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## 1. Introduction and main result

Consider the following noncooperative elliptic system

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle u=|v|^{q-2} v & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ -\triangle v=f(u) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $q>1, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$.

Problem (1.1) arises naturally a steady states in reaction diffusion process. When $f(u)=|u|^{r-2} u($ where $r>1$ ), problem (1.1) is also referred as Lane-Emden system because it is a natural extension of Lane-Emden equation

$$
-\triangle u=|u|^{\gamma-2} u \text { (where } \gamma \text { is a constant) in } \Omega .
$$

In the case $N=3$, this equation arises in astrophysics and is used to model the thermal behavior of a spherical cloud of gas acting under the mutual attraction of its molecules and subject to the classical laws of thermodynamics. In the "model case", that is, $q>2$ and $f(x, s)=|s|^{r-2} s$ with $r>2$, there are many results about non-existence or existence of solutions to problem (1.1). It is known (see [6, 7, 10]) that problem (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
1>\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}>1-\frac{2}{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]If $N=2$, this condition holds for any $q>2$ and $r>2$. If $N \geq 3$, on the "critical hyperbola", i.e., the curve of $(q, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}=1-\frac{2}{N}$, non-existence of solutions has been proved in [15] and [20] by the Pohozaev type argument because of the lack of compactness. In addition, existence of solution to problem (1.1) has been established in [9] in the case $0<(q-1)(r-1)<1$.

For general $f$, Kristály in [12] has proved that there are infinitely many solutions to problem (1.1) if $f$ has a suitable oscillatory behavior. In the border-line case $q=$ $\frac{N}{N-2}$, a critical growth of exponential type for $f$ has been studied in [17]. Existence and multiplicity of solutions to the subquadratic problem have been established in [1-3]. As for the superquadratic problem, by assuming the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition
$(\mathrm{AR})$ there exist constants $\theta>\frac{q}{q-1}$ and $s_{1}^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(s) \leq s f(s), \quad \forall|s| \geq s_{1}^{\prime}
$$

where $F(s):=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$,
de Figueiredo and Ruf in [8] have obtained a nontrivial solution in a fractional Sobolev space via the variational method. Salvatore in [18] has used the algebraic approach based on the Pohozaev's fibering method to obtain a nontrivial solution provided that $f$ further satisfies
(R) there exist two functions $\lambda_{ \pm}(u) \in C^{1}(S)$ with $\lambda_{-}(u)<0<\lambda_{+}(u)$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda_{ \pm}(u)\right|^{\frac{q}{q-1}}-\int_{\Omega} f\left(\lambda_{ \pm}(u) u\right) \lambda_{ \pm}(u) u d x=0
$$

where $S$ is the unit sphere in the Banach space $W^{2, \frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, \frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$.
Moreover, if $f$ is odd in $s \in \mathbb{R}$, infinitely many pairs of weak solutions have been obtained. Subsequently, dropping the assumption (R), Salvatore in [19] has proved that problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of weak solutions via the variational method. In addition, when $1<q \leq 2$ if $N=2,3$, or $1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 4$, Chen and Zou in [5] have generalized Salvatore's results in $[18,19]$ by replacing (AR) with
$(\mathrm{GAR})$ there exist a constant $s_{2}^{\prime} \geq 0$ and a function $\theta \in C\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left(-s_{2}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
0<\left(\frac{q}{q-1}+\theta(s)\right) F(s) \leq s f(s), \quad \forall|s| \geq s_{2}^{\prime}
$$

where $\theta$ satisfies $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty}|s| \theta(s)=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{s_{0}}^{|s|} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} d t=+\infty$.
Obviously, (GAR) is essentially weaker than (AR). From $1<q<2$ if $N=2,3$ or $1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 4$, one can deduces $\frac{q}{q-1}>\frac{N}{2}$. When $N \geq 4$, from $\frac{N}{N-2} \leq q \leq 2$ it follows that $2 \leq \frac{q}{q-1} \leq \frac{N}{2}$. In this paper, setting $p:=\frac{q}{q-1}$, our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $p>\frac{N}{2}$ and $f$ satisfies
(f $\left.\mathrm{f}_{1}\right) \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}=0$,
(f $\left.\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}=+\infty$,
( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) there exist constants $s_{1}>0, \alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}} \leq \alpha(s f(s)-p F(s))+\beta
$$

