

The Sharp Bounds of the Hankel Determinant of the Four-Leaf-Type Bounded Turning Functions

Dong GUO¹, Huo TANG², Xi LUO³, Zongtao LI^{3,*}

1. School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou Polytechnic College, Jiangsu 225009, P. R. China;

2. College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chifeng University, Inner Mongolia 024000, P. R. China;

3. School of Mathematics, Jiaying University, Guangdong 514015, P. R. China;

4. School of Public Basic Education, Guangzhou Civil Aviation College, Guangdong 510403, P. R. China

Abstract In the paper, a family of bounded turning functions involving a four-leaf-type domain is studied in the unit disk. The goal of the study is to explore the bounds of second and the third Hankel determinant for functions in the class. All of obtained bounds have been sharp.

Keywords Hankel determinant; bounded turning functions; four-leaf-type

MR(2020) Subject Classification 30C45; 30C50

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of all functions $g(\zeta)$ which are holomorphic in unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ and with the normalization $g(0) = g'(0) - 1 = 0$. Therefore, for $g(\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}$, one has

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \zeta^n \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$ represent all functions that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

For given parameters $j, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{j,n}(g)$ was defined by Pommerenke [1, 2] for a function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ having power series expansion (1.1) as follows:

$$H_{j,n}(g) = \begin{vmatrix} b_n & b_{n+1} & \cdots & b_{n+j-1} \\ b_{n+1} & b_{n+2} & \cdots & b_{n+j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{n+j-1} & b_{n+j} & \cdots & b_{n+2j-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The bound of $|H_{j,n}(g)|$ has been evaluated for different subclasses of univalent functions. Exceptionally, for each of the sets \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{R} the sharp bound of the determinant $|H_{2,2}(g)| = |b_2 b_4 - b_3^2|$ were obtained by Janteng et al. [3, 4]. For more work on $|H_{2,2}(g)|$, see [5–7]. The determinant

$$H_{3,1}(g) = 2b_2 b_3 b_4 - b_3^3 - b_4^2 + b_3 b_5 - b_2^2 b_5 \quad (1.2)$$

is known as third order Hankel determinant and the estimation of this determinant $|H_{3,1}(g)|$ is a challenging task. Recently, the sharp bounds of $|H_{3,1}(g)|$ for some subclasses of univalent functions were found by few authors [8–19].

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Provincial Department of Education (Grant Nos. KJ2020A0993; KJ2020ZD74), the high-level talent research start-up project (Grant No. DC2300000286).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: gd791217@163.com (Dong GUO); thth2009@163.com (Huo TANG); 93030910@qq.com (Xi LUO); lizt2046@163.com (Zongtao LI)

In [20], Alshehry and his coauthors introduced a subfamily of starlike functions associated with a four-leaf function defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{4l}^* = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S} : \frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} \prec 1 + \frac{5}{6}\zeta + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^5, (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

Similar to the definition of \mathcal{S}_{4l}^* , Sunthrayuth et al. [21] defined a new subclass of bounded turning functions defined by

$$\mathcal{BT}_{4l} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S} : g'(\zeta) \prec 1 + \frac{5}{6}\zeta + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^5, (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

Alshehry et al. [20] obtained the sharp bounds of logarithmic coefficients, and the second-order Hankel determinant of of logarithmic coefficients. In 2022, Shi et al. [18] obtained the sharp bound of the third-order Hankel determinant and showed that $|H_{3,1}| \leq \frac{25}{324}$ for the class \mathcal{S}_{4l}^* . Sunthrayuth and his coauthors [21] studied the second-order Hankel determinant, Kruskal inequality and the bounds of the coefficient inequalities of the class \mathcal{BT}_{4l} .

In the paper, we study and obtain the sharp bounds of the second and third-order Hankel determinant of bounded turning class \mathcal{BT}_{4l} .

Let \mathcal{P} be the family of functions p that are holomorphic in \mathbb{U} with $\text{Rep}(\zeta) > 0$ and the power series form as follow:

$$p(\zeta) = p_1\zeta + p_2\zeta^2 + p_3\zeta^3 + \dots \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.3)$$

Lemma 1.1 ([22,23]) *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (1.3), then*

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + y(4 - p_1^2), \quad (1.4)$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1y(4 - p_1^2) - p_1y^2(4 - p_1^2) + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)\delta, \quad (1.5)$$

$$8p_4 = p_1^4 + (4 - p_1^2)y[p_1^2(y^2 - 3y + 3) + 4y] - 4(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |y|^2) \\ [p_1(y - 1)\delta + \bar{y}\delta^2 - (1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma]. \quad (1.6)$$

for some y, δ, σ with $|y| \leq 1$, $|\delta| \leq 1$ and $|\sigma| \leq 1$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1 *If $g \in \mathcal{BT}_{4l}$, then*

$$|H_{3,1}(g)| \leq \frac{25}{576}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof Since $g \in \mathcal{BT}_{4l}$, from subordination definition there exists a Schwarz function $w(\zeta)$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(\zeta)| < 1$, in such a way that

$$g'(\zeta) = 1 + \frac{5}{6}w(\zeta) + \frac{1}{6}w^5(\zeta).$$

Define a function

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{1 + \omega(\zeta)}{1 - \omega(\zeta)} = 1 + p_1\zeta + p_2\zeta^2 + p_3\zeta^3 + p_4\zeta^4 + \dots$$

