# Estimates for bilinear Θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operators and their commutators on non-homogeneous generalized weighted Morrey spaces

#### Miaomiao Wang, Guanghui Lu\* and Shuangping Tao

(College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P.R. China)

Abstract: Let  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu)$  be a non-homogeneous metric measure space satisfying geometrically doubling and upper doubling conditions. Under assumption that a dominating function  $\lambda$  satisfies ε-weak reverse doubling condition, the authors prove that a bilinear θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  is bounded from product of generalized weighted Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  into weak generalized weighted Morrey spaces  $W\mathcal{L}_{\nu_{\omega}}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , and also show that the commutator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$  generated by  $b_1,b_2 \in \widetilde{\text{RBMO}}(\mu)$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  are bounded from product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  into spaces  $W\mathcal{L}_{\nu_{\omega}}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , where  $\Phi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$  is a Lebesgue measurable function,  $\varrho \in (1,\infty)$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1,p_2)$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1,\omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$ ,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in RH_r(\mu)$  for  $r \in (1,\infty)$ , and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  with  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ . Furthermore, the strong and weak type results for the  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$  on the product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  are established.

**Keywords:** Non-homogeneous metric measure space; bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator; commutator; space  $\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)$ ; generalized weighted Morrey space

**2020** Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20; 42B35; 47A07; 47B47; 30L99

#### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the researches on the boundedness of operators is not only a hot topic in modern harmonic analysis, but also their use is best justified by the variety of applications in which they appear; for example, see [3,4,8]. To investigate the local behaviour of solutions for the second order elliptic partial differential equations, C.B. Morrey [36] introduced the classical Morrey space. On the basis of this, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden [37] established the weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions; in 1994, E. Nakai [38] introduced a generalized Morrey space  $L^{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and also obtained the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M, the singular integral operator T and the Riesz potential  $I_{\alpha}$  on spaces  $L^{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In 2009, T.Y. Komori and S. Shirai [18] introduced a weighted Morrey space  $L^{p,\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and proved that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M, the Calderón-Zygmund operator T and the fractional integral operator  $I_{\alpha}$  are bounded on spaces  $L^{p,\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In recent years, many papers focus the various Morrey spaces on different kinds of underlying spaces. For example, in 2021, I. Ekincioglu et al. [10] introduced a generalized variable exponent Morrey space  $M^{p(\cdot),\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and showed that the multilinear commutators  $T_{\mathbf{b}}$  generated by Calderón-Zygmund operators T and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in (\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$  are bounded on spaces  $M^{p(\cdot),\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In 2022, Wei [39] obtain-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author and E-mail address: Guanghui Lu, lghwmm1989@126.com (G. Lu).

ed the definition of a generalized mixed Morrey space  $M^u_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its dual space, and then established the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators T on spaces  $M^u_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \cdots, p_n) \in (1, \infty)^n$ . In 2023, F. Deringoz [9] obtained the definition of a generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey space  $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and proved that the Calderón-Zygmund operators T and their commutators [b, T] associated with BMO functions are bounded on spaces  $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Recently, Lu et al. [34] obtain the definition of a generalized Morrey space over RD-spaces satisfying the doubling conditions in the sense of Coifman and Weiss in [6,7] and the reverse doubling conditions, and show that the bilinear generalized fractional integral operator  $\widetilde{T}_{\alpha}$  and its commutator  $\widetilde{T}_{\alpha,b_1,b_2}$  which is formed by  $b_1,b_2\in \mathrm{BMO}(X)$  are bounded on product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}^{\varphi_1,p_1}(X)\times \mathcal{L}^{\varphi_2,p_2}(X)$ . More development on the various generalized Morrey spaces can be seen in [19,20,23,30,31,40].

Regarding two important class of function spaces in harmonic analysis, i.e., spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [6,7] and non-doubling measure spaces whose measures satisfy the polynomial growth conditions (see [41,44,45,48]), many results from real analysis and harmonic analysis on spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are proved still valid on these two spaces. But, generally, some results hold on spaces of homogeneous type many not be correct on spaces without doubling measures. To unify the two class of spaces, in 2010, Hytönen [15] introduced a new class of metric measure spaces satisfying so-called geometrically doubling and upper doubling conditions, which are now called non-homogeneous metric measure spaces and simply denoted by  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu)$ . Since then, many papers focus on the various properties of function spaces and integral operators over  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu)$ . For example, in 2021, Lu [26] showed that an  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator  $T_{\theta}$ and its commutator  $[b, T_{\theta}]$  generated by  $b \in RBMO(\mu)$  and  $T_{\theta}$  are bounded on weighted weak Lebesgue spaces  $WL^p(\omega)$  and weighted weak Morrey spaces  $WL^{p,\kappa,\rho}(\omega)$ . At the same year, Zhao et al. in [51] obtained some weak-type multiple weighted estimates for the iterated commutator  $T_{\prod \vec{b}}$  formed by  $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in [\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)]^m$  and a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator T. In 2022, Lu [27] proved that fractional type Marcinkiewicz integrals  $\mathcal{M}_{\iota,\rho,m}$  and their commutators  $\mathcal{M}_{\iota,\rho,m,b}$  formed by  $b \in \text{RBMO}(\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\iota,\rho,m,b}$  are bounded on generalized Morrey spaces  $L^{p,\phi}(\mu)$  and Morrey spaces  $M_p^q(\mu)$ , where  $\phi$  is a Lebesgue measurable function defined on  $(0,\infty)$  and 1 . Recently, Lu et al. [35] show that the bilinear strongly generalizedfractional integrals  $\widetilde{T}_{\alpha}$  and their commutator  $\widetilde{T}_{\alpha,b_1,b_2}$  formed by  $b_1,b_2 \in \widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\alpha}$  on product of Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p_1}(\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\mu)$ , product of Morrey spaces  $M_{q_1}^{p_1}(\mu) \times M_{q_2}^{p_2}(\mu)$  and product of generalized Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{L}^{p_1,u_1}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}^{p_2,u_2}(\mu)$ . More researches about the integral operators and function spaces on  $(X, d, \mu)$  can be seen in [13, 16, 25, 29, 33, 43, 46, 47, 49, 50].