$$
\text { for }|s| \geq s_{1} \text {. }
$$

Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution. If $f$ satisfies the additional condition
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{*}\right) f(s)$ is odd in $s \in \mathbb{R}$,
then problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of weak solutions.
Remark 1.1. Theorem 1.1 generalizes Theorem 1.1 in [18] and Theorem 1.1 in [19], and complements Theorems 1.1 in [5]. In fact,
(1) (AR) implies ( $f_{2}$ ) and ( $f_{3}$ ). Indeed, from (AR), one can deduce that

$$
F(s) \geq a_{1}|s|^{\theta}-a_{2}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are positive constants, which implies that ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) holds. In addition,

$$
s f(s)-2 F(s) \geq(\theta-2) F(s) \geq(\theta-2)\left(s_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{p} \cdot \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}, \quad \forall|s| \geq s_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

That is, ( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) holds.
(2) There are functions which satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 but don't satisfy (GAR). For example, let

$$
F(s)=|s|^{\theta}+(\theta-p)|s|^{\theta-\tau} \cdot \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)
$$

with $\theta>p+1$ and $\tau \in(1, \min \{p, \theta-p\})$. It is easy to check that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{*}\right)$ are satisfied. Additional, by a simple calculation, we have

$$
f(s)=\theta s|s|^{\theta-2}+(\theta-p)(\theta-\tau) s|s|^{\theta-\tau-2} \cdot \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)+(\theta-p) s|s|^{\theta-2} \cdot \sin \left(\frac{2|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right),
$$

and

$$
s f(s)-p F(s)=(\theta-p)|s|^{\theta}\left[1+\sin \left(\frac{2|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)\right]+(\theta-p)(\theta-p-\tau)|s|^{\theta-\tau} \cdot \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{s f(s)-p F(s)}{F(s)}=(\theta-p) \cdot \frac{\left[1+\sin \left(\frac{2|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)\right] \cdot|s|^{\tau}+(\theta-p-\tau) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{|s|^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)}{|s|^{\tau}+(\theta-p) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\mid s \tau^{\tau}}{\tau}\right)}
$$

Setting $s_{k}=\left[\tau\left(k \pi+\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\tau}}, k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, it is easy to verify that

$$
s_{k} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad s_{k} \cdot \frac{s_{k} f\left(s_{k}\right)-p F\left(s_{k}\right)}{F\left(s_{k}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there is no function $\theta(s)$ such that $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty}|s| \theta(s)=+\infty$ and $(p+\theta(s)) F(s) \leq s f(s)$. However, for $|s|$ large, we have

$$
\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}} \leq a_{3}|s|^{\theta-p} \leq a_{3}|s|^{\theta-\tau} \leq a_{4}(s f(s)-p F(s))
$$

where $a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ are positive constants, so $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ is satisfied.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $p \leq \frac{N}{2}$ and $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, and
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ there exist constants $s_{2}>0, \alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $\sigma>\frac{N}{2 p}$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}\right)^{\sigma} \leq \alpha(s f(s)-p F(s))+\beta
$$

for $|s| \geq s_{2}$,
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ there exist positive constants $a_{5}, a_{6}$ and $r$ such that (1.2) holds and

$$
|f(s)| \leq a_{5}|s|^{r-1}+a_{6}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution. If $f$ satisfies the additional condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{*}\right)$, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of weak solutions.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem 1.4 in [18] and Theorem 1.2 in [19]. In fact,
(1) Under the condition $\left(f_{5}\right)$, (AR) implies $\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{4}\right)$. As is shown in item (1) in Remark 1.1, $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ holds. In addition, from (1.2), one has

