

Mapping property for bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operator on grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey spaces

Jinqi Wang and Xijuan Chen*

(College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P.R. China)

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to establish the boundedness of the bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$, generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$, on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Under the assumption that variable exponents $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q_i(\cdot)$ for $i = 1, 2$ satisfy the log decay at both infinity and the origin, the authors prove that the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ are bounded on the grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and the grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $MK_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), respectively.

Keywords: Bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral, commutator, space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, grand Herz spaces with variable exponents, grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B35, 47B47

1. Introduction

In 2022, Lu and Tao [12] introduced a bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operator $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$, which is defined on the non-homogeneous metric measure spaces. And also they showed that the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is bounded from the product of spaces $L^{p_1}(\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $L^q(\mu)$, and it is also bounded from the product of Morrey spaces $M_{q_1}^{p_1}(\mu) \times M_{q_2}^{p_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $M_q(\mu)$. The following year, Lu et al. [18] prove that the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is bounded from the product of the generalized Morrey spaces $M_{q_1}^{\mu_1}(\mu) \times M_{q_2}^{\mu_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $M_q^\mu(\mu)$ for $\mu_1\mu_2 = \mu$. More researches on the bilinear θ -type generalized fractional and related operators on various functions spaces can be seen in [11, 13–15, 17]. Inspired by these results, the definition of a bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operator $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let θ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function defined on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(t)}{t} \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

A function $K_{\beta,\theta}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1((\mathbb{R}^n)^3 \setminus \{(x, x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\})$ is called a bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral kernel if there exists a positive constant C such that,

Corresponding author and E-mail address: Xijuan Chen, chenxijuan2023@126.com (X. Chen).

(a) for all x, x', y_1, y_2 with $x \neq y_i, i = 1, 2$,

$$|K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y_2)| \leq \frac{C}{(|x - y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x - y_2|^{(1-\beta)})^{2n}}; \quad (1.2)$$

(b) for all x, y_1, y_2 with $|x - x'| \leq 1/2 \max\{|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|\}$,

$$|K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y_2) - K_{\beta, \theta}(x', y_1, y_2)| \leq \frac{C\theta\left(\frac{|x-x'|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\right)}{(|x - y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x - y_2|^{(1-\beta)})^{2n}}; \quad (1.3)$$

(c) for all x, y_1, y'_1, y_2 with $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq 1/2 \max\{|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|\}$,

$$|K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y_2) - K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y'_1, y_2)| \leq \frac{C\theta\left(\frac{|y_1-y'_1|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\right)}{(|x - y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x - y_2|^{(1-\beta)})^{2n}}; \quad (1.4)$$

(d) for all x, y_1, y_2, y'_2 with $|y_2 - y'_2| \leq 1/2 \max\{|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|\}$,

$$|K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y_2) - K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y'_2)| \leq \frac{C\theta\left(\frac{|y_2-y'_2|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\right)}{(|x - y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x - y_2|^{(1-\beta)})^{2n}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\beta \in (0, \infty)$.

A bilinear operator $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ is called a bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operator with kernels $K_{\beta, \theta}$ satisfying (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) if, for all $f_1, f_2 \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x \notin (\text{supp}(f_1) \cap \text{supp}(f_2))$,

$$B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K_{\beta, \theta}(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \quad (1.6)$$

Given $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}$ generated by b_1, b_2 and the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1, f_2)(x) = & b_1(x) b_2(x) B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - b_1(x) B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, b_2(\cdot) f_2)(x) \\ & - b_2(x) B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(b_1(\cdot) f_1, f_2)(x) + B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(b_1(\cdot) f_1, b_2(\cdot) f_2)(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Also, the following definitions are provided for the commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1}$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_2}$:

$$B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_1(x) B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(b_1(\cdot) f_1, f_2)(x),$$

$$B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_2}(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_2(x) B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, b_2(\cdot) f_2)(x).$$

Remark 1.2. (a) For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$, if we take the $\theta(t) = t^\delta$, then the above bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ is just the bilinear generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_\beta$ associated with kernel K_β (see [5]).