It is position to state the organizations of this paper as follows: in section 2, we mainly recall some necessary notation and notions. In section 3, the authors showed that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  is bounded form the product of generalized weighted Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_1}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_2}(\mu)$  into weak generalized weighted Morrey spaces  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}}(\mu)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a non-negative Lebesgue measurable function defined on  $(0,\infty)$ ,  $\vec{\omega}=(\omega_1,\omega_2)\in A^{\tau}_{\vec{p}}(\mu)$ ,  $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $p_1,p_2\in[1,\infty)$ , and  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}}=\prod_{j=1}^2\omega_j^{\frac{p}{p_j}}\in RH_r(\mu)$  for  $r\in(1,\infty)$ . In section 4, the authors prove that the commutator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$ 

generated by  $b_1, b_2 \in \widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  are bounded from the product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{p_1, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}^{p_2, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)$  into spaces  $W\mathcal{L}_{\nu_{\varpi}}^{p_1, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)$ . The strong and weak type boundedness of the  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta, b_1, b_2}$  on product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{p_1, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}_{\omega_2}^{p_2, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)$  are established in section 5.

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. Throughout this paper, we always denote by C a positive constant being independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. Given any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we denote p' as its conjugate index, that is, 1/p + 1/p' = 1. For any measurable set E,  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function,

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(E) = \int_{E} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) d\mu(x)$$

with  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  and

$$m_E(f) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f(x) d\mu(x)$$

represents the average of the function f on E.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some necessary notions and notation, including the dominating function, the discrete coefficient  $\widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)}$ , the spaces  $\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)$ , the bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator and the generalized weighted Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ . The following definitions of upper doubling is from [15].

**Definition 2.1.** A metric measure space  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu)$  is said to be upper doubling if  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathcal{X}$  and there exist a dominating function  $\lambda : \mathcal{X} \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  and a positive constant  $C_{(\lambda)}$ , only depending on  $\lambda$ , such that, for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $r \to \lambda(x, r)$  is non-decreasing and, for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$ .

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \le \lambda(x,r) \le C_{(\lambda)}\lambda(x,r/2). \tag{2.1}$$

**Remark 2.2.** Hytönen [16] showed that there exists another dominating function  $\widetilde{\lambda}$  such that  $\widetilde{\lambda} \leq \lambda$ ,  $C_{(\widetilde{\lambda})} \leq C_{(\lambda)}$  and, for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $d(x, y) \leq r$ ,

$$\widetilde{\lambda}(x,r) \le C_{(\lambda)}\widetilde{\lambda}(y,r).$$
 (2.2)

Hence, in this paper, we also assume that the  $\lambda$  defined as in (2.1) satisfies (2.2).

The following notion of the geometrically doubling is well known in analysis on metric measure spaces, which can be found in Coifman and Weiss [6].

**Definition 2.3.** A metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is said to be geometrically doubling if there exists some  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any ball  $B(x,r) \subset \mathcal{X}$  with  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $r \in (0,\infty)$ , there exists a finite ball covering  $\{B(x_i, \frac{r}{2})\}_i$  of B(x,r) such that the cardinality of this covering is at most  $N_0$ , here  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a metric measure. Hytönen [15] showed that the geometrically doubling is equivalent to the following statement: for every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , any ball  $B(x, r) \subset \mathcal{X}$  with  $x \in (0, 1)$ 

 $\mathcal{X}$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$  contains at most  $N_0 \epsilon^{-n_0}$  centers of disjoint balls  $\{B(x_i, \epsilon r)\}_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ , here and in what follows,  $n_0 = \log_2 N_0$  and  $N_0$  is as in Definition 2.3.

For any ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ , we respectively denote its center and radius by  $c_B$  and  $r_B$  and, moreover, for any  $\zeta \in (0, \infty)$ , we denote the ball  $B(c_B, \zeta r_B)$  by  $\zeta B$ . The following definition of discrete coefficients  $\widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)}$ , which is more close to the quantity  $K_{B,S}$  introduced by Tolsa in [44], is from [1].

**Definition 2.5.** For any  $\rho \in (1, \infty)$  and any two balls B, S with  $B \subset S$ , define

$$\widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)} = 1 + \sum_{k=-\lfloor \log_{\rho} 2 \rfloor}^{N_{B,S}^{(\rho)}} \frac{\mu(\rho^k B)}{\lambda(c_B, \rho^k r_B)}.$$
(2.3)

Here and hereafter, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  represents the largest integer smaller than or equal to a, and  $N_{B,S}^{(\rho)}$  is the smallest integer satisfying  $\rho^{N_{B,S}^{(\rho)}}r_B \geq r_S$ . Moreover, more properties on the coefficients  $\widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)}$  can be seen Remark 2.8 in [22].

In [15], Hytönen introduced a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -doubling ball, i.e., let  $\alpha, \beta \in (1, \infty)$ , a ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$  is said to be  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -doubling if  $\mu(\alpha B) \leq \beta \mu(B)$ . The other properties on the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -doubling ball can be seen Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 in [15]. In what follows, let  $\nu = \log_2 C_{(\lambda)}$  and  $n_0 = \log_2 N_0$ , where  $N_0$  is defined as in Definition 2.3. Throughout this article, for any  $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$  and ball B, the smallest  $(\alpha, \beta_{\alpha})$ -doubling ball of the form  $\alpha^j B$  with  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}$ , where

$$\beta_{\alpha} = \max\{\alpha^{n_0}, \alpha^{\nu}\} + 30^{n_0} + 30^{\nu}. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition, if there is no special explanation in this paper, we always set  $\alpha=6$  and simply denote  $\widetilde{B}^6$  by  $\widetilde{B}$ .

The following definition of the spaces RBMO with discrete coefficient is from [11].