Clearly, we have $p(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\zeta) &= \frac{p(\zeta) - 1}{1 + p(\zeta)} = \frac{p_1\zeta + p_2\zeta^2 + p_3\zeta^3 + p_4\zeta^4 + \dots}{2 + p_1\zeta + p_2\zeta^2 + p_3\zeta^3 + p_4\zeta^4 + \dots} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}p_1\zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1^2\right)\zeta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{8}p_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1p_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_3\right)\zeta^3 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_4 - \frac{1}{2}p_1p_3 - \frac{1}{4}p_2^2 - \frac{1}{16}p_1^4 + \frac{3}{8}p_1^2p_2\right)\zeta^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{5}{6}w(\zeta) + \frac{1}{6}w^5(\zeta) &= 1 + \frac{5}{12}p_1\zeta + \left(\frac{5}{12}p_2 - \frac{5}{24}p_1^2\right)\zeta^2 + \left(\frac{5}{12}p_3 - \frac{5}{12}p_1p_2 + \frac{5}{48}p_1^3\right)\zeta^3 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{5}{12}p_4 - \frac{5}{24}p_2^2 - \frac{5}{12}p_1p_3 + \frac{5}{16}p_1^2p_2 - \frac{5}{96}p_1^4\right)\zeta^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

From (1.1), we yield

$$g'(\zeta) = 1 + 2b_2\zeta + 3b_3\zeta^2 + 4b_4\zeta^3 + 5b_5\zeta^4 + \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2), we may obtain

$$\begin{cases} b_2 = \frac{5p_1}{24}, \\ b_3 = \frac{1}{72}(-5p_1^2 + 10p_2), \\ b_4 = \frac{1}{192}(20p_3 + 5p_1^3 - 20p_1p_2), \\ b_5 = \frac{1}{480}(-20p_2^2 + 40p_4 + 30p_1^2p_2 - 5p_1^4 - 40p_1p_3). \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.3) and (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3,1}(g) &= \frac{1}{14929920}(-8400p_1^4p_2 + 14400p_1^3p_3 + 1175p_1^6 + 7800p_1^2p_2^2 + 172800p_2p_4 + 241200p_1p_2p_3 \\ &\quad - 140400p_1^2p_4 - 126400p_2^3 - 162000p_3^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let $p_1 = p \in [0, 2]$, $l = 4 - p^2$ in (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -8400p^4p_2 &= -4200p^6 - 4200p^4ly, \\ 14400p^3p_3 &= 3600p^6 + 7200p^4ly - 3600p^4ly^2 + 7200p^3l(1 - |y|^2)\delta, \\ 7800p^2p_2^2 &= 1950p^6 + 3900p^4ly + 1950p^2l^2y^2, \\ -140400p^2p_4 &= -17550p^4ly^3 + 70200p^2l\bar{y}(1 - |y|^2)\delta^2 + 70200p^3ly(1 - |y|^2)\delta + 52650p^4ly^2 \\ &\quad - 70200p^2l(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma - 70200p^3l(1 - |y|^2)\delta - 52650p^4ly \\ &\quad - 17550p^6 - 70200p^2ly^2, \\ 241200pp_2p_3 &= -30150p^2l^2y^3 - 30150p^4ly^2 + 60300pyl^2(1 - |y|^2)\delta + 60300p^2l^2y^2 + 60300p^3l(1 - |y|^2)\delta \\ &\quad + 30150p^6 + 90450p^4ly, \\ -126400p_3^2 &= -15800l^3y^3 - 47400p^2l^2y^2 - 47400p^4ly - 15800p^6, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
172800p_2p_4 &= 10800p^6 + 10800p^4ly^3 - 32400p^4ly^2 + 43200p^4ly + 43200p^2ly^2 - 43200p^3ly(1 - |y|^2)\delta \\
&\quad + 43200p^3l(1 - |y|^2)\delta - 43200p^2l(1 - |y|^2)\bar{y}\delta^2 + 43200p^2l(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma \\
&\quad + 10800p^2l^2y^4 - 32400p^2l^2y^3 + 32400p^2l^2y^2 + 43200l^2y^3 + 43200pl^2y\delta(1 - |y|^2) \\
&\quad - 43200l^2(1 - |y|^2)y\bar{y}\delta^2 + 43200l^2y(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma - 43200pl^2\delta(1 - |y|^2)y^2, \\
-162000p_3^2 &= -10125p^6 - 40500p^4ly - 40500p^2l^2y^2 + 20250p^4ly^2 - 40500p^3l(1 - |y|^2)\delta + 40500p^2l^2y^3 \\
&\quad - 81000pl^2y\delta(1 - |y|^2) - 10125p^2l^2y^4 + 40500pl^2y^2(1 - |y|^2)\delta - 40500l^2\delta^2(1 - |y|^2)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions into (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{3,1}(g) &= \frac{1}{14929920} [43200l^2y^3 + 675p^2l^2y^4 + 6750p^4ly^2 + 6750p^2l^2y^2 - 22050p^2l^2y^3 - 6750p^4ly^3 \\
&\quad - 27000p^2ly^2 - 15800l^3y^3 + 22500pl^2y(1 - |y|^2)\delta + 27000p^3y(1 - |y|^2)l\delta + 27000p^2(1 - |y|^2)l\bar{y}\delta^2 \\
&\quad - 27000p^2(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2)l\sigma - 2700pl^2y^2(1 - |y|^2)\delta - 43200l^2(1 - |y|^2)y\bar{y}\delta^2 \\
&\quad + 43200l^2y(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma - 40500l^2\delta^2(1 - |y|^2)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we achieve