$$
p<r<\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{N p}{N-2 p}, p<\frac{N}{2} \\
+\infty, \quad p=\frac{N}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{r}{r-p}>\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{N}{2 p}, p<\frac{N}{2} \\
1, \quad p=\frac{N}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking arbitrarily $\sigma \in\left(\frac{N}{2 p}, \frac{r}{r-p}\right)$ when $p<\frac{N}{2}$ or $\sigma \in\left(1, \frac{r}{r-p}\right)$ when $p=\frac{N}{2}$, we have $\sigma>1$ and $\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}>r$. Then from $\left(f_{5}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}}}=0
$$

Hence, there exists a constant $s_{3}^{\prime}>s_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
0<\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}}} \leq(\theta-p)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}
$$

for $|s| \geq s_{3}^{\prime}$, from this and (AR) we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}\right)^{\sigma} \leq(\theta-p) F(s) \leq s f(s)-p F(s)
$$

for $|s| \geq s_{3}^{\prime}$.
(2) There exists functions which satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 but don't satisfy (AR). Indeed, for the function $F$ listed in Remark 1.1 with $\tau \in(0, p)$, and $\theta \in\left(p, \frac{(N-2 \tau) p}{N-2 p}\right)$ when $p<\frac{N}{2}$ or $\theta \in(p,+\infty)$ when $p=\frac{N}{2}$, it is not difficult to check that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{*}\right)$ are satisfied. Besides this, for $s_{k}$ given in Remark 1.1, one has

$$
\frac{s_{k} f\left(s_{k}\right)-p F\left(s_{k}\right)}{F\left(s_{k}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, hence (AR) is not satisfied. However, for $|s|$ large, there exists positive constant $a_{7}$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}}\right)^{\frac{\theta-\tau}{\theta-p}} \leq a_{7}\left(|s|^{\theta-p}\right)^{\frac{\theta-\tau}{\theta-p}}=a_{7}|s|^{\theta-\tau} \leq s f(s)-p F(s)
$$

Setting $\sigma:=\frac{\theta-\tau}{\theta-p}$, then $\sigma>\frac{N}{2 p}$ when $p=\frac{N}{2}$. When $p<\frac{N}{2}$, from $\theta \in$ $\left(p, \frac{(N-2 \tau) p}{N-2 p}\right)$ it follows that $\sigma>\frac{N}{2 p}$. To sum up, we can conclude that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ is satisfied.

## 2. Preliminaries

System (1.1) is equivalent to the following $p$-biharmonic equation with Navier boundary conditions

$$
\begin{cases}\triangle\left(|\triangle u|^{p-2} \triangle u\right)=f(u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.1}\\ u=\triangle u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

which arises in the study of traveling waves in suspension bridges (see [13, 14]) and the study of the static deflection of an elastic plate in a fluid. Let $E:=$ $W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

which is equivalent to the usual intersection norm

$$
\|u\|_{E}=\max \left\{\|u\|_{W^{2, p}(\Omega)},\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}\right\} .
$$

Thus, these following embedding mappings

$$
E \hookrightarrow \begin{cases}L^{\nu}(\Omega), \nu<\frac{N p}{N-2 p}, & \text { when } p<\frac{N}{2} \\ L^{\nu}(\Omega), \nu<+\infty, & \text { when } p=\frac{N}{2} \\ C_{B}(\Omega), & \text { when } p>\frac{N}{2}\end{cases}
$$

are compact, where $L^{\nu}(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue space with the norm $|u|_{\nu}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\nu} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$, $C_{B}(\Omega)$ is the space of the continuous bounded functions on $\Omega$ with the norm $\|u\|_{C_{B}(\Omega)}=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)|$. We denote by $S_{\nu}$ (respectively $S_{\infty}$ ) the imbedding constant of $E$ in $L^{\nu}(\Omega)$ (respectively in $C_{B}(\Omega)$ ), that is,

$$
\left.S_{\nu}|u|_{\nu} \leq\|u\| \text { (respectively } S_{\infty}|u|_{C_{B}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|\right), \forall u \in E .
$$