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\rho \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\gamma \in [1, \infty)$ . A real-valued function  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$  is said to belong to the  $space \ \widetilde{RBMO}_{\rho,\gamma}(\mu)$  if there exist a positive constant C such that, for any ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$  and a number  $f_B$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\rho B)} \int_{B} |f(x) - f_B| \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \le C \tag{2.5}$$

and, for any two balls B and S such that  $B \subset S$ ,

$$|f_B - f_S| \le C \left[ \widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)} \right]^{\gamma}, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $f_B$  represents the mean value of functions f over ball B, that is,

$$f_B = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f(y) d\mu(y).$$

The infimum of the positive constants C satisfying (2.5) and (2.6) is defined to be the  $\widetilde{RBMO}_{\rho,\gamma}(\mu)$  norm of f and simply denoted by  $||f||_{\widetilde{RBMO}_{\rho,\gamma}(\mu)}$ . Furthermore, Fu et al. [11] showed that the space  $\widetilde{RBMO}_{\rho,\gamma}(\mu)$  is independent of choices of  $\rho \in (1,\infty)$  and  $\gamma \in [1,\infty)$ . Hence, in this paper, the space  $\widetilde{RBMO}_{\rho,\gamma}(\mu)$  is simply denoted by  $\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)$ .

Now we recall the definition of a bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator in [49].

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\theta$  be a non-negative and non-decreasing function defined on  $(0, \infty)$  and satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(t)}{t} \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

A kernel  $K(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{X}^3 \setminus \{(x,x,x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\})$  is called a bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund kernel if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) for all  $(x, y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  with  $x \neq y_j$ , j = 1, 2,

$$|K(x, y_1, y_2)| \le C \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda(x, d(x, y_j)) \right]^{-2};$$
 (2.8)

(ii) there exists a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that, for all  $x, x', y_1, y_2$  with satisfying  $cd(y_1, y_1') \le \max_{1 \le j \le 2} d(x, y_j)$ ,

$$|K(x, y_1, y_2) - K(x', y_1, y_2)| \le C\theta \left(\frac{d(x, x')}{d(x, y_1) + d(x, y_2)}\right) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} \lambda(x, d(x, y_j))\right]^{-2}; \tag{2.9}$$

(iii) there exists a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that, for all  $x, y_1, y_1', y_2$  with satisfying  $cd(y_1, y_1') \le \max_{1 \le j \le 2} d(x, y_j)$ ,

$$|K(x, y_1, y_2) - K(x, y_1', y_2)| \le C\theta \left(\frac{d(y_1, y_1')}{d(x, y_1) + d(x, y_2)}\right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda(x, d(x, y_i))\right]^{-2}.$$
 (2.10)

Let  $L_b^{\infty}(\mu)$  be the spaces of all  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  functions with bounded support. A bilinear operator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  is called a bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator with kernels K satisfying (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) if for all  $f_1, f_2 \in L_b^{\infty}(\mu)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus (\sup(f_1) \cap \sup(f_2))$ ,

$$\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}_2} K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) d\mu(y_1) d\mu(y_2). \tag{2.11}$$

Given  $b_1, b_2 \in \widetilde{\text{RBMO}}(\mu)$ , the commutator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$  formed by  $b_1, b_2$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  is defined by

$$\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_1(x)b_2(x)\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - b_1(x)\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2)(x) - b_2(x)\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(b_1(\cdot)f_1, f_2)(x) + \widetilde{T}_{\theta}(b_1(\cdot)f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2)(x).$$
(2.12)

Equivalently, the  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)(x)$  can be formally written as

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^2} K(x, y_1, y_2) \big( b_1(x) - b_1(y_1) \big) \big( b_2(x) - b_2(y_2) \big) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) d\mu(y_1) d\mu(y_2).$$

Also, the commutators  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1}$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_2}$  are respectively defined by

$$\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_1(x)\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - \widetilde{T}_{\theta}(b_1(\cdot)f_1, f_2)(x)$$
(2.13)

and

$$\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_2}(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_2(x)\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)(x) - \widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2)(x). \tag{2.14}$$

The following definition of a multiple  $A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  weight is from [51].

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ . A multiple-weight  $\vec{\omega}$  with  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  being non-negative  $\mu$ -measurable functions is called an  $A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  weight if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) d\mu(x) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} \omega_{j}^{1-p'_{j}} d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{p}{p'_{j}}} \le C, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{2} [\omega_j(x)]^{p/p_j}$$

and, when  $p_j = 1$ ,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} \omega_{j}^{1-p_{j}'}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p_{j}'}}$$

is understood as  $(\inf_B \omega_j)^{-1}$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** (i) If we take  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, dx)$  and  $\tau = 1$  in Definition 2.8, then the  $A_{\vec{p}}^{1}(\mu)$  weight reduces to the multiple weight introduced by Lerner et al. [21].

- (ii) From the Hölder inequality, it follows that,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in A_{2p}^{\tau}$  if  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}$  for  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ .
- (iii) If we take j=1 in Definition 2.8, then the multiple weight  $A_{\overline{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  is just the  $A_{\overline{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  weight introduced by Hu et al. in [14]. Namely, let  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . A non-negative  $\mu$ -measure function  $\omega$  is called an  $A_{\overline{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$  weight if there exists some positive constant C such that, for all balls  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} \omega(x) d\mu(x)\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} [\omega(x)]^{1-p'} d\mu(x) \right\}^{p-1} \le C. \tag{2.16}$$

And a weight  $\omega$  is called an  $A_1^{\tau}(\mu)$  weight if there exists some positive constant C such that, for all balls  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{B} \omega(x) d\mu(x) \le C \inf_{y \in B} \omega(y).$$

As in the classical setting, let  $A_{\infty}^{\tau}(\mu) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p^{\tau}(\mu)$ .

The following definition of a reverse Hölder class is from [17].

**Definition 2.10.** A weight  $\omega$  is said to belong to the reverse Hölder class  $RH_r(\mu)$  with  $r \in (1, \infty)$  if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} [\omega(x)]^r \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le C \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} \omega(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \right). \tag{2.17}$$

Next, we recall the definition of generalized weighted Morrey space introduced in [29].

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\varrho \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\omega$  be a weight. Suppose that  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  is an increasing function. Then the generalized weighted Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu) = \big\{ f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\omega,\mu) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)} < \infty \big\},$$

where

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)} = \sup_{B} [\Phi(\omega(\varrho B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{B} |f(x)|^{p} \omega(x) d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.18}$$

Also, we denote by  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  the weak generalized weighted Morrey space of all locally integrable functions satisfying

$$||f||_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)} = \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\omega(\varrho B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\omega (\{x \in B : |f(x)| > t\})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
 (2.19)

Moreover, Lu [29] showed that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)}$  are independent of the choice of  $\varrho > 1$ .