$$H_{3,1}(g) = \frac{1}{14929920} (\eta_1(p, y) + \eta_2(p, y)\delta + \eta_3(p, y)\delta^2 + \eta_4(p, y, \delta)\sigma),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1(p, y) &= (4 - p^2)y [(4 - p^2)y (-20000y + 675p^2y^2 + 6750p^2 - 6250p^2y) + 6750p^4y - 6750p^4y^2 - 27000p^2y], \\
\eta_2(p, y) &= py(4 - p^2)(1 - |y|^2) [(22500 - 2700y)(4 - p^2) + 27000p^2], \\
\eta_3(p, y) &= (4 - p^2)(1 - |y|^2) \left[- (2700|y|^2 + 40500)(4 - p^2) + 27000\bar{y}p^2 \right], \\
\eta_4(p, y, \delta) &= (4 - p^2)(1 - |y|^2)(1 - |\delta|^2) [43200y(4 - p^2) - 27000p^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by setting $|y| = y$, $|\delta| = x$ and upon taking $|\sigma| \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|H_{3,1}(g)| &\leq \frac{1}{14929920} (|\eta_1(p, y)| + |\eta_2(p, y)|x + |\eta_3(p, y)|x^2 + |\eta_4(p, y, \delta)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{14929920} \varphi(p, y, x),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\varphi(p, y, x) = \theta_1(p, y) + \theta_2(p, y)x + \theta_3(p, y)x^2 + \theta_4(p, y)(1 - x^2), \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1(p, y) &= (4 - p^2)y [(4 - p^2)y (20000y + 675p^2y^2 + 6750p^2 + 6250p^2y) + 6750p^4y + 6750p^4y^2 + 27000p^2y], \\
\theta_2(p, y) &= py(4 - p^2)(1 - y^2) [(22500 + 2700y)(4 - p^2) + 27000p^2], \\
\theta_3(p, y) &= (4 - p^2)(1 - y^2) [(2700y^2 + 40500)(4 - p^2) + 27000yp^2]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta_4(p, y) = (4 - p^2)(1 - y^2) [43200y(4 - p^2) + 27000p^2].$$

(1) For $p = 2$,

$$\varphi(2, y, x) = 0.$$

(2) For $p = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0, y, x) &= 691200y - 371200y^3 + (648000 - 691200y - 604800y^2 + 691200y^3 - 43200y^4)x^2 \\ &= \varrho_1(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

The optimal points of ϱ_1 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_1}{\partial y} = 691200 - 1113600y^2 + (-691200 - 1209600y + 2073600y^2 - 172800y^3)x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_1}{\partial x} = (1296000 - 1382400y - 1209600y^2 + 1382400y^3 - 86400y^4)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yield

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = -0.7878, & \begin{cases} y_2 = 0.7878, \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} y_3 = -1, \\ x_3 = 0.3909, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} y_4 = -1, \\ x_4 = -0.3909. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Thus the function ϱ_1 has no optimal point in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

(3) For $y = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p, 0, x) &= 40500(4 - p^2)^2x^2 + 27000p^2(4 - p^2)(1 - x^2) \\ &= 108000p^2 - 27000p^4 + (648000 - 432000p^2 + 67500p^4)x^2 = \varrho_2(p, x). \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_2}{\partial p} = 216000p - 108000p^3 + (-864000p + 270000p^3)x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_2}{\partial x} = (1296000 - 864000p^2 + 135000p^4)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yield