Additionally, the functional $\Phi$ defined as

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x, \quad u \in E
$$

belongs to $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$,

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p-2} \triangle u \triangle v d x-\int_{\Omega} f(u) v d x, \quad \forall u, v \in E
$$

and the weak solutions of problem (2.1) are exactly the critical points of $\Phi$ in $E$.

## 3. Proof of Theorems

In the following statement, we use $C_{i}\left(i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$to represent suitable positive constants.

Lemma 3.1. When $p>\frac{N}{2}$, assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, then there exist positive constants $\rho$ and a such that $\Phi_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq a$, where and in what follows $B_{\varrho}:=\{u \in E$ : $\|u\| \leq \varrho\}$ and $\partial B_{\varrho}:=\{u \in E:\|u\|=\varrho\}$ for $\varrho>0$.

Proof. We denote by $|\Omega|$ the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$, then from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, for $\varepsilon \in$ $\left(0, \frac{S_{\infty}^{p}}{2 p|\Omega|}\right)$, there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that

$$
|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|^{p}
$$

for $|s|<\delta$. Thus, arbitrarily taking $\rho \in\left(0, S_{\infty} \delta\right)$, we have

$$
|u(x)| \leq \frac{\|u\|}{S_{\infty}} \leq \frac{\rho}{S_{\infty}}<\delta \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \forall u \in B_{\rho}
$$

this leads to

$$
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon|\Omega|}{S_{\infty}^{p}}\right)\|u\|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{2 p}\|u\|^{p}, \quad \forall u \in B_{\rho}
$$

Setting $a:=\frac{1}{2 p} \cdot \rho^{p}>0$, then we have $\Phi_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq a$.

Lemma 3.2. When $p \leq \frac{N}{2}$, assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$, then there exist positive constants $\rho$ and a such that $\Phi_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq a$.
Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$, for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{S_{p}^{p}}{2 p|\Omega|}\right)$, there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|^{p}+C(\varepsilon)|s|^{r}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) & \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x-C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{r} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon|\Omega|}{S_{p}^{p}}\right)\|u\|^{p}-C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{r} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{C(\varepsilon)}{S_{r}^{r}}\|u\|^{r-p}\right)\|u\|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\rho=\left(\frac{S_{r}^{r}}{4 p C(\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}}$ and $a=\frac{1}{4 p} \cdot \rho^{p}>0$, then we have $\Phi_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq a$.
Lemma 3.3. Assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, then there exists $u_{0} \in E$ with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)<0$.
Proof. From ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) it follows that for every $K>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \geq \frac{K}{p} \cdot|s|^{p}-C_{K} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for arbitrary $\phi \in E$ with $\|\phi\|=1$, fixing $K>\frac{1}{|\phi|_{p}^{p}}$, we have
$\Phi(t \phi) \leq \frac{|t|^{p}}{p}\left(\|\phi\|^{p}-K \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p} d x\right)+C_{K} \cdot|\Omega|=\frac{|t|^{p}}{p}\left(1-K \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p} d x\right)+C_{K} \cdot|\Omega|$.
Setting $t_{0}=\left(\frac{2 p C_{K} \cdot|\Omega|}{K|\phi|_{p}^{p}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $u_{0}:=t_{0} \phi$, then one has $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right) \leq-C_{K}|\Omega|<0$.
Lemma 3.4. When $p>\frac{N}{2}$, assume that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ hold, then $\Phi$ satisfies the $(\mathrm{C})$ condition, that is, for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a convergent subsequence.
Proof. Firstly, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. We argue by contradiction. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded, then $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ after passing to a subsequence. Setting $w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n} \rightharpoonup w \text { weakly in } E \text { and } w_{n} \rightarrow w \text { strongly in } C_{B}(\Omega) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $M_{1}>s_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(s)}{|s|^{p}} \geq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|s| \geq M_{1}$. Thus, there is a positive constant $L_{M_{1}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(s)| \leq L_{M_{1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|s| \leq M_{1}$. From (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \geq|s|^{p}-L_{M_{1}}-M_{1}^{p} \geq-L_{M_{1}}-M_{1}^{p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let $\Omega^{\prime}:=\{x \in \Omega: w(x) \neq 0\}$, then for $x \in \Omega^{\prime}$, we have $u_{n}(x)=w_{n}(x)\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p}}=+\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|>0,(3.2)$ together with (3.6) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p}-\frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega_{\backslash \Omega^{\prime}}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{\left(L_{M_{1}}+M_{1}^{p}\right) \cdot|\Omega|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from (3.3) and (3.7), applying Fatou's lemma gets