(b) If we take $\beta = 0$ in (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), then the operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ is just the bilinear θ -type Calderón-Zygmund operators T_θ in [10].

(c) If we take $\theta = t^\delta$ and $\beta = 0$ in (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) then the operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ is just the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators T in [7].

As we also know, the theory of function spaces has many applications in harmonic analysis, including partial differential equations and wavelet analysis [1, 8, 13]. In 1992, T. Iwaniec and

C. Sbordone [19] first introduced the grand Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since then, many papers have focused on the bounded properties of integral operators on spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$, and we can find in [2, 28]. On these bases, further advances have been made in the study of the grand spaces with variable exponents. For example, in 2020, H. Nafis et al. [20] introduced the grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot), \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and they proved that sublinear operators T is bounded on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot), \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 2022, H. Nafis et al. [21] proved the boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators $\mathcal{T}$ on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot), \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $-n/q_i(\infty) < \alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty) < n(1 - 1/q_i(0))$. In the same year, B. Sultan et al. [23] introduced the grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $M\dot{K}_{p(\cdot), \theta, q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and proved that the Riesz potential operator I^γ is bounded from spaces $M\dot{K}_{p(\cdot), \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into spaces $M\dot{K}_{p(\cdot), \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Subsequently, the boundedness of a variety of operators within these spaces has been established, including the fractional type Marcinkiewicz integral operators $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \rho, m}$ [3], Hardy operators H , H^* [24], higher order commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral $[b^m, \mu_\Phi]$ [25], among others. Moreover, more development of the grand spaces can be seen in [16, 17, 25, 26].

In this paper, the authors consider the boundedness of the θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}$ are bounded on grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $M\dot{K}_{p(\cdot), \theta, q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $MK_{p(\cdot), \theta, q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot), \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot), \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), respectively. Before stating the organization of this paper, we need to recall some necessary definitions and notations.

The following basic facts about Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent are introduced by [27].

Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ be a measurable function, for any $p(\cdot)$,

$$1 \leq p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ < \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$p_- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) > 1; \quad p_+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty,$$

we denote $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p(\cdot)$ satisfy the above inequality, and if

$$I_{p(\cdot)}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty,$$

then $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is obvious that it is a Banach space being equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : I_{p(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}.$$

The following facts about log-Hölder continuous can be found in [20].

A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is log-Hölder continuous if there exists a constant $C = C_{\log} > 0$ such that,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}, \quad |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If the following two conditions

$$|g(x) - g_\infty| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + |x|)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$|g(x) - g(0)| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x|)}, \quad |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.10)$$

are satisfied. In such cases, the function $g(\cdot)$ is said to have a log-decay at infinity and at the origin, where $g_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)$.

We now recall the definition of a grand Lebesgue sequence space introduced in [22] as follows:

Definition 1.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. Then the grand Lebesgue sequence spaces $l^{p),\theta}$ is defined by

$$\|X\|_{l^{p),\theta}(\mathbb{X})} = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{X}} |x_k|^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \|X\|_{l^{p(1+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{X})} < \infty,$$

where $X = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{X}}$ and $\mathbb{X}$ represents one of sets $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_0$.

In conclusion, we recall the definition of grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents (see [23]).

Definition 1.4. Let $0 \leq \lambda < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. Suppose that the variable exponents $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the homogeneous grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \|f\|_{M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} f \chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}. \quad (1.11)$$

The non-homogeneous grand Herz-Morrey space with variable exponents is

$$MK_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{MK_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{MK_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} f \chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}.$$

Remark 1.5. (a) If we take $\lambda = 0$ in (1.11), then the spaces $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just the homogeneous grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(b) When $\epsilon = 0$, then $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; when $\epsilon = 0$ and $\alpha(\cdot) \equiv \text{const}$, then $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove that the θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$, formed by $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, are bounded from spaces $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. Furthermore, we recall some fundamental lemmas that are required for the proof of the main results. In Section 3, we prove that $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$

and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ are bounded on spaces $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). In Section 4, the boundedness of the operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) is also obtained.