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, & \begin{cases} p_2 = 1.4142 \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_3 = -1.4142, \\ x_3 = 0, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_4 = 2, \\ x_4 = 1, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_5 = 2, \\ x_5 = -1, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_6 = -2, \\ x_6 = 1, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} p_7 = -2, \\ x_7 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, ϱ_2 has no optimal point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(4) For $y = 1$

$$\varphi(p, 1, x) = 175p^6 - 62400p^4 + 166800p^2 + 320000 = \varrho_3(p) \leq \varrho_3(1.1594) = 431890.$$

(5) For $x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p, y, 0) &= 108000p^2 - 27000p^4 + (691200 - 345600p^2 + 43200p^4)y + (-27000p^4 + 108000p^2)y^2 \\ &\quad + (-500p^6 - 46200p^4 + 285600p^2 - 371200)y^3 + (675p^6 - 5400p^4 + 10800p^2)y^4 = \varrho_4(p, y). \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_4}{\partial p} = 216000p - 108000p^3 + (-691200p + 172800p^3)y + (-108000p^3 + 216000p)y^2 \\ + (-3000p^5 - 184800p^3 + 571200p)y^3 + (4050p^5 - 21600p^3 + 21600p)y^4 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_4}{\partial y} = 691200 - 345600p^2 + 43200p^4 + (-54000p^4 + 216000p^2)y + (-1500p^6 - 138600p^4 \\ + 856800p^2 - 1113600)y^2 + (2700p^6 - 21600p^4 + 43200p^2)y^3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using numerical computations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, & \begin{cases} p_2 = 0, \\ y_2 = 0.7878, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_3 = 2, \\ y_3 = -1.4656, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_4 = -2, \\ y_4 = -1.4656, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_5 = 1.5304, \\ y_5 = -1.2699, \end{cases} \\ \\ \begin{cases} p_6 = -1.5304, \\ y_6 = -1.2699, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_7 = 5.7826, \\ y_7 = 2.5389, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_8 = -5.7826, \\ y_8 = 2.5389. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Thus, ϱ_4 has no critical point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(6) For $x = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p, y, 1) &= 40500p^4 - 324000p^2 + 648000 + (-4500p^5 - 27000p^4 - 72000p^3 + 108000p^2 + 360000p)y \\ &\quad + (2700p^5 - 91800p^4 - 21600p^3 + 518400p^2 + 43200p - 604800)y^2 + (-500p^6 + 4500p^5 + 24000p^4 \\ &\quad + 72000p^3 - 168000p^2 - 360000p + 320000)y^3 + (675p^6 - 2700p^5 - 8100p^4 + 21600p^3 + 32400p^2 \\ &\quad - 43200p - 43200)y^4 = \varrho_5(p, y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to p , and y respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial p} &= 162000p^3 - 648000p + (-22500p^4 - 108000p^3 - 216000p^2 + 216000p + 360000)y + (13500p^4 - 367200p^3 \\ &\quad - 64800p^2 + 1036800p + 43200)y^2 + (-3000p^5 + 22500p^4 + 96000p^3 + 216000p^2 - 336000p - 360000)y^3 \\ &\quad + (4050p^5 - 13500p^4 - 32400p^3 + 64800p^2 + 64800p - 43200)y^4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial y} &= -4500p^5 - 27000p^4 - 72000p^3 + 108000p^2 + 360000p + (5400p^5 - 183600p^4 - 43200p^3 + 1036800p^2 \\ &\quad + 86400p - 1209600)y + (-1500p^6 + 13500p^5 + 72000p^4 + 216000p^3 - 504000p^2 - 1080000p + 960000)y^2 \\ &\quad + (2700p^6 - 10800p^5 - 32400p^4 + 86400p^3 + 129600p^2 - 172800p - 172800)y^3. \end{aligned}$$

A computation show that the following system of equations has no solution:

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial y} = 0$$

in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(7) For $y = x = 0$,

$$\varphi(p, 0, 0) = 108000p^2 - 27000p^4 = \varrho_6(p) \leq \varrho_6(\sqrt{2}) = 108000.$$

(8) For $y = 0, x = 1$

$$\varphi(p, 0, 1) = 648000 - 324000p^2 + 40500p^4 \leq 648000.$$

(9) For $p = y = 0,$

$$\varphi(0, 0, x) = 648000x^2 \leq 648000.$$

(10) For $y = x = 1,$ or $y = 1, x = 0,$

$$\varphi(p, 1, 1) = \varphi(p, 1, 0) = 175p^6 - 62400p^4 + 166800p^2 + 32000 = \varrho_3(p) \leq \varrho_3(1.1594) = 431890.$$