$$
\frac{1}{p} \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p}-\frac{\left(L_{M_{1}}+M_{1}^{p}\right) \cdot|\Omega|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}\right) \geq+\infty
$$

a contradiction. Hence $\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|=0$, that is, $w=0$.
Setting

$$
\kappa:=\max _{|s| \leq M_{1}}|s f(s)-p F(s)|, \quad \Omega_{n}:=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq M_{1}\right\}
$$

we derive from $(3.2),(3.5)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p}-\frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d x+\int_{\Omega_{n}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{L_{M_{1}}|\Omega|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}+\int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha\left(u_{n} f\left(u_{n}\right)-p F\left(u_{n}\right)\right)+\beta+\alpha \kappa\right]\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{L_{M_{1}}|\Omega|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}+\left[(\alpha \kappa+\beta)|\Omega|+\alpha\left(p \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right)\right] \cdot\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{C_{B}(\Omega)}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.3), letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality gives

$$
\frac{1}{p} \leq 0
$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded in $E$.

Let $I(u):=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p} d x$ and $J(u):=\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x$, then $I, J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), h\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p-2}(\triangle u)(\Delta h) d x, \quad\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), h\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(u) h d x, \quad \forall u, h \in E .
$$

Moreover, $J^{\prime}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is compact, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*} \leq\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*}+\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{*}$ is the dual space of $E$ and $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ denotes the norm in $E^{*}$. In addition, we note that (see [11]), for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\left(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta, \xi-\eta\right) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
C_{1}|\xi-\eta|^{p}, & p \geq 2, \\
C_{2}(1+|\xi|+|\eta|)^{p-2}|\xi-\eta|^{2}, & 1<p<2,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. When $p \geq 2$, we have

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right), u_{n}-u_{m}\right\rangle \geq C_{1}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{p},
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*} \geq C_{1}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{p-1} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $1<p<2$, applying the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{p} \leq & C_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[\left(\left|\triangle u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{n}-\left|\triangle u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \triangle u_{m}\right)\left(\triangle u_{n}-\triangle u_{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\} \\
& \times\left(1+\left|\triangle u_{n}\right|+\left|\triangle u_{m}\right|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} d x \\
\leq & C_{4}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\triangle u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \triangle u_{n}-\left|\triangle u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \triangle u_{m}\right)\left(\triangle u_{n}-\triangle u_{m}\right) d x\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \times\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(1+\left|\triangle u_{n}\right|+\left|\triangle u_{m}\right|\right)^{p} d x\right]^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
\leq & C_{5}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{m}\right\|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\| & \leq C_{6}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{m}\right\|\right)^{2-p} \\
& \leq C_{7}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{*} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $J^{\prime}$ is compact, one deduces $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$ has a convergent subsequence. Then from (3.2), (3.8), (3.9) or (3.10) it follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence.
Lemma 3.5. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ hold, then $\Phi$ satisfies the ( C$)$ condition.
Proof. For any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfying (3.2), setting $w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that
$w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ weakly in $E, w_{n} \rightarrow w$ strongly in $L^{\nu}(\Omega), w_{n}(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$,
where $\nu<\frac{N p}{N-2 p}$ when $p<\frac{N}{2}$ or $\nu<+\infty$ when $p=\frac{N}{2}$. Through a discussion the same as that in proof of Lemma 3.4, we deduce from ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) that $w=0$.