It is now necessary to confirm the symbols and nations of this article. C represents an constant independent of the main parameters, but it may be different from row to column. $p(\cdot)$ represents the conjugate exponents defined by $1/p(\cdot) + 1/p'(\cdot) = 1$. The expression $f \approx g$ means $C_1 f \leq g \leq C_2 f$. We also need denote $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 2^k\}$ and $D_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first consider the boundedness of the θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ generated by the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on the Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, to show the main theorems, we need to recall some basic facts.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < p_i^- \leq p_i^+ < \infty$. Suppose that $q_i(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.9), (1.10) and $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{q_1(x)} + \frac{1}{q_2(x)} - 2\beta$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $f_i \in L^{q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($i = 1, 2$),*

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1, f_1)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p_i^- \leq p_i^+ < \infty$ and $b_i \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $q_i(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.9), (1.10) and $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{q_1(x)} + \frac{1}{q_2(x)} - 2\beta$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $f_i \in L^{q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($i = 1, 2$),*

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1, f_1)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|b_1\|_* \|b_2\|_* \|f_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 2.3. The proofs for Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [6], respectively. Consequently, they are omitted here for brevity.

The following generalized Hölder's inequality can be found in [4].

Lemma 2.4. *If $p(\cdot), q(\cdot), r(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $1/r(x) = 1/p(x) + 1/q(x)$, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $fg \in L^{r(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Finally, we recall the following characterisation of the spaces $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [9].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k > l$ and $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\sup_{B \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|(b - b_B)\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|b\|_*$$

and

$$\|(b - b_{B_l})\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(k - l) \|b\|_* \|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

3. Estimate for $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on spaces $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, the authors mainly consider the θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ are bounded on spaces $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). The main results of this section are stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\theta > 1$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (1.9) and (1.10). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in M\dot{K}_{p_i,\theta,q_i(\cdot)}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1,\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2,\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (1.9) and (1.10). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in M\dot{K}_{p_i,\theta,q_i(\cdot)}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1, f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|b_1\|_* \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1,\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|b_2\|_* \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2,\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Remark 3.3. The results of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be applied to non-homogeneous Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents using similar arguments.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $f_i \in M\dot{K}_{p_i,\theta,q_i(\cdot)}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and decompose

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x) \chi_l(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1^l(x), \quad f_2(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(x) \chi_j(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_2^j(x).$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}_{p,\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6 + E_7 + E_8 + E_9, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\ E_2 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_4 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_5 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_6 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_7 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_8 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
E_9 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is necessary to estimate E_1 , E_2 , E_3 , E_5 , E_6 and E_9 , since E_4 , E_7 and E_8 can be obtained by following a similar methodology to that used for E_2 , E_3 and E_6 , respectively. Then, For E_1 , we first set $l, j \leq k-2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_i| \geq C2^k$. Then, for $x \in D_k$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| &\leq C2^{-2n} 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^l(y_1)| |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1} \|f_2^j\|_{L^1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

From the Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \left\| \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| \widetilde{BT}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(a, f_2^j) \chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{13} + E_{14} + E_{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

For E_{11} , by (3.1) and Lemma 2.4, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{11} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = E_{11}^1 \times E_{11}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For E_{11}^1 , we have established that it satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{11}^1 & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{l\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n+\alpha_1(0)\right)} 2^{\frac{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n+\alpha_1(0)\right)p_1(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1} 2^{\frac{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n+\alpha_1(0)\right)p_1'(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p_1(1+\epsilon)}{p_1'(1+\epsilon)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With an argument similar to that used in the estimate for E_{11}^1 , it is easy to get

$$E_{11}^2 \leq C \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{12} , since $q_i(0) \leq q_i(\infty)$ and $\alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty)$ are given, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{12} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = E_{12}^1 \times E_{12}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for E_{12}^1 , E_{12}^2 and E_{11}^1 are similar, hence, it is easy to get

$$E_{12}^1 E_{12}^2 \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{13} , by (3.1), $q_1(0) \leq q_1(\infty)$ and $\alpha_1(0) \leq \alpha_1(\infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{13} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{13}^1 \times E_{13}^2. \end{aligned}$$