(11) For $p = 0, y = 1$

$$\varphi(0, 1, x) = 320000.$$

(12) For $p = 2, y = 1,$ or $p = 2, y = 0,$ or $p = 2, x = 0,$ or $p = 2, x = 1,$

$$\varphi(2, 1, x) = \varphi(2, 0, x) = \varphi(2, y, 0) = \varphi(2, y, 1) = 0.$$

(13) For $p = x = 0,$

$$\varphi(0, y, 0) = 691200y - 371200y^3 = \varrho_7(y) \leq \varrho_7(0.7878) = 363040.$$

(14) For $p = 0, x = 1,$

$$\varphi(0, y, 1) = 648000 - 604800y^2 + 320000y^3 - 43200y^4 \leq 648000.$$

The equation (2.6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p, y, x) = & (675p^6 - 5400p^4 + 10800p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^4 + 285600p^2 - 371200)y^3 \\ & + (-27000p^4 + 108000p^2)y^2 + (43200p^4 - 345600p^2 + 691200)y - 27000p^4 + 108000p^2 \\ & + [(-2700p^5 + 21600p^3 - 43200p)y^4 + (4500p^5 + 72000p^3 - 36000p)y^3 + (2700p^5 - 21600p^3 \\ & + 43200p)y^2 + (-4500p^5 - 72000p^3 + 360000p)y]x + [(-2700p^4 + 21600p^2 - 43200)y^4 \\ & + (70200p^4 - 453600p^2 + 691200)y^3 + (-64800p^4 + 410400p^2 - 604800)y^2 + (-70200p^4 \\ & + 453600p^2 - 691200)y + 67500p^4 - 432000p^2 + 64800]x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now considering the interior region of $(0, 2) \times (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Note that all real solutions (p, y, x)

of the system of equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial p} = (4050p^5 - 21600p^3 + 21600p^2)y^4 + (-3000p^5 - 184800p^3 + 571200p)y^3 + (-108000p^3 + 216000p)y^2 \\ + (172800p^3 - 691200p)y - 108000p^3 + 216000p + [(-13500p^4 + 64800p^2 - 43200)y^4 + (22500p^4 + 216000p^2 \\ - 360000)y^3 + (13500p^4 - 64800p^2 + 43200)y^2 + (-22500p^4 - 216000p^2 + 360000)y]x + [(-10800p^3 \\ + 43200p)y^4 + (280800p^3 - 907200p)y^3 + (-259200p^3 + 820800p)y^2 + (-280800p^3 + 907200p)y \\ + 270000p^3 - 864000p]x^2 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = (2700p^6 - 21600p^4 + 43200p^2)y^3 + (-1500p^6 - 138600p^4 + 856800p^2 - 1113600)y^2 + (-54000p^4 \\ + 216000p^2)y + 43200p^4 - 345600p^2 + 691200 + [(-10800p^5 + 86400p^3 - 172800p)y^3 + (13500p^5 + 216000p^3 \\ - 1080000p)y^2 + (5400p^5 - 43200p^3 + 86400p)y - 4500p^5 - 72000p^3 + 360000p]x + [(-10800p^4 + 86400p^2 \\ - 172800)y^3 + (210600p^4 - 1360800p^2 + 2073600)y^2 + (-129600p^4 + 820800p^2 - 1209600)y \\ - 70200p^4 + 453600p^2 - 691200]x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = (-2700p^5 + 21600p^3 - 43200p)y^4 + (4500p^5 + 72000p^3 - 360000p)y^3 + (2700p^5 - 21600p^3 + 43200p)y^2 \\ + (-4500p^5 - 72000p^3 + 360000p)y + [(-5400p^4 + 43200p^2 - 86400)y^4 + (140400p^4 - 907200p^2 + 1382400)y^3 \\ + (-129600p^4 + 820800p^2 - 1209600)y^2 + (-140400p^4 + 907200p^2 - 1382400)y + 135000p^4 \\ - 864000p^2 + 1296000]x = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

After a numerical calculation, there is no optimal point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. The sharp bound for this Hankel determinant is determined by

$$|H_{3,1}(g)| = \frac{25}{576},$$

with an extremal function

$$g(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \left(1 + \frac{5}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{6}t^{15}\right) dt = \zeta + \frac{5}{24}\zeta^4 + \frac{1}{96}\zeta^{16}.$$

