mathbf{F}_3$ ) is related to the interaction of the potential F and the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of (1.3). D.G. Costa [8] was the first to introduce such assumption. A variant of this condition appeared in [14]. The readers may consult the work [9] for the non-degenerate case. **Definition 1.1.** We say that  $(u, v) \in W$  is a weak solution of system (1.1) if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( h_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + h_2(x) |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \right) dx$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (F_u(x, u, v) \varphi + F_v(x, u, v) \psi) dx = 0$$

for all  $(\varphi, \psi) \in W$ .

Our main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that the conditions  $(\mathbf{F_1}) - (\mathbf{F_3})$  are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution.

### 2. Proof of the main result

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 using the mountain pass theorem [3]. The functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is

$$I(u,v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx.$$

By  $(\mathbf{F}_2)$ , we can show that the functional I(u, v) is well defined and is of class  $C^1$  in W. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} I'(u,v)(\phi,\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} \Big( h_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + h_2(x) |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \Big) dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} (F_u(x,u,v)\varphi + F_v(x,u,v)\psi) dx \end{split}$$

for all  $(u, v), (\phi, \psi) \in W$ . Thus, weak solutions of (1.1) are exactly the critical points of the functional I(u, v). First, we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(u_n, v_n)$  be a bounded sequence in W such that  $I(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded and  $I'(u_n, v_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $(u_n, v_n)$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof.** Since the sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded in W, we may consider that there is a subsequence (denote again by  $(u_n, v_n)$ ), which is weakly convergent in W. Moreover, we have that

$$\langle I'(u_n, v_n) - I'(u_m, v_m), (u_n - u_m, v_n - v_m) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) \Big( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m \Big) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) \Big( |\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v_m|^{q-2} \nabla v_m \Big) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v_m) dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Big( F_u(x, u_n, v_n) - F_u(x, u_m, v_m) \Big) (u_n - u_m) dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Big( F_v(x, u_n, v_n) - F_v(x, u_m, v_m) \Big) (v_n - v_m) dx.$$
(2.1)

Using  $(\mathbf{F}_2)$ , the Hölder inequality and Lemma 1.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( F_{u}(x, u_{n}, v_{n}) - F_{u}(x, u_{m}, v_{m}) \right) (u_{n} - u_{m}) dx \right| \\ \leq & \int_{\Omega} \left| F_{u}(x, u_{n}, v_{n}) - F_{u}(x, u_{m}, v_{m}) \right| |u_{n} - u_{m}| dx \\ \leq & \int_{\Omega} \left| F_{u}(x, u_{n}, v_{n}) \right| |u_{n} - u_{m}| dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| F_{u}(x, u_{m}, v_{m}) \right| |u_{n} - u_{m}| dx \\ \leq & \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{p-1} |u_{n} - u_{m}| dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_{m}|^{p-1} |u_{n} - u_{m}| dx \\ \leq & \left\| u_{n} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p-1} \left\| u_{n} - u_{m} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \left\| u_{m} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p-1} \left\| u_{n} - u_{m} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as  $m, n \to \infty$ . Then,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Big( F_u(x,u_n,v_n) - F_u(x,u_m,v_m) \Big) (u_n - u_m) dx = 0.$$
 (2.2)

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( F_v(x,u_n,v_n) - F_v(x,u_m,v_m) \right) (v_n - v_m) dx = 0.$$
 (2.3)

From (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we arrive at

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) \Big( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m \Big) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m) dx = 0$$
(2.4)

and

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) \Big( |\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v_m|^{q-2} \nabla v_m \Big) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v_m) dx = 0.$$
(2.5)

We recall the following inequalities

$$\left( |\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\eta|^{p-2}\eta, \xi - \eta \right) \ge c_1 \left( |\xi| + |\eta| \right)^{p-2} |\xi - \eta|^2 \quad \text{if } 1$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where (.,.) denote the usual product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , see for example [12]. If  $1 , by the Hölder inequality, choosing <math>\phi_n = h_1^{\frac{1}{p}} u_n$ ,  $\phi_m = h_1^{\frac{1}{p}} u_m$ , we

get  

$$0 \leq \|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_{h=n}^p$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_n - \nabla \phi_m|^p \left( |\nabla \phi_n| + |\nabla \phi_m| \right)^{\frac{p(p-2)}{2}} \left( |\nabla \phi_n| + |\nabla \phi_m| \right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_n - \nabla \phi_m|^2 (|\nabla \phi_n| + |\nabla \phi_m|)^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \phi_n| + |\nabla \phi_m|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}$$

$$\leq c_3 \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \phi_n|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_n - |\nabla \phi_m|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_m, \nabla (\phi_n - \phi_m)) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

$$\times \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \phi_n|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_n - |\nabla \phi_m|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_m, \nabla (\phi_n - \phi_m)) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

which implies that  $||u_n - u_m||_{h_{1,p}} \to 0$  by (2.4), as  $m, n \to \infty$ . If  $p \ge 2$ , one has

$$0 \le ||u_n - u_m||_{h_1, p}^p \le c_5 \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m, \nabla (u_n - u_m) \right) dx,$$

so we get  $||u_n - u_m||_{h_1,p} \to 0$  by (2.4), as  $m, n \to \infty$ .  $(u_n)$  is a Cauchy's sequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, h_1)$ . Hence  $(u_n)$  converges strongly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, h_1)$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $(v_n)$  converges strongly in  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, h_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $c \in R$ . Then, the functional I satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition.