With a way similar to that used in the estimate for E_{11} , it is not difficult to get

$$E_{13} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From the symmetry, by E_{13} , we get

$$E_{14} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{15} , from (3.1), Lemma 2.4 and $\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} - n + \alpha_i(\infty) < 0$, just like E_{11} , we obtain

$$E_{15} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_2 , we set $l \leq k-2$, $k-1 \leq j \leq k+1$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x - y_2| \geq 0$. For $x \in D_k$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| &\leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^l(y_1)| |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1} \|f_2^j\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

by this and the Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{21} + E_{22} + E_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

For E_{21} , by (3.2) and Lemma 2.4, we conclude

$$E_{21} \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_{21}^1 \times E_{21}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since E_{21}^1 and E_{11}^1 are the same. Thus, we only turn E_{21}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{21}^2 & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)} \|f_2^k\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \leq C \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For E_{22} , by (3.2) and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{22} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_{22}^1 \times E_{22}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since E_{22}^2 and E_{21}^2 are similar, and $E_{22}^1 = E_{12}^1$, it is easy to get

$$E_{22} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{23} , by (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{23} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_{23}^1 \times E_{23}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we can find $E_{23}^1 = E_{15}^1$ and $E_{23}^2 = E_{22}^2$. Therefore, we obtain an estimate of E_{23} .

For E_3 , we set $l \leq k-2$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x - y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_1^l(y_1)| |f_2^j(y_2)|}{\left(2^{k(1-\beta)} + 2^{j(1-\beta)} \right)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \leq C 2^{-nk(1-\beta)} 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1} \|f_2^j\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

write

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

For E_{31} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{31} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= E_{31}^1 E_{31}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $E_{31}^1 = E_{11}^1$, hence, we only estimate E_{31}^2 . Write

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{31}^2 &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= D_1 + D_2.
\end{aligned}$$

With an argument similar to that used in E_{11}^1 , it is easy to get E_{31}^2 . For E_{32} , by (3.3) and $q_1(0) \leq q_1(\infty)$, write

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{32} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= E_{32}^1 E_{32}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious to find that $E_{32}^1 = E_{12}^1$ and the estimate of E_{32}^2 is similar to D_2 . Hence, we have

$$E_{32} \leq C \|f_1\|_{MK_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{MK_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{33} , just like E_{32} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{33} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{33}^1 E_{33}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have $E_{33}^1 = E_{23}^1$ and $E_{33}^2 = E_{32}^2$, thus E_{33} is estimated.

For E_5 , by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned} E_5 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{51} + E_{52}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned} E_{51} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1(\cdot),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2(\cdot),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

as with the estimation of E_{51} , the estimation of E_{52} follows a similar procedure and is therefore easy to obtain.

For E_6 , we set $k-1 \leq l \leq k+1$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq 0$ and $|x - y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x)| &\leq C 2^{-2nj(1-\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^l(y_1)| |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C 2^{-nk(1-\beta)} 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1} \|f_2^j\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

from this and the Minkowski's inequality, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{61} + E_{62}. \end{aligned}$$

For E_{61} , we conclude the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} E_{61} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{61}^1 E_{61}^2. \end{aligned}$$