□

Theorem 2.2 *If $g \in \mathcal{BT}_{4l}$, then*

$$|H_{2,3}(g)| = |b_3b_5 - b_4^2| \leq \frac{25}{576}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof Let $g \in \mathcal{BT}_{4l}$. From (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_3b_5 - b_4^2 &= \frac{1}{552960}(-200p_1^4p_2 + 200p_1^3p_3 + 25p_1^6 + 400p_1^2p_2^2 + 6400p_2p_4 + 5600p_1p_2p_3 \\ &\quad - 3200p_1^2p_4 - 3200p_2^3 - 6000p_3^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$b_3b_5 - b_4^2 = \frac{1}{552960}(\lambda_1(p, y) + \lambda_2(p, y)\delta + \lambda_3(p, y)\delta^2 + \lambda_4(p, y, \delta)\sigma), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(p, y) &= 25(4 - p^2)^2p^2y^4, \\ \lambda_2(p, y) &= -100py^2(4 - p^2)^2(1 - |y|^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3(p, y) &= 100(4-p^2)^2(1-|y|^2)(-15-|y|^2), \\ \lambda_4(p, y, \delta) &= 1600(4-p^2)^2y(1-|y|^2)(1-|\delta|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Now, using $y = |y|, x = |\delta|$ and taking $|\sigma| \leq 1$, we yield

$$|b_3b_5 - b_4^2| \leq \frac{1}{552960}(|\lambda_1(p, y)| + |\lambda_2(p, y)|x + |\lambda_3(p, y)|x^2 + |\lambda_4(p, y, \delta)|) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{552960}\psi(p, y, x), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\psi(p, y, x) = \rho_1(p, y) + \rho_2(p, y)x + \rho_3(p, y)x^2 + \rho_4(p, y)(1-x^2) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(p, y) &= 25(4-p^2)^2p^2y^4, \\ \rho_2(p, y) &= 100py^2(4-p^2)^2(1-y^2), \\ \rho_3(p, y) &= 100(4-p^2)^2(1-y^2)(15+y^2), \\ \rho_4(p, y) &= 1600(4-p^2)^2y(1-y^2).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Pi : [0, 2] \times [0, 1]^2$ be the closed cuboid. The function ψ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p, y, x) &= (25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2)y^4 + (-1600p^4 + 12800p^2 - 25600)y^3 + (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)y \\ &\quad + [(-100p^5 + 800p^3 - 1600p)y^4 + (100p^5 - 800p^3 + 1600p)y^2]x + [(-100p^4 + 800p^2 - 1600)y^4 \\ &\quad + (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)y^3 + (-1400p^4 + 11200p^2 - 22400)y^2 + (-1600p^4 + 12800p^2 \\ &\quad - 25600)y + 1500p^4 - 12000p^2 + 24000]x^2.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the partial derivative, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial p} &= (150p^5 - 800p^3 + 800p)y^4 + (-6400p^3 + 25600p)y^3 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)y + [(-500p^4 + 2400p^2 \\ &\quad - 1600)y^4 + (500p^4 - 2400p^2 + 1600)y^2]x + [(-400p^3 + 1600p)y^4 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)y^3 \\ &\quad + (-5600p^3 + 22400p)y^2 + (-6400p^3 + 25600p)y + 6000p^3 - 24000p]x^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} &= (100p^6 - 800p^4 + 1600p^2)y^3 + (-4800p^4 + 38400p^2 - 76800)y^2 + 1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600 \\ &\quad + [(-400p^5 + 3200p^3 - 6400p)y^3 + (200p^5 - 1600p^3 + 3200p)y]x + [(-400p^4 + 3200p^2 - 6400)y^3 \\ &\quad + (4800p^4 - 38400p^2 + 76800)y^2 + (-2800p^4 + 22400p^2 - 44800)y - 1600p^4 + 12800p^2 - 25600]x^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} &= (-100p^5 + 800p^3 - 1600p)y^4 + (100p^5 - 800p^3 + 1600p)y^2 + [(-200p^4 + 1600p^2 - 3200)y^4 \\ &\quad + (3200p^4 - 25600p^2 + 51200)y^3 + (-2800p^4 + 22400p^2 - 44800)y^2 + (-3200p^4 + 25600p^2 - 51200)y \\ &\quad + 3000p^4 - 24000p^2 + 48000]x.\end{aligned}$$

By setting $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} = 0$ and by utilizing numerical computations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 2, \\ y_1 = y_1, \\ x_1 = x_1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_2 = -2, \\ y_2 = y_2, \\ x_2 = x_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_3 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_3 = 1, \\ x_3 = -\frac{23\sqrt{3}}{3}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_4 = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_4 = 1, \\ x_4 = \frac{23\sqrt{3}}{3}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_5 = 0, \\ y_5 = -1, \\ x_5 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_6 = 0, \\ y_6 = -1, \\ x_6 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} p_7 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_7 = -1, \\ x_7 = \frac{-\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_8 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_8 = -1, \\ x_8 = \frac{-\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_9 = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_9 = -1, \\ x_9 = \frac{\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_{10} = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_{10} = -1, \\ x_{10} = \frac{\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_{11} = 0, \\ y_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ x_{11} = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} p_{12} = 0, \\ y_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ x_{12} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_{13} = -1.3095, \\ y_{13} = 19.2008, \\ x_{13} = 3.1572, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_{14} = 1.3095, \\ y_{14} = 19.2008, \\ x_{14} = -3.1572. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the function ψ has no optimal point in Π .