**Proof.** According to Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in W. Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  be such a  $(PS)_c$  sequence, that is,  $I(u_n, v_n) \to c$  and  $I'(u_n, v_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n + c &\geq I(u_n, v_n) - I'(u_n, v_n) \left(\frac{u_n}{p}, \frac{v_n}{q}\right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) u_n + \frac{1}{q} F_v(x, u_n, v_n) v_n - F(x, u_n, v_n)\right) dx \\ &\geq c_6 \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{\mu} + |v_n|^{\nu}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which shows from  $(\mathbf{F_1})$  that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{\mu} + |v_n|^{\nu}) dx \le c_7 \text{ for all } n.$$
(2.6)

Next, we use the following interpolation inequality: let  $0 < e_1 < e_2 < e_3$  and suppose that for some measurable function  $u : \Omega \to R$  we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{e_1} dx < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{e_3} dx < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{e_2} dx \le \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{e_1} dx \right)^{\frac{e_3 - e_2}{e_3 - e_1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{e_3} dx \right)^{\frac{e_2 - e_1}{e_3 - e_1}}.$$
(2.7)

We use (2.7) for  $0 < \mu < p < p^*$  and  $0 < \nu < q < q^*$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\mu dx\right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*-\mu}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p-\mu}{p^*-\mu}},\tag{2.8}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^q dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{\nu} dx\right)^{\frac{q^* - q}{q^* - \nu}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{q^*} dx\right)^{\frac{q - \nu}{q^* - \nu}}.$$
(2.9)

Using (2.6), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx \le c_8 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-\mu}{p^*-\mu}}$$
(2.10)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^q dx \le c_9 \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q-\nu}{q^*-\nu}}.$$
(2.11)

By Lemma 1.1, it follows that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p-\mu}{p^*-\mu}} \le c_{10} ||u_n||_{h_1,p}^{\widetilde{p}}$$
(2.12)

and

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{q^*} dx\right)^{\frac{q-\nu}{q^*-\nu}} \le c_{11} \|v_n\|_{h_2,q}^{\widetilde{q}},\tag{2.13}$$

where  $\widetilde{p} = \frac{p-\mu}{p^*-\mu}p^*$  and  $\widetilde{q} = \frac{q-\nu}{q^*-\nu}q^*$ . On the other hand, by (**F**<sub>2</sub>) and (2.8)-(2.13), we get

$$I(u_n, v_n) \ge \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{h_1, p}^p + \frac{1}{q} \|v_n\|_{h_2, q}^q - c_{12} \left( \|u_n\|_{h_1, p}^{\widetilde{p}} + \|v_n\|_{h_2, q}^{\widetilde{q}} \right).$$

Since  $I(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded and  $\tilde{p} < p$ ,  $\tilde{q} < q$ , it follows that  $(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded in W. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that the functional I(u, v) satisfies the  $(PS)_c$ condition (compactness condition). 

Now, we verify that the functional I(u, v) satisfies the geometry of the mountain pass theorem.

#### Lemma 2.3.

- (i) There exist  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $||(u, v)||_W = \rho$  implies  $I(u, v) \ge \sigma > 0$ .
- (ii) There exists  $(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in W$  such that  $\|(\hat{u}, \hat{v})\|_W > \rho$  and  $I(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) < 0$ .

**Proof.** (i) Set  $\theta_* = \frac{1}{\max\{\alpha,\beta\}+1}$ . From the left-hand side of (**F**<sub>3</sub>), there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x,u,v) &\leq \quad \frac{\lambda_1 \theta_*}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^p dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\Omega} d(x) |v|^q dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $||u||_{h_1,p} + ||v||_{h_2,q} = \rho$  which will be chosen later. By (1.4) and the variational characterization of the principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v)dx \le \frac{\theta_*(\alpha+1)}{2p} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{\theta_*(\beta+1)}{2q} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{split} I(u,v) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx \\ &\geq \theta_* \left( \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |\nabla v|^q dx \right) - \int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\theta_*(\alpha+1)}{2p} \|u\|_{h_1,p}^p + \frac{\theta_*(\beta+1)}{2q} \|v\|_{h_2,q}^q. \end{split}$$