E_{61}^1 is similar to E_{21}^2 , and since $E_{61}^2 = E_{31}^2$, it is easy to get

$$E_{61} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{62} , by (3.4) and Lemma 2.4, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} E_{62} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{62}^1 E_{62}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates of E_{62}^1 and E_{62}^2 are similar E_{22}^2 and E_{31}^2 , respectively, it is easy to see that

$$E_{62} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_9 , we set $l, j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^l$ and $|x - y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_1^l(y_1)| |f_2^j(y_2)|}{\left(2^{l(1-\beta)} + 2^{j(1-\beta)} \right)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C 2^{-nl(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1} 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_9 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= E_{91} + E_{92}. \end{aligned}$$

For E_{91} , by (3.5) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following result

$$E_{91} \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_{91}^1 E_{91}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to find that E_{91}^1 and E_{91}^2 are similar to E_{32}^2 , hence, here we omit the details of the proof.

For E_{92} , it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{92} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)} 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = E_{92}^1 E_{92}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates for E_{92}^1 and E_{92}^2 are similar to E_{32}^2 . So, it is not difficult to obtain that

$$E_{92} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Which, combining the estimates for $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_9$, yields the desired result. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\|b_1\|_* = \|b_2\|_* = 1$, $f_i \in M\dot{K}_{p_i, \theta, q_i(\cdot)}^{\alpha_i(\cdot), \lambda_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and decompose

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \chi_l(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1^l(x), \quad f_2(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \chi_j(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_2^j(x).$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1, f_2) \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{p, \theta, q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1, f_2) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)}^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5 + F_6 + F_7 + F_8 + F_9, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 & = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\ F_2 & = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\ F_3 & = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_4 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
F_5 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
F_6 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
F_7 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
F_8 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}; \\
F_9 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| 2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is necessary to estimate F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5, F_6 and F_9 , since F_4, F_7 and F_8 can be obtained by following a similar methodology to that used for F_2, F_3 and F_6 , respectively. For F_1 , we set $l, j \leq k-2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_i| \geq C2^k$. For $x \in D_k$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b_1(x) - b_1(y_1)| |f_1^l(y_1)| dy_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b_2(x) - b_2(y_2)| |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_2 \\
& \leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \left(|b_1(x) - b_{B_l}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^l(y_1)| dy_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b_{B_l} - b_1(y_1)| |f_1^l(y_1)| dy_1 \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(|b_2(x) - b_{B_j}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b_{B_j} - b_2(y_2)| |f_2^j(y_2)| dy_2 \right) \\
& \leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \left(\|b_1(\cdot) - b_{B_l}\|_{\chi_l} \| \chi_l \|_{q'_1(\cdot)} + \| |b_{B_l} - b_1| \chi_l \|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \right) \\
& \quad \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \left(\|b_2(\cdot) - b_{B_j}\|_{\chi_j} \| \chi_j \|_{q'_2(\cdot)} + \| |b_{B_j} - b_2| \chi_j \|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \right). \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

From Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{11} + F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{11} , by (3.1), (3.6), Hölder's inequality, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{11} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(0) + (k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} (k-j) 2^{k\alpha_2(0) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(0)} - n)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{11}^1 \times F_{11}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{11}^1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{11}^1 & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1} 2^{l\alpha_1(0)p_1(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n + \alpha_1(0))p_1(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1} (k-l)^{p'_1(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n + \alpha_1(0))p'_1(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p_1(1+\epsilon)}{p'_1(1+\epsilon)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With an argument similar to that used in the estimate for F_{11}^1 , it is easy to get

$$F_{11}^2 \leq C \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{12} , by (3.1), (3.6), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{12} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty) + (k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} (k-j) 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(0)} - n)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{12}^1 \times F_{12}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for F_{12}^1 , F_{12}^2 and F_{11}^1 are similar, hence, it is easy to get

$$F_{12} \leq C \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{13} , by $q_2(0) \leq q_2(\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} (k-l) 2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right) \times \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} (k-j) 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