**Remark 2.12.** (i) If we take  $\omega(\cdot) \equiv 1$  in (2.18) and (2.19), then the generalized weighted Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  and the weak generalized weighted Morrey space  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  are just the generalized Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  and the weak generalized Morrey space  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  introduced by Lu and Tao [32].

- (ii) If we take  $(\mathcal{X}, d, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, dx)$  and  $\omega \equiv 1$  in Definition 2.11, then the generalized weighted Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  and the weak generalized weighted Morrey space  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  are just the generalized Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  and the weak generalized Morrey space  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  introduced in [41].
- (iii) If we take  $\Phi(t) = t^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$  with t>0 and  $1 in (2.18) and (2.19), then the spaces <math>\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  and  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  are just the weighted Morrey spaces  $L^{p,\kappa,\rho}(\omega)$  and the weighted weak Morrey spaces  $WL^{p,\kappa,\rho}(\omega)$  introduced in [50]. Furthermore, when  $\omega(\cdot) \equiv 1$ , then the spaces  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  and  $W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$  are just the Morrey spaces  $M^q_p(\mu)$  and weak Morrey spaces  $WL^{p,\varrho}_p(\mu)$  introduced by Cao and Zhou in [2].
  - (iv) When  $\Phi(\cdot) \equiv 1$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu) = L^p_{\omega}(\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu) = L^{p,\infty}_{\omega}(\mu)$ .

The following definition of an  $\varepsilon$ -weak reverse doubling condition is from [32], also see [12].

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . A dominating function  $\lambda$  is said to satisfy  $\varepsilon$ -weak reverse doubling condition if, for all  $r \in (0, 2\text{diam}(\mathcal{X}))$  and  $a \in (1, 2\text{diam}(\mathcal{X})/r)$ , there exists some number  $C(a) \in [1, \infty)$ , depending only on a and  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that, for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\lambda(x, ar) \ge C(a)\lambda(x, r)$$

and, moreover,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[C(a^k)]^{\varepsilon}} < \infty. \tag{2.20}$$

## 3. Estimate for $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$ on spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,\phi,\varphi}_{\omega}(\mu)$

The main theorem of this section is stated as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$ ,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in RH_r(\mu)$  with  $r \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfy-

ing

$$\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \le C \frac{\Phi(r)}{r} \quad \text{for any } r \in (0, \infty).$$
 (3.1)

Moreover, the mapping  $t \mapsto \frac{\Phi(t)}{t}$  is almost decreasing: there is a positive constant C such that

$$\frac{\Phi(t)}{t} \le C \frac{\Phi(s)}{s}, \quad for \ all \ s \le t. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from the product of spaces  $L^{1}(\mu) \times L^{1}(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any  $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p_{i},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{i}}(\mu)$ , i=1,2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}}(\mu)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemmas introduced in [14, 38, 42], respectively.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that  $\psi:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$  is a function and satisfies

$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \psi(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \le C\psi(s), \quad for \ all \ s > 0.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $\epsilon$  such that, for all s > 0, the following equation

$$\int_{s}^{\infty} \psi(t) t^{\epsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \le C \psi(s) s^{\epsilon}$$

holds. In particular, for every  $\xi \leq 1$ , there exists a positive constant C such that, for all s > 0,

$$\int_{s}^{\infty} [\psi(t)]^{\xi} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \le C[\psi(s)]^{\xi}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\varrho, p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\omega \in A_p^{\tau}(\mu)$ , and  $\tau \in [5\varrho, \infty)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_1 \in [1, \infty)$  such that, for any  $(6, \beta_6)$ -doubling ball B and any  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset B$ ,

$$C_1^{-1} \left[ \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(B)} \right]^p \le \frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(B)}. \tag{3.3}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** A weight  $\omega \in RH_r(\mu)$  for some  $r \in (1, \infty)$  if and only if there exist two positive constants  $C_2$  and  $\kappa \in (0,1)$  such that, for any ball B and any  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset B$ ,

$$\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(B)} \le C_2 \left[ \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(B)} \right]^{\kappa}. \tag{3.4}$$

Also, we need to establish the following lemma on the operator  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  being modified from [28,51].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$ ,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in RH_r(\mu)$  with  $r \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exists some positive constant C such that, for all  $f_i \in L^{p_i}_{\omega_i}(\mu)$ , i = 1, 2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{p,\infty}_{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}}(\mu)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}_{\omega_1}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}_{\omega_2}(\mu)}.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\omega \in A_p(\mu)$  and  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1). Assume that the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$  satisfies (3.2). Then there exists a positive co-

nstant C such that, for any ball  $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega(6^{\ell}B))}{\omega(6^{\ell}B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega(B))}{\omega(B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Remark 3.7. By applying Lemma 3.2 and a way similar to that used in the Lemma 2.8 in [5], it is easy to show that Lemma 3.6 holds. Hence, to avoid the repeatability, we do not state the process of proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\varrho = 6$  in (2.18) and (2.19). And let  $B = B(c_B, r_B)$  be a fixed doubling ball centered at  $c_B \in \mathcal{X}$  with its radius  $r_B > 0$ . Represent functions  $f_i(i = 1, 2)$  as

$$f_i = f_i^1 + f_i^{\infty} = f_i \chi_{6B} + f_i \chi_{\mathcal{X} \setminus 6B}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, write

$$\begin{split} &\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1},f_{2})\|_{W\mathcal{L}_{\nu_{\omega}}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \\ &= \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \big( \{x \in B : |\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1},f_{2})(x)| > t \} \big)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \big( \{x \in B : |\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1}^{1},f_{2}^{1})(x)| > t/4 \} \big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &+ \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \big( \{x \in B : |\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1}^{1},f_{2}^{\infty})(x)| > t/4 \} \big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &+ \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \big( \{x \in B : |\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1}^{\infty},f_{2}^{1})(x)| > t/4 \} \big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &+ \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \big( \{x \in B : |\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_{1}^{\infty},f_{2}^{\infty})(x)| > t/4 \} \big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= D_{1} + D_{2} + D_{3} + D_{4}. \end{split}$$