Then, there exists  $\sigma, \rho > 0$  such that  $I(u, v) \ge \sigma > 0$  if  $||u||_{h_1, p} + ||v||_{h_2, q} = \rho$ . (ii) Set  $\theta^* = \frac{1}{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}+1}$ . From the right-hand side of (F<sub>3</sub>), we get for  $\epsilon > 0$  and

t sufficiently large that

$$\begin{split} F(x, t^{\frac{1}{p}} u_0, t^{\frac{1}{q}} v_0) &\geq \quad t\theta^*(\lambda_1 + \epsilon) \Big( \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_0|^p dx + \frac{\beta + 1}{q} \int_{\Omega} d(x) |v_0|^q dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_0|^{\alpha + 1} |v_0|^{\beta + 1} dx \Big), \end{split}$$

where  $(u_0, v_0)$  is the eigenfunction pair corresponding to the principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of problem (1.3). Then we have

$$\begin{split} &I(t^{\frac{1}{p}}u_{0},t^{\frac{1}{q}}v_{0})\\ &\leq \quad \frac{t}{p}\int_{\Omega}h_{1}(x)|\nabla u_{0}|^{p}dx + \frac{t}{q}\int_{\Omega}h_{2}(x)|\nabla v_{0}|^{q}dx - \int_{\Omega}F(x,t^{\frac{1}{p}}u_{0},t^{\frac{1}{q}}v_{0})dx\\ &\leq \quad t\theta^{*}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}\int_{\Omega}h_{1}(x)|\nabla u_{0}|^{p}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q}\int_{\Omega}h_{2}(x)|\nabla v_{0}|^{q}dx\right)\\ &\quad -t\theta^{*}(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon)\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}\int_{\Omega}a(x)|u_{0}|^{p}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q}\int_{\Omega}d(x)|v_{0}|^{q}dx + \int_{\Omega}b(x)|u_{0}|^{\alpha+1}|v_{0}|^{\beta+1}dx\right)\\ &= \quad -t\theta^{*}\epsilon\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}\int_{\Omega}a(x)|u_{0}|^{p}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q}\int_{\Omega}d(x)|v_{0}|^{q}dx + \int_{\Omega}b(x)|u_{0}|^{\alpha+1}|v_{0}|^{\beta+1}dx\right). \end{split}$$

We conclude that  $I(t^{\frac{1}{p}}u_0, t^{\frac{1}{q}}v_0) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to +\infty$ , and thus there exists a constant  $t_0$  such that  $I(t_0^{\frac{1}{p}}u_0, t_0^{\frac{1}{q}}v_0) < 0$ . Choose  $\hat{u} = t_0^{\frac{1}{p}}u_0$  and  $\hat{v} = t_0^{\frac{1}{q}}v_0$ , Lemma 2.3 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, all assumptions of the mountain pass theorem in [3] are satisfied. Then the functional I admits a nontrivial critical point in W and thus system (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and fruitful suggestions.

### References

- G.A. Afrouzi, S. Mahdavi and Nikolaos B. Zographopoulos, *Existence of solu*tions for non-uniformly nonlinear elliptic systems, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 167 (2011), 1-9.
- [2] G.A. Afrouzi and S. Mahdavi, Existence results for a class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems, Lithuanian Math. J., 51 (2011), 451-460.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti and P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical points theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., 4 (1973), 349-381.
- [4] L. Boccardo and D.G. De Figueiredo, Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl. (NoDEA), 9 (2002), 309-323.
- [5] P. Caldiroli and R. Musina, On a variational degenerate elliptic problem, Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl. (NoDEA), 7 (2000), 187-199.
- [6] N.T. Chung, Existence of infinitely many solutions for degenerate and singular elliptic systems with indefinite concave nonlinearities, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 30 (2011), 1-12.
- [7] N.T. Chung and H.Q. Toan, On a class of degenerate and singular elliptic systems in bounded domain, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 360 (2009), 422-431.

- [8] D.G. Costa, On a class of elliptic systems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 7 (1994), 1-14.
- [10] P. Drabek, A. Kufner and F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degeneration and singularities, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 1997.
- [11] D.D. Hai and R. Shivaji, An existence result on positive solutions of p-Laplacian systems, Nonlinear Anal., 56 (2004), 1007-1010.
- [12] D.W. Huang and Y.Q. Li, Multiplicity of solutions for a noncooperative p-Laplacian elliptic system in R<sup>N</sup>, J. Differential Equations, 215 (2005), 206-223.
- [13] S. Ma, Nontrivial solutions for resonant cooperative elliptic systems via computations of the critical groups, Nonlinear Analysis, 73 (2010), 3856-3872.
- [15] T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving signchanging weight functions, Nonlinear Analysis, 68 (2008), 1733-1745.
- [16] G. Zhang and Y. Wang, Some existence results for a class of degenerate semilinear elliptic systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 333 (2007), 904-918.
- [17] N.B. Zographopoulos, On the principal eigenvalue of degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems, Math. Nachr., 281 (2008), No. 9, 1351-1365.
- [18] N.B. Zographopoulos, On a class of degenarate potential elliptic system, Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl. (NoDEA), 11 (2004), 191-199.
- [19] N.B. Zographopoulos, p-Laplacian systems on resonance, Appl. Anal., 83 (2004), 509-519.