With a way similar to that used in the estimate for F_{12} , it is not difficult to get

$$F_{13} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1(\cdot),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2(\cdot),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From the symmetry, by F_{13} we get

$$F_{14} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1(\cdot),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2(\cdot),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{15} , from (3.6), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 and $\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} - n + \alpha_i(\infty) < 0$, just like F_{11} , we obtain

$$F_{15} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1(\cdot),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2(\cdot),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_2 , we set $l \leq k-2$, $k-1 \leq j \leq k+1$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x - y_1| \geq 0$. For $x \in D_k$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| \\ & \leq C 2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \left(\|b_1(\cdot) - b_{B_l}\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \|\chi_l\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_l} - b_1\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \right) \\ & \quad \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \left(\|b_2(\cdot) - b_{B_j}\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \|\chi_j\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_j} - b_2\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

by this and Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 & \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = F_{21} + F_{22} + F_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

For F_{21} , by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(0) + (k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0) + (k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(0)}-n\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & = F_{21}^1 \times F_{21}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since F_{21}^1 and F_{11}^1 are the same. Thus, we only turn F_{21}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21}^2 &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k \alpha_2(0) p_2(1+\epsilon)} \|f_2^k\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k \alpha_2(0) p_2(1+\epsilon)} \|f_2^k\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\leq C \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For F_{22} , by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{22} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} (k-l) 2^{k \alpha_1(\infty) + (k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k \alpha_2(\infty) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= F_{22}^1 \times F_{22}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since F_{22}^2 and F_{12}^1 are similar, and $F_{22}^1 = F_{12}^1$, it is easy to get

$$F_{22} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{23} , by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{23} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} (k-l) 2^{k \alpha_1(\infty) + (k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k \alpha_2(\infty) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= F_{23}^1 \times F_{23}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we can find $F_{23}^1 = F_{15}^1$ and $F_{23}^2 = F_{22}^2$. Therefore, we obtain an estimate of F_{23} .

For F_3 , we set $l \leq k-2$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x - y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_l, f_j)(x) \right| \\ &\leq C 2^{-nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \left(\|b_1(\cdot) - b_{B_l}\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \|\chi_l\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_l} - b_1\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \right) \\ &\quad \times 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \left(\|b_2(\cdot) - b_{B_k}\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \|\chi_j\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_k} - b_2\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

write

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k \alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k \alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{31} + F_{32} + F_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{31} , by (3.3), (3.8), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{31} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(0)+(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{31}^1 F_{31}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $F_{31}^1 = F_{11}^1$, hence, we only estimate F_{31}^2 . Write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{31}^2 & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad + C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = D_3 + D_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For D_3 , by the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3 & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} 2^{j\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta+\alpha_2(0))p_2(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} (j-k)^{p_2'(1+\epsilon)} 2^{j\alpha_2(0)p_2'(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta+\alpha_2(0))p_2'(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p_2(1+\epsilon)}{p_2'(1+\epsilon)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} 2^{j\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{\frac{(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta+\alpha_2(0))p_2(1+\epsilon)}{2}} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq C \|f_2\|_{MK^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Just like the D_3 , we also have

$$D_4 \leq C \|f_2\|_{MK^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{32} , by (3.3), (3.8), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, write

$$F_{32} \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty) + (k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{32}^1 F_{32}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious to find that $F_{32}^1 = F_{12}^1$ and the estimate of F_{32}^2 is similar to D_3 . Hence, we have

$$F_{32} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{33} , just like F_{32} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{33} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} (k-l) 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty) + (k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n\right)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(\infty) + (k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta\right)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{33}^1 F_{33}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $F_{33}^1 = F_{23}^1$ and $F_{33}^2 = F_{32}^2$, thus, F_{33} is estimated.

For F_5 , by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_5 & \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{51} + F_{52}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{51} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|b_2\|_* \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

as with the estimation of F_{51} , the estimation of F_{52} follows a similar procedure and is therefore easy to obtain.