From (2.15), Remark 2.9 (ii), (2.18), (3.2) and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{D}_{1} \leq C \sup_{B} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f_{1}\chi_{6B}\|_{L^{p_{1}}_{\omega_{1}}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\chi_{6B}\|_{L^{p_{2}}_{\omega_{2}}(\mu)} \\ & \leq C \|f_{1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{1}}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{2}}(\mu)} \sup_{B} [\Phi(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} [\Phi(\omega_{1}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} [\Phi(\omega_{2}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} \\ & \leq C \|f_{1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{1}}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{2}}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_{1}(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_{1}^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(6B)\omega_{2}^{\frac{p}{p_{2}}}(6B))} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_{2}(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_{1}^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(6B)\omega_{2}^{\frac{p}{p_{2}}}(6B))} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} \\ & \leq C \|f_{1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{1}}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{2}}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \left[ \frac{\omega_{1}(6B)}{\omega_{1}^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(6B)\omega_{2}^{\frac{p}{p_{2}}}(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \left[ \frac{\omega_{2}(6B)}{\omega_{1}^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(6B)\omega_{2}^{\frac{p}{p_{2}}}(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} \\ & \leq C \|f_{1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{1}}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2},\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_{2}}(\mu)}. \end{split}$$

To estimate  $D_2$ , we first consider  $|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1^1, f_2^{\infty})(x)|$  for  $x \in B$ . By applying (2.1), (2.8), (2.15), (2.18), (2.20), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1^1, f_2^{\infty})(x)|$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq C \int_{6B} |f_1(y_1)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \bigg\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0^{k+1}B \setminus (6^kB)} \frac{|f_2(y_2)|}{|\lambda(c_B, d(c_B, y_2))|^2} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \bigg( \int_{6B} |f_1(y_1)|^{p_1} \omega_1(y_1) \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg( \int_{6B} [\omega_1(y_1)]^{1-p_1'} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \bigg)^{\frac{p_1-1}{p_1}} \\ &\qquad \times \bigg\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)|^2} \int_{6^{k+1}B} |f_2(y_2)|^{[\omega_2(y_2)]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} - \frac{1}{p_2}} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} [\Phi(\omega_1(6 \times 6B))]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \mu(2 \times 6B) [\omega_1(6B)]^{-\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)|^2} \\ &\qquad \times \bigg( \int_{6^{k+1}B} |f_2(y_2)|^{p_2} \omega_2(y_2) \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg( \int_{6^{k+1}B} [\omega_2(y_2)]^{1-p_2'} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \bigg)^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}} \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} [\Phi(\omega_1(6 \times 6B))]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \mu(2 \times 6B) [\omega_1(6B)]^{-\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg\} \\ &\qquad \times \bigg\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(2 \times 6^{k+1}B)}{|\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)|^2} [\Phi(\omega_2(6 \times 6^{k+1}B))]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} [\omega_2(6^{k+1}B)]^{-\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6^2B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \frac{\omega_1(6^2B)}{\omega_1(6^2B)} \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \omega_2(6^{k+2}B) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6^{k+2}B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \frac{\omega_2(6^{k+2}B)}{\omega_2(6^{k+2}B)} \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \omega_2(6^{k+2}B) \bigg] \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6^2B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_2(6^k + 2B))}{\omega_2(6^k + 2B)} \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg\} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \sup \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg] \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \sup \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg] \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \sup \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \phi}_{-(\mu)}} \sup \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_1(6B)) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \bigg[ \Phi(\omega_2(6B))$$

With an argument similar to that used in the estimate for D<sub>2</sub>, it is easy to obtain that

$$D_{3} \leq C \|f_{1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega_{1}}^{p_{1},\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega_{2}}^{p_{2},\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}.$$

Now we turn  $D_4$ . For any  $x \in B$ , applying (2.1), (2.8), (2.16), (2.18), the Hölder inequality, (2.20), (3.3) and Lemma 3.6, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \leq C \int_{\mathcal{X}^2} \frac{|f_1^{\infty}(y_1)||f_2^{\infty}(y_2)|}{[\lambda(x,d(x,y_1)) + \lambda(x,d(x,y_2))]^2} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \\ & \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)} \int_{6^{k+1}B} |f_1(y_1)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(c_B, 6^i r_B)} \int_{6^{i+1}B} |f_2(y_2)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \right) \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)} \left( \int_{6^{k+1}B} |f_1(y_1)|^{p_1} \omega_1(y_1) \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right. \\ & \times \left( \int_{6^{k+1}B} [\omega_1(y_1)]^{1-p_1'} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1) \right)^{\frac{p_1-1}{p_1}} \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(c_B, 6^i r_B)} \left( \int_{6^{i+1}B} |f_2(y_2)|^{p_2} \omega_1(y_2) \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \right. \\ & \times \left( \int_{6^{i+1}B} [\omega_2(y_2)]^{1-p_2'} \mathrm{d}\mu(y_2) \right)^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}} \right\} \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{11}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(6^{k+1}B)}{\lambda(c_B, 6^k r_B)} [\Phi(\omega_1(6 \times 6^{k+1}B))]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} [\omega_1(2 \times 6^{k+1}B)]^{-\frac{1}{p_1}} \right\} \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6^{k+2}B))}{\omega_1(6^{k+2}B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\omega_1(6^{k+2}B)}{\omega_1(2 \times 6^{k+1}B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right\} \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6^{k+2}B))}{\omega_1(2 \times 6^{i+1}B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\omega_1(6^{k+2}B)}{\omega_1(2 \times 6^{k+1}B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right\} \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6B))}{\omega_1(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6B))}{\omega_1(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}}, \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \left\{ x \in B : |\tilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1^{\infty}, f_2^{\infty})(x)| > t/4 \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \sup_{k=1} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6B))}{\omega_1(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))}{\omega_2(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \sup_{k=1} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_1(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_2(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \right. \\ & \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_{21}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L_{22}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \sup_{k=1} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_2(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left[ \frac{\Phi(\omega_2(6B))}{\Phi(\omega_2(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}$$

$$\leq C \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_1}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_2}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \left[ \frac{\omega_1(6B)}{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left[ \frac{\omega_2(6B)}{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(6B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ \leq C \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_1}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_2}(\mu)}.$$

Which, combining the estimates for  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$ , yields the desired results. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished.