Setting

$$
\kappa^{\prime}:=\max _{|s| \leq M_{1}}|s f(s)-p F(s)|, \quad \Omega_{n}^{\prime}:=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq M_{1}\right\}
$$

we derive from $(3.2),(3.4),(3.5)$ and $\left(f_{4}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p}-\frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d x+\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d x+\left[\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{F\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\right)^{\sigma} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\left[\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}} d x\right]^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \\
& \leq \frac{L_{M_{1}|\Omega|}^{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}+\left.\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha\left(u_{n} f\left(u_{n}\right)-p F\left(u_{n}\right)\right)+\beta+\alpha \kappa^{\prime}\right] d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \cdot\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right|_{\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}}}{} \\
& \leq \frac{L_{M_{1}|\Omega|}^{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}+\left[\left(\alpha \kappa^{\prime}+\beta\right)|\Omega|+\alpha\left(p \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \cdot\left|u_{n}\right|_{\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}}^{p} .}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $\frac{p \sigma}{\sigma-1}<\frac{N p}{N-2 p}$ when $p<\frac{N}{2}$. Then by (3.11), letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality gives

$$
\frac{1}{p} \leq 0
$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded in $E$. The reminders is just the same as that in proof of Lemma 3.4.

In addition, similar to the argument of Theorem 9.12 in [16], one can prove the following $Z_{2}$ version of the Mountain Pass Theorem under the ( C ) condition.

Lemma 3.6. Let $E$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy the $(\mathrm{C})$ condition, and $\Phi(0)=0$. If $E=V \oplus X$, where $V$ finite dimensional, and $\Phi$ satisfies
$\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$ there are constants $\rho, b>0$ such that $\Phi_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap X} \geq b$,
$\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ for each finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{E} \subset E$, there is an $R=R(\widetilde{E})$ such that $\Phi \leq 0$ on $\widetilde{E} \backslash B_{R(\widetilde{E})}$,
then I possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and $3.4, \Phi$ possesses a mountain pass geometry and satisfies the (C) condition. Then there is a nontrivial solution for problem (2.1) as well as problem (1.1) by Theorem 2.6 in [4]. Moreover, Lemma 3.1 obviously implies $\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$. For each finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{E} \subset E$, the set $\partial{\underset{\sim}{B}} \cap \widetilde{E}$ is a compact subset of $\widetilde{E}$. Hence the continuous functional $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x: \partial B_{1} \cap \widetilde{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its minimum. So $\mu:=\min _{u \in \partial B_{1} \cap \widetilde{E}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x>0$. Fixing $K>\frac{1}{\mu}$, one gets $R(\widetilde{E}):=\left(\frac{2 p C_{K} \cdot|\Omega|}{K \mu-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}>0$. Thus, for $u \in \partial B_{1} \cap \widetilde{E}$ and $t \geq R(\widetilde{E})$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\Phi(t u) \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}\left(1-K \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)+C_{K} \cdot|\Omega| \leq \frac{(R(\widetilde{E}))^{p}}{p}(1-K \mu)+C_{K} \cdot|\Omega| \leq 0
$$

that is, $\Phi \leq 0$ on $\widetilde{E} \backslash B_{R(\widetilde{E})}$. Therefore, we can obtain infinitely many solutions for problem (2.1) as well as problem (1.1) by applying Lemma 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and $3.5, \Phi$ possesses a mountain pass geometry and satisfies the (C) condition. The reminders is just the same as that in proof of Theorem 1.1.
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