For F_6 , we set $k-1 \leq l \leq k+1$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq 0$ and $|x - y_1| \geq C2^j$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-nk(1-\beta)} 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \left(\|b_1(\cdot) - b_{B_l}\|_{\chi_l|_{q'_1(\cdot)}} + \|b_{B_l} - b_1\|_{\chi_l|_{q'_1(\cdot)}} \right) \\
& \quad \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \left(\|b_2(\cdot) - b_{B_k}\|_{\chi_j|_{q'_2(\cdot)}} + \|b_{B_k} - b_2\|_{\chi_j|_{q'_2(\cdot)}} \right), \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

from this and Minkowski's inequality, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_6 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= F_{61} + F_{62}. \end{aligned}$$

For F_{61} , by (3.4), (3.9) and Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we conclude that F_{61} satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} F_{61} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(0)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(0)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= F_{61}^1 F_{61}^2, \end{aligned}$$

F_{61}^1 is similar to F_{21}^2 and $F_{61}^2 = F_{31}^2$, we obtain

$$F_{61} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{62} , by (3.9), we consider

$$\begin{aligned} F_{62} &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)} 2^{(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)} - n)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0) + (k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)} - n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= F_{62}^1 F_{62}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates of F_{62}^1 and F_{62}^2 are similar F_{23}^2 and F_{23}^1 , respectively, we conclude

$$F_{62} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1, \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2, \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_9 , we set $l, j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x - y_1| \geq C2^l$ and $|x - y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x) \right| \\ &\leq C 2^{-nl(1-\beta)} 2^{-nj(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \left(\|b_1(\cdot) - b_{B_k}\| \|\chi_l\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_k} - b_1\| \|\chi_l\|_{q'_1(\cdot)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \left(\|b_2(\cdot) - b_{B_k}\| \|\chi_j\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} + \|b_{B_k} - b_2\| \|\chi_j\|_{q'_2(\cdot)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$F_9 \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1^l, f_2^j) \chi_k \right\|_{q(\cdot)} \right)^{p(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{91} + F_{92}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{91} , by (3.5), (3.10), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{91} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} (l-k) 2^{k\alpha_1(0)+(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{91}^1 F_{91}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to find that F_{91}^1 is similar to F_{32}^2 , hence, here we omit the details of the proof.

For F_{92} , it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{92} & \leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_1} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} (l-k) 2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)+(k-l)(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)} \right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad \times \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0 \lambda_2} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} (j-k) 2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta)} \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)} \right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = F_{92}^1 F_{92}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates for F_{91}^1 and F_{92}^2 are similar to F_{32}^2 . So, we have

$$F_{92} \leq C \|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_1(\cdot), \theta, q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1(\cdot), \lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}_{p_2(\cdot), \theta, q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2(\cdot), \lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Which, combining the estimates for $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_9$, yields the desired result. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished.

4. Estimate for $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ and $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}$ on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, the authors mainly consider the θ -type generalized fractional integral operators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ and their commutators $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}$ generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the $B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}$ are bounded on grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). The main results of this section are stated as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (1.9) and (1.10). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (1.9) and (1.10). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|B\tilde{T}_{\beta, \theta, b_1, b_2}(f_1, f_2)\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|b_1\|_* \|b_2\|_* \|f_1\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Remark 4.3. (a) Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 can be shown to be true in the same way as Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Consequently, a detailed presentation of the respective proofs is not included here. (b) Given that the proof for the non-homogeneous case can be treated by a similar method, the results presented of theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are applicable to the non-homogeneous grand Herz spaces with variable exponents.

Conflict of interest

All authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12201500) and Master Foundation of Northwest Normal University (Grant No. KYZZS2025115).