## 4. Estimate for $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)$

The main theorem of this section is stated as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in RBMO(\mu)$ ,  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\tau}(\mu)$ ,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in RH_r(\mu)$  with  $r \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Suppose that  $\tilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into space  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exists some positive constant C such that, for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega_i}^{p_i,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , i = 1, 2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\nu,\vec{\alpha}}(\mu)} \leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)}\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_1}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega_2}(\mu)}.$$

To prove the above theorem, we need to recall the following lemmas on the maximal operators N and  $M_{s,\zeta}$  introduced in [12].

**Lemma 4.2.** (i) Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $s \in (1, p)$  and  $\zeta \in [5, \infty)$ . The following maximal operators defined, respectively, by setting, for all  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$M_{s,\zeta}f(x) = \sup_{B\ni x} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(\zeta B)} \int_{B} |f(y)|^{s} d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{4.1}$$

$$Nf(x) = \sup_{B\ni x,\ B\ doubling} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y)$$

and

$$M_{\zeta}f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{\mu(\zeta B)} \int_{B} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y), \tag{4.2}$$

are bounded on spaces  $L^p(\mu)$  and also bounded from spaces  $L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{1,\infty}(\mu)$ .

(ii) For all 
$$f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$$
, it holds true that  $|f(x)| \leq Nf(x)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\zeta \in [5\varrho, \infty)$ ,  $s \in (1, \infty)$  and  $M_{s,\zeta}$  be defined as in (4.1). For  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}^{\varrho}(\mu)$ ,  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in RH_r(\mu)$ , the operators  $M_{s,\zeta}$  is bounded from product of spaces  $L_{\omega_1}^{p_1}(\mu) \times L_{\omega_2}^{p_2}(\mu)$  into spaces  $L_{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}}^{p,\infty}(\mu)$ .

The following lemma on the operators  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$  is sightly modified from [25,49,51].

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \widetilde{RBMO}(\mu), 1 < s < \infty, \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $1 \le p_1, p_2 < \infty$  and  $5 < \varsigma, \varsigma_1 < \infty$  with  $\varsigma_1 < \varsigma$ . Assume that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times$ 

 $L^1(\mu)$  to spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ , and  $\lambda$  satisfies the  $\epsilon$ -weak reverse doubling condition. Then there exists some positive constant C such that, for any  $\delta \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\gamma \in (\delta,\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\mu)$ , i = 1,2,

$$\begin{split} M_{\varsigma,\delta}^{\sharp} \big( \widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_{1},b_{2}}(f_{1},f_{2}) \big)(x) &\leq C \|b_{1}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} \|b_{2}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma} (T_{\theta}(f_{1},f_{2}))(x) \\ &+ C \|b_{1}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma} \big(T_{\theta,b_{2}}(f_{1},f_{2}) \big)(x) \\ &+ C \|b_{2}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma} \big(T_{\theta,b_{1}}(f_{1},f_{2}) \big)(x) \\ &+ C \|b_{1}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} \|b_{2}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} M_{L(\log L),\rho_{1}}(f_{1},f_{2})(x), \\ M_{\varsigma,\delta}^{\sharp} \big(T_{\theta,b_{1}}(f_{1},f_{2}) \big)(x) &\leq C \|b_{1}\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma} (T_{\theta}(f_{1},f_{2}))(x) \end{split}$$

and

$$M_{\varsigma,\delta}^{\sharp} (T_{\theta,b_2}(f_1, f_2))(x) \le C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma} (T_{\theta}(f_1, f_2))(x)$$
  
+  $C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{L(\log L),\rho_1} (f_1, f_2)(x),$ 

 $+ C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(u)} M_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x)$ 

where the sharp maximal function  $M^{\sharp}(f)$  is defined by

$$M_{\rho}^{\sharp}(f)(x) = \sup_{B\ni x} \frac{1}{\mu(\rho B)} \int_{B} |f(y) - m_{\widetilde{B}(\rho)}(f)| \mathrm{d}\mu(y) + \sup_{\substack{x\in B\subset S\\ B,S\ (\rho,\beta_{\rho})-\text{doubling}}} \frac{|m_{B}(f) - m_{S}(f)|}{\widetilde{K}_{B,S}^{(\rho)}},$$

 $M_{
ho,\delta}^\sharp(f)(x)=[M_
ho^\sharp(|f|^\delta)(x)]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\ for\ any\ \delta\in(0,\infty),\ and$ 

$$M_{L(\log L),\rho}(f_1,f_2)(x) := \sup_{B\ni x} \prod_{i=1}^2 ||f_i||_{L(\log L),\rho,B}.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\zeta \in [5\varrho, \infty)$ . Then, for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\omega \in A_{2p}^{\varrho}$   $(\mu)$ , there exits some positive constant C, depending only on  $\delta$ , such that, for any suitable function f and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\omega(\lbrace x \in \mathcal{X} : M_{\zeta,\delta}(f)(x) > t \rbrace) \le Ct^{-p} \sup_{\varsigma > Ct} \varsigma^p \omega(\lbrace x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x)| > t \rbrace). \tag{4.3}$$

Also, we need to establish the following lemma modified from [24].