(1) For $p = 2$,

$$\psi(2, y, x) = 0.$$

(2) For $p = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(0, y, x) &= 1600(1 - y^2)(15 + y^2)x^2 + 25600y(1 - y^2)(1 - x^2) \\ &= 25600y - 25600y^3 + (-1600y^4 + 25600y^3 - 22400y^2 - 25600y + 24000)x^2 \\ &= \gamma_1(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

The critical points of γ_1 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial y} = 25600 - 76800y^2 + (-6400y^3 + 76800y^2 - 44800y - 25600)x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial x} = (-3200y^4 + 51200y^3 - 44800y^2 - 51200y + 48000)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yiled

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = -0.5774, \\ x_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_2 = 0.5774, \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_3 = -1, \\ x_3 = 0.7071, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_4 = 1, \\ x_4 = -0.7071. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the γ_1 has no optimal points in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

(3) For $y = 0$,

$$\psi(p, 0, x) = 1500(4 - p^2)x^2 \leq 6000.$$

(4) For $y = 1$,

$$\psi(p, 1, x) = 25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2 = \gamma_2(p) \leq \gamma_2(1.1547) = 237.0370.$$

(5) For $x = 0$,

$$\psi(p, y, 0) = (25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2)y^4 + (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)(y - y^3) = \gamma_3(p, y).$$

The optimal points of γ_3 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial p} = (150p^5 - 800p^3 + 800p)y^4 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)(y - y^3) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial y} = (100p^6 - 800p^4 + 1600p^2)y^3 + (-4800p^4 + 38400p^2 - 76800)y^2 + 1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600 = 0. \end{cases}$$

A computation reveals that there is no optimal point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(6) For $x = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, y, 1) &= (25p^6 - 100p^5 - 300p^4 + 800p^3 + 1200p^2 - 1600p - 1600)y^4 + (100p^5 - 1400p^4 \\ &\quad - 800p^3 + 11200p^2 + 1600p - 22400)y^2 + 1500p^4 - 12000p^2 + 24000 = \gamma_4(p, y). \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_4}{\partial p} = (150p^5 - 500p^4 - 1200p^3 + 2400p^2 + 2400p - 1600)y^4 + (500p^4 - 5600p^3 - 2400p^2 \\ \quad + 22400p + 1600)y^2 + 6000p^4 - 24000p = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_4}{\partial y} = (100p^6 - 400p^5 - 1200p^4 + 3200p^3 + 4800p^2 - 6400p - 6400)y^3 + (200p^5 - 2800p^4 \\ \quad - 1600p^3 + 22400p^2 + 3200p - 44800)y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, & \begin{cases} p_2 = 2, \\ y_2 = 0, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_3 = -2, \\ y_3 = y_3, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_4 = -2.8439, \\ y_4 = 1.4760, \end{cases} & \begin{cases} p_5 = -2.8439, \\ y_5 = -1.4760. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the γ_4 has on critical point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(7) For $y = x = 0$, or $p = 2, y = 1$, or $p = 2, y = 0$, or $p = 2, x = 0$, or $p = 2, x = 1$, or $p = 0, y = 1$,

$$\psi(p, 0, 0) = \psi(2, 1, x) = \psi(2, 0, x) = \psi(2, y, 0) = \psi(2, y, 1) = \psi(0, 1, x) = 0.$$

(8) For $y = 0, x = 1$,

$$\psi(p, 0, 1) = 1500(4 - p^2) \leq 6000.$$

(9) For $y = x = 1$, or $y = 1, x = 0$,

$$\psi(p, 1, 1) = \psi(p, 1, 0) = 25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2 = \gamma_2(p) \leq \gamma_2(1.1547) = 237.0370.$$

(10) For $p = x = 0$,

$$\psi(0, y, 0) = 25600y - 25600y^2 = \gamma_5(y) \leq \gamma_5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 6400.$$

(11) For $p = 0$ and $x = 1$,

$$\psi(0, y, 1) = 24000 - 22400y^2 - 1600y^4 = \gamma_6(y) \leq \gamma_6(0) = 24000.$$

(12) For $p = y = 0$,

$$\psi(0, 0, x) = 24000x^2 \leq 24000.$$

Then, the sharp bound for this Hankel determinant is determined by

$$|H_{2,3}(g)| = \frac{25}{576},$$

with an extremal function

$$g(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \left(1 + \frac{5}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{6}t^{15}\right) dt = \zeta + \frac{5}{24}\zeta^4 + \frac{1}{96}\zeta^{16}.$$