References

- [1] Aarab, I., Tagmouti, M.A.: Harmonic oscillator perturbed by a decreasing scalar potential. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 11, 141-157 (2020).
- [2] Berezhnoi, E., Karapetyants, A.: Grand and small norms in Lebesgue spaces. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 47, 725-741 (2024).
- [3] Chen, X.J., Lu, G.H., Tao, W.W.: Fractional type Marcinkiewicz integral and its commutator on grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 15, 1-19 (2024).
- [4] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A., Martell, J.M., Pérez, C.: The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 31, 239-264 (2006).
- [5] Fu, X., Yang, D.C., Yuan, W.: Generalized fractional integrals and their commutators over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces. Taiwan. J. Math. 18, 509-557 (2014).
- [6] Huang, A.W., Xu, J.S.: Multilinear singular integrals and commutators in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. 25, 69-77 (2010).
- [7] Hu, G.E., Meng, Y., Yang, D.C.: Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Caldern-Zygmund operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces. Forum Math. 26, 1289-1322 (2014).
- [8] Izuki, M.: Herz and amalgam spaces with variable exponent, the Haar wavelets and greediness of the wavelet system. East J. Approx. 15, 87-109 (2009).
- [9] Izuki, M.: Boundedness of commutators on Herz spaces with variable exponent. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. 59, 199-213 (2010).
- [10] Lu, G.H.: Bilinear θ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on non-homogeneous weighted Morrey spaces. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM. 115, 1-15 (2021).

- [11] Lu, G.H., Rui, L.: θ -type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on some non-homogeneous variable exponent spaces. *AIMS Math.* 6, 9619-9632 (2021).
- [12] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P.: Bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral operator and its commutator on some non-homogeneous spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 174, 1-32 (2022).
- [13] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P.: Two-weighted estimate for generalized fractional integral and its commutator on generalized fractional Morrey spaces. *J. Math. Study.* 56, 345-356 (2023).
- [14] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P.: Bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 53, 839-857 (2023).
- [15] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P.: Two classes of bilinear fractional integral operators and their commutators on generalized fractional Morrey spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 12, 1-24 (2021).
- [16] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P., Liu, R.H.: θ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on (grand) generalized weighted variable exponent Morrey space over RD-spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 14, 1-22 (2023).
- [17] Lu, G.H., Tao, S.P., Wang, M.M.: Estimates for bilinear generalized fractional integral operator and its commutator on generalized Morrey spaces over RD-spaces. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 15, 1-47 (2024).
- [18] Lu, G.H., Wang, M.M., Tao, S.P.: Estimates for bilinear θ -type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on new non-homogeneous generalized Morrey spaces. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces.* 11, 1-24 (2023).
- [19] Iwaniec, T., Sbordone, C.: On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 119, 129-143 (1992)
- [20] Nafis, H., Rafeiro, H., Zaighum, M.A.: A note on the boundedness of sublinear operators on grand variable Herz spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2020, 1-13 (2020).
- [21] Nafis, H., Rafeiro, H., Zaighum, M.A.: Boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators on grand variable Herz spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces.* 2022, 1-11 (2022).
- [22] Rafeiro, H., Samko, S., Umarmkhadzhev, S.: Grand Lebesgue sequence spaces. *Georgian Math. J.* 25, 291-302 (2018).
- [23] Sultan, B., Azmi, F., Sultan, M., Mehmood, M., Mlaiki, N.: Boundedness of Riesz Potential Operator on Grand Herz-Morrey Spaces. *Axioms.* 11, 1-14 (2022).
- [24] Sultan, B., Sultan, M.: Boundedness of higher order commutators of Hardy operators on grand Herz-Morrey spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 190, 1-19 (2024).
- [25] Sultan, B., Sultan, M., Gübüz, F.: BMO estimate for the higher order commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral operator on grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat.* 72, 1000-1018 (2023).
- [26] Sultan, M., Sultan, B., Hussain, A.: Grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Math. Notes.* 114, 957-977 (2023).
- [27] Wang, L.W.: Parametrized Littlewood-Paley operators on grand variable Herz spaces. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 13, 1-26 (2022).
- [28] Yang, S., Sun, J.W., Li, B.D.: Maximal and Calderón-Zygmund operators in grand variable Lebesgue spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 17, 1-28 (2023).