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\omega$  be a weight,  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$  satisfy  $\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)\omega(x)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = 0$  when  $\|\mu\| = \mu(\mathcal{X}) < \infty$ . Assume that  $\inf\{1, N_\delta\} \in W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_\omega(\mu)$  for some p satisfying 1 . Then there exists some positive constant <math>C being independent of f, such that,

$$||N_{\delta}(f)||_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)} \le C||M^{\sharp}_{\rho,\delta}(f)||_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}_{\omega}(\mu)},\tag{4.4}$$

where  $N_{\delta}(f)(x) = [N(|f|^{\delta})(x)]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** By applying (3.2),  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^{2} \omega_{j}^{\frac{p}{p_{j}}}$  and Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6, we have

$$\begin{split} \|T_{\theta,b_{1},b_{2}}(f_{1},f_{2})\|_{W\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{p,\phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \\ &\leq \|N_{\delta}\big(T_{\theta,b_{1},b_{2}}(f_{1},f_{2})\big)\|_{W\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{p,\phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \leq C\|M_{\rho,\delta}^{\sharp}\big(T_{\theta,b_{1},b_{2}}(f_{1},f_{2})\big)\|_{W\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{p,\phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \end{split}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : |M_{\rho,\delta}^{l}(T_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)(x)| > t\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t$$

$$\times \nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : C||b_1||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} \|b_2||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma}(T_{\theta}(f_1,f_2))(x) > t/4\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+ C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : C||b_1||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma}(T_{\theta,b_1}(f_1,f_2))(x) > t/4\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+ C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : C||b_2||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma}(T_{\theta,b_1}(f_1,f_2))(x) > t/4\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+ C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : C||b_2||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma,\gamma}(T_{\theta,b_1}(f_1,f_2))(x) > t/4\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+ C \sup_{B \mid t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\{x \in B : C||b_1||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} \|b_2||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)} \|b_2||_{\widetilde{RDMO}(\mu)}$$

$$\leq C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : |T_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)(x)| > \iota\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma, \gamma}(T_{\theta}(f_1, f_2))(x) > \iota/2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \nu_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \times (\{x \in B : C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota/2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma, \gamma}(T_{\theta}(f_1, f_2))(x) > \iota/2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{\varsigma, \gamma}(T_{\theta}(f_1, f_2))(x) > \iota/2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} M_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota/2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : H_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : H_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : H_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : M_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \sup_{B} \sup_{t>0} [\Phi(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}} t \sup_{t>Ct} t^{-1} \iota \\ \times \nu_{\mathcal{A}} (\{x \in B : M_{L(\log L), \rho_1}(f_1, f_2)(x) > \iota)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \leq C \|b_1\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|b_2\|_{\widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)} \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho_1, \rho_1, \rho_2}(\mu)} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho_2, \rho_2,$$

$$\begin{split} &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{t>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>t\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>t\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{-\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:|T_{\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x)|>\iota\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:|T_{\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x)|>\varpi\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\omega\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:T_{\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x)|>\varpi\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\omega\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\sup_{\iota>Ct}t^{-1}\iota\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:CM_{s,\zeta_1}(f_1,f_2(x))>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:CM_{s,\zeta_1}(f_1,f_2(x))>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(6B))]^{\frac{1}{p}}t,\\ &\times\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}\big(\{x\in B:CM_{s,\zeta_1}(f_1,f_2(x))>\iota\big\}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\sup_{B}\sup_{t>0}[\Phi(\nu_{\widetilde{\omega}}(BB))]^{\frac{1}{p}}\ell_{\omega$$

where we use the following fact introduced in [25]

$$M_{L(\log L),\rho_1}(f_1,f_2)(x) \le CM_{s,\zeta_1}(f_1,f_2(x)).$$

Which is our desired result. Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Estimate for $B\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$

The main results of this section are stated as follows:

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$  and p with satisfying  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ ,  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1), and the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$  satisfy (3.2). Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from the product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exits some positive constant C such that, for all  $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^{p_i,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , i=1,2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1), and the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$  satisfy (3.2). Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from the product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exists some positive constant C such that, for all  $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^{p_i,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , i=1,2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{W\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}.$$

**Remark 5.3.** By applying Definition 2.11 and Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 in [33], it is easy to show that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 hold. Thus, in this paper, we omit the process of proofs.

Also, with a way similar to that used in the estimates for Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 in [33], it is easy to obtain the strong type results for the  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  and  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}$  on product of spaces  $\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu) \times \mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$  for  $p_1,p_2 \in (1,\infty)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$  and p with satisfying  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ ,  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1), and the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$  satisfy (3.2). Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from the product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exits some positive constant C such that, for all  $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^{p_i,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , i=1,2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta}(f_1, f_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2, \Phi, \varrho}(\mu)}.$$

**Theorem 5.5.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \widetilde{RBMO}(\mu)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be an increasing function satisfying (3.1), and the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$  satisfy (3.2). Suppose that  $\widetilde{T}_{\theta}$  defined as in (2.11) is bounded from the product of spaces  $L^1(\mu) \times L^1(\mu)$  into spaces  $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there exists some positive constant C such that, for all  $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^{p_i,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)$ , i=1,2,

$$\|\widetilde{T}_{\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)} \leq C\|b_1\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|b_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{RBMO}}(\mu)}\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_2,\Phi,\varrho}(\mu)}.$$

Conflict of interest All authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgments** This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12201500, 12361018) and the Science Foundation for Youths of Gansu Province (Grant No. 22JR5RA173).

### References

[1] T.A. Bui, X.T. Duong, Hardy space, regularized BMO and the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators on non-homogenous spaces, J. Geom. Anal., 23(2): 895–932, 2013.