□

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- [1] C. POMMERENKE. *On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., 1966, **1**: 111–122.
- [2] C. POMMERENKE. *On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions*. Mathematika., 1967, **14**: 108–112.
- [3] A. JANGTEN, S. A. HALIM, M. DARUS. *Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part*. Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics., 2006, **7**: 1–5
- [4] A. JANGTEN, S. A. HALIM, M. DARUS. *Coefficient inequality for starlike and convex functions*. International Journal of Inequalities in Mathematical Analysis., 2007, **1**: 619–625
- [5] D. BANSAL. *Upper bound of second hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions*. Applied Mathematics Letters., 2013, **23**: 103–107.
- [6] H. ORHAN, N. MAGESH, J. YAMINI. *Bounds for the second hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions*. Turkish Journal of Mathematics., 2016, **40**:679–687.
- [7] Lei SHI, M. ARIF, M. RAZA, M. ABBAS. *Hankel Determinant Containing Logarithmic Coefficients for Bounded Turning Functions Connected to a Three-Leaf-Shaped Domain*. Mathematics., 2022, **10**(16):2924-1–2924-19.
- [8] B. KOWALCZYK, A. LECKO, Y. J. SIM. *The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2018, **97**: 435–445.
- [9] Yuejuan SUN, M. ARIF, K. ULLAH, Lei SHI, M. I. FAISAL. *Hankel determinant for certain new classes of analytic functions associated the activation functions*. Heliyon., 2023, **9**(11): e21449-1–e21449-12.
- [10] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, B. RATH, K. S. KUMAR, D. V. KRISHNA. *Some sharp bounds of the third-order Hankel determinant for the inverses of the Ozaki type close-to-convex functions*. Bull. Sci. Math., 2024, **191**:103381-1–103381-19.
- [11] Zhigang WANG, M. ARIF, Zhihong LIU, S. ZAINAB, R. FAYYAZ, M. IHSAN, M. SHUTAYWI. *Sharp bounds on hake determinants for certain subclass of starlike functions*. J. Appl. Anal. Comput., 2023, **13**(2): 860–873.
- [12] Lei SHI, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, N. E. CHO, M. ARIF. *Sharp Coefficient Bounds for a Subclass of Bounded Turning Functions with a Cardioid Domain*. Axioms., 2023, **12**(8): 860–873.
- [13] Zhigang WANG, M. RAZA, M. ARIF, K. AHMAD. *On the third and fourth Hankel determinants of a subclass of analytic functions*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2022, **45**: 323–359.
- [14] K. ULLAH, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. RAFIQ, M. ARIF. *A study of sharp coefficient bounds for anew subfamily of starlike functions*. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2021, **2021**: 194-1–194-20.
- [15] Huo TANG, A. AHMAD, A. RASHEED, A. ALII, S. HUSSAIN, S. NOOR. *Sharp Coefficient and Hankel Problems Related to a Symmetric Domain*. Symmetry ., 2023, **15**: 1865-1–1865-15.
- [16] B. RATH, K. S. KUMAR, D. V. KRISHNA, G. K. S. VISWANADH. *The sharp bound of the third Hankel determinants for inverse of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points*. Matematychni Studii., 2022, **58**(1): 45–50.
- [17] B. RATH, K. S. KUMAR, D. V. KRISHNA. *An exact estimate of the third Hankel determinants for functions inverse to convex functions*. Matematychni Studii., 2023, **60**(1) 34–39.
- [18] Lei SHI, M. ARIF, K. ULLAH, N. ALRESHIDI, M. SHUTAYWI. *On Sharp Estimate of Third Hankel Determinant for a Subclass of Starlike Functions*. Fractal fractional., 2022, **6**(8): 437-1–437-15.
- [19] Yuejuan SUN, M. ARIF, Lei SHI, M. I. FAISAL. *Some Further Coefficient Bounds on a New Subclass of Analytic Functions*. Mathematics., 2023, **11**(12): 2784-1–2784-14.
- [20] A. S. ALSHEHRY, R. SHAH, A. BARIQ. *The second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for starlike and convex functions involving four-leaf-shaped domain*. J. Funct. Spaces., 2022, **2022**: 2621811,10 pages.

- [21] P. SUNTHRAYUTH, Y. JAWARNEH, M. NAEEM, N. IQBAL. *Some sharp results on coefficient estimate problems for four-leaf-type bounded turning functions*. Journal of Function Spaces. 2022, 2022, Article ID 8356125, 10 pages.
- [22] R. J. RLIBERA, E. J. ZLOTKIEWICZ. *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*. Proc Amer Math Soc, 1982, **85**(2): 225–230.
- [23] O. S. KWON, A. LECHO, Y. J. SIM. *On the fourth coefficient of functions in the Carathéodory class*. Computational Methods and Function Theory. 2018, **18**(2): 307–314.