- Y. Cao and J. Zhou, Morrey spaces for nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013(1):
   1–8, Art. ID 196459, 2013.
- [3] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca and P. Longo, W<sup>2,p</sup>-solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 336(2): 841–853, 1993.
- [4] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca and P. Longo, Interior W<sup>2,p</sup> estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, Ricerche Math., 40(1): 149–168, 1991.
- [5] J. Chou, X. Li, Y. Tong and H. Lin, Generalized weighted Morrey spaces on RD-spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 50(4): 1277–1293, 2020.
- [6] R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. v+160 pp.
- [7] R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 83(4): 569–645, 1977.
- [8] B. David and B. Jonathan, Subdyadic square functions and applications to weighted harmonic analysis, Adv. Math., 307: 72–99, 2017.
- [9] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, M. N. Omarova and M. A. Ragusa, Calderón-Zygmund operators and their commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Bull. Math. Sci., 13(1): 1–26, Paper No. 2250004, 2023.
- [10] I. Ekincioglu, C. Keskin and A. Serbetci, Multilinear commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operator on generalized variable exponent Morrey spaces, Positivity, 25(4): 1551–1567, 2021.
- [11] X. Fu, Da. Yang and Do. Yang, The molecular characterization of the Hardy space  $H^1$  on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces and its application, J. Math. Anal. Appl.,  $\mathbf{410}(2)$ : 1028-1042, 2014.
- [12] X. Fu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Generalized fractional integrals and their commutators over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, Taiwanese J. Math., 18(2): 509–557, 2014.
- [13] Y. Han, Boundedness of vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 13(3): 1–27, Paper No. 36, 2023.
- [14] G. Hu, Y. Meng and D. Yang, Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, Forum Math., 26(5): 1289–1322, 2014.
- [15] T. Hytönen, A framework for non-homogeneous analysis on metric spaces, and the RBMO space of Tolsa, Publ. Math., 54(2): 485–504, 2010.
- [16] T. Hytönen, Da. Yang and Do. Yang, The Hardy space  $H^1$  on non-homogeneous metric spaces, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 153(1): 9–31, 2012.
- [17] R. Johnson and C. J. Neugebauer, Change of variable results for  $A_p$  and reverse Hölder  $RH_R$ -classes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 328: 639–666, 1991.
- [18] T.Y. Komori and S. Shirai, Weighted Morrey spaces and a singular integral operator, Math. Nachr., 282(2): 219–231, 2009.
- [19] A. Kucukaslan, Equivalence of norms of the generalized fractional integral operator and the generalized fractional maximal operator on the generalized weighted Morrey spaces, Ann. Funct. Anal., 11(4): 1007–1026, 2020.
- [20] A. Kucukaslan, Generalized fractional integrals in the vanishing generalized weighted local and global Morrey spaces, Filomat, 37(6): 1893–1905, 2023.
- [21] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Caldern-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math., 220(4): 1222–1264, 2009.
- [22] H. Lin, S. Wu and D. Yang, Boundedness of certain commutators over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, Anal. Math. Phys., 7(2): 187–218, 2017.
- [23] Y. Liu and X. Fu, Boundedness of bilinear fractional integral operators on vanishing generalized Morrey spaces, J. Math. Study, 55(2): 109–123, 2022.
- [24] L. Liu, Continuity for some multilinear operators of integral operators on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci., 2004(37-40): 2039–2047, 2004.
- [25] G. Lu, Multiple weighted estimates for bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on non-homogeneous spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., 187: 1–24, Paper No. 103311, 2023.
- [26] G. Lu, Weighted estimates for θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on metric measure spaces, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 67(9): 2061–2075, 2022.
- [27] G. Lu, Fractional type Marcinkiewicz integral and its commutator on nonhomogeneous spaces, Nagoya Math. J., 248: 801–822, 2022.

- [28] G. Lu, Bilinear θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on non-homogeneous weighted Morrey spaces, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat., 15(1): 1–15, Paper No. 16, 2021.
- [29] G. Lu, Parameter θ-type Marcinkiewicz integral on nonhomogeneous weighted generalized Morrey spaces, J. Funct. Spaces, 2020(1): 1–6, 2020.
- [30] G. Lu and S. Tao, Estimate for some integral operators and their commutators on generalized fractional mixed Morrey spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., 187: 1–23, Paper No. 103314, 2023.
- [31] G. Lu and S. Tao, Two-weighted estimate for generalized fractional integral and its commutator on generalized fractional Morrey spaces, J. Math. Study, 56(4): 345–356, 2023.
- [32] G. Lu and S. Tao, Generalized Morrey spaces over nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 103(2): 268–278, 2017.
- [33] G. Lu and M. Wang, Bilinear  $\theta$ -type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on product non-homogeneous generalized Morrey spaces, J. Appl. Anal. Comput., **13**(5): 2922–2942, 2023.
- [34] G. Lu, S. Tao and M. Wang, Estimates for bilinear generalized fractional integral operator and its commutator on generalized Morrey spaces over RD-spaces, Ann. Funct. Anal., 15(1): 1–47, Paper No. 1, 2024.
- [35] G. Lu, S. Tao and M. Wang, Bilinear strongly generalized fractional integrals and their commutators over non-homogeneous metric spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., Minor Revision.
- [36] C.B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 43(1): 126–166, 1938.
- [37] B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 165: 261–274, 1972.
- [38] E. Nakai, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces, Math. Nachr., 166(1): 95–103, 1994.
- [39] M. Wei, Boundedness criterion for some integral operators on generalized mixed Morrey spaces and generalized mixed Hardy-Morrey spaces, Banach J. Math. Anal., 16(1): 1–26, Paper No. 8, 2022.
- [40] Y. Shi and X. Tao, Rough fractional integral and its multilinear commutators on p-adic generalized Morrey spaces, AIMS Math., 8(7): 17012–17026, 2023.
- [41] Y. Sawano, Generalized Morrey spaces for non-doubling measures, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 15(4-5): 413–425, 2008.
- [42] J. O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1381 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. vi+193 pp.
- [43] X. Tao and J. Wang, Commutators of multilinear θ-type generalized fractional integrals on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, AIMS Math., 7(6): 9627-9647, 2022.
- [44] X. Tolsa, BMO,  $H^1$ , and Calderón-Zygmund operators for non-doubling measures, Math. Ann., **319**(1): 89–149, 2001.
- [45] X. Tolsa, Littlewood-Paley theory and the T(1) theorem with non-doubling measures, Adv. Math., 164(1): 57–116, 2001.
- [46] D. Wang, J. Zhou and B. Ma, Lipschitz estimates for commutator of fractional integral operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B, 35(3): 253–264, 2020.
- [47] H. Wang and R. Xie, Multilinear strongly singular integral operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2022(1): 1–21, Paper No. 46, 2022.
- [48] M. Wang, S. Ma and G. Lu, Littlewood-Paley  $g^*_{\lambda,\mu}$ -function and its commutator on non-homogeneous generalized Morrey spaces, Tokyo J. Math., 41(2): 617–626, 2018.
- [49] R. Xie, L. Shu and A. Sun, Boundedness for commutators of bilinear θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces, J. Funct. Spaces, 2017(1): 1–10, 2017.
- [50] Y. Yan, J. Chen and H. Lin, Weighted Morrey spaces on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 452(1): 335–350, 2017.
- [51] Y. Zhao, H. Lin and Y. Meng, Weighted estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, Sci. China Math., 64(3): 519–546, 2021.