

LIMIT CYCLE BIFURCATION FOR A NILPOTENT SYSTEM IN Z_3 -EQUIVARIANT VECTOR FIELD*

Chaoxiong Du^{1,†}, Qinlong Wang², Yirong Liu³ and Qi Zhang³

Abstract Our work is concerned with the problem on limit cycle bifurcation for a class of Z_3 -equivariant Lyapunov system of five degrees with three third-order nilpotent critical points which lie in a Z_3 -equivariant vector field. With the help of computer algebra system-MATHEMATICA, the first 5 quasi-Lyapunov constants are deduced. The fact of existing 12 small amplitude limit cycles created from the three third-order nilpotent critical points is also proved. Our proof is algebraic and symbolic, obtained result is new and interesting in terms of nilpotent critical points' Hilbert number in equivariant vector field.

Keywords Third-order nilpotent critical point, Z_3 -equivariant, limit cycle bifurcation, Quasi-Lyapunov constant.

MSC(2010) 34C07.

1. Introduction

Consider an autonomous planar ordinary differential equation having three third-order nilpotent critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ with the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{d\tau} &= P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) + P_3(x, y) + P_4(x, y) + P_5(x, y) \equiv P(x, y), \\ \frac{dy}{d\tau} &= Q_1(x, y) + Q_2(x, y) + Q_3(x, y) + Q_4(x, y) + Q_5(x, y) \equiv Q(x, y),\end{aligned}\quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}P_1(x, y) &= -3B_{10}x - 3A_{10}y, \quad P_2(x, y) = 12(1 - 2A_{10})xy - 3B_{02}(x^2 - y^2), \\ P_3(x, y) &= 3(3B_{02}x + 6B_{10}x + \delta x + 6y - 6A_{10}y)(x^2 + y^2), \\ P_4(x, y) &= -3(3B_{02} + 8B_{10} + 2\delta)x^4 + 6(3A_{13} - 12 + 8A_{10})x^3y \\ &\quad + 6(9B_{02} + 12B_{10} + 4\delta)x^2y^2 + 6(12 - 8A_{10} - A_{13})xy^3 - (9B_{02} + 2\delta)y^4, \\ P_5(x, y) &= 3(B_{02} + 3B_{10} + \delta)x^5 + 3(15 - A_{10} - 6A_{13})x^4y + 6(7B_{02} + 3B_{10} + 3\delta)x^3y^2\end{aligned}$$

[†]the corresponding author. Email address: duchaoxiong@hotmail.com (C. Du)

¹Department of Mathematics, Changsha Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410100, China

²Department of Mathematics, Hezhou University, Hezhou, Guangxi 542800, China

³School of Mathematics, Central South University, ChangSha, Hunan 410083, China

*The authors were supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371373).

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2(12A_{13}-20-3A_{10})x^2y^3-(9B_{02}-9B_{10}+\delta)xy^4+(19-3A_{10}-6A_{13})y^5, \\
Q_1(x, y) & =3A_{10}x-3B_{10}y, \quad Q_2(x, y) =6B_{02}xy+6(1-2A_{10})(x^2-y^2), \\
Q_3(x, y) & =3(-6x+6A_{10}x+3B_{02}y+6B_{10}y+\delta y)(x^2+y^2), \\
Q_4(x, y) & =6(3-2A_{10})x^4-12(3B_{02}+2B_{10}+\delta)x^3y+18(A_{13}-6+4A_{10})x^2y^2 \\
& \quad +4(9B_{02}+18B_{10}+5\delta)xy^3+6(3-2A_{10}-A_{13})y^4, \\
Q_5(x, y) & =3(A_{10}-2)x^5+3(7B_{02}+3B_{10}+3\delta)x^4y+6(6A_{13}-15+A_{10})x^3y^2 \\
& \quad +2(9B_{10}-\delta-9B_{02})x^2y^3+(20+3A_{10}-12A_{13})xy^4+(9B_{02}+9B_{10}+5\delta)y^5,
\end{aligned}$$

in which $A_{10}, B_{10}, A_{13}, B_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$, δ is a small parameter and $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

System (1.1) is invariable under the following transformations

$$x' = x \cos \frac{2}{3}\pi - y \sin \frac{2}{3}\pi, \quad y' = x \sin \frac{2}{3}\pi + y \cos \frac{2}{3}\pi,$$

hence system (1.1) lies in a Z_3 -equivariant vector field and it can be verified that three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ satisfy (1.1). This paper is devoted to investigating the limit cycle bifurcation problem from the three critical points of the above system.

Clearly, it can be obtained that the three singular points of system (1.1) are three nilpotent critical points. In the qualitative theory of ordinary differential equation, investigation on limit cycle bifurcation for a critical point P of a planar analytic vector field X is a hot topic, and many results occur in published references, for example: Ref. [14, 15] and references therein discussed this problem and reported the recent new improvements. Let $H(n)$ be the Hilbert number of n -degrees system in planar vector field, Shi [25] showed $H(2) \geq 4$, Yu and Han [28] gave $H(3) \geq 12$, Jibin Li and Yirong Liu [16] obtained $H(3) \geq 13$. [17–19] gave the relation between focal values and singular point values, and offered a kind of method to compute the focal values which is called singular values method. [29] introduced this kind of method and we obtained many results on center-focus problem and bifurcation of limit cycles by making use of this kind of method, for example References [2–10].

For an elementary singular point, singular values method is a kind of valid method to study limit cycle bifurcation. But singular values method offered by [17–19] is invalid to investigate the limit cycle problem from a nilpotent critical point. Of course, in terms of limit cycle problem around a nilpotent critical point, some significant results have been published, for example: Han etc [11] studied the limit cycle bifurcation in near-hamiltonian systems by perturbing a nilpotent center, A. Algaba etc [1] gave local bifurcation of limit cycles and integrability of a class of nilpotent systems of differential equations, Han etc [12] investigated polynomial Hamiltonian systems with a nilpotent critical point, Jiang [13] considered the limit cycle bifurcation for a quartic near-Hamiltonian system by perturbing a nilpotent center. Liu and Li [20] offered a kind of method (namely integral factor method) to study the limit cycle bifurcation behavior for third-order nilpotent critical points of the following dynamical system:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x + \sum_{i+j=2}^{\infty} a_{ij}x^i y^j = X(x, y), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = \sum_{i+j=2}^{\infty} b_{ij}x^i y^j = Y(x, y), \quad (1.2)$$

in which the function $y = y(x)$ satisfies $X(x, y) = 0, y(0) = 0$.

[21–24, 26] made use of this kind of method offered by [20] and obtained some examples about limit cycle bifurcation behavior from a nilpotent critical point. For the simultaneous Hopf bifurcation of several nilpotent critical points, it is hardly seen in published references. Our work in this paper will show this kind of result. We will employ the theory about equivariant vector field in [4] and the integral factor method introduced in [20] to carry out our investigation of system (1.1). For system (1.1), we will discuss several cases, and being based on these cases, we investigate the center-focus problem and prove the singular point (1,0) of system (1.1) can bifurcate 4 small limit cycles. From the equivariant symmetrical quality, the fact of existing 12 small amplitude limit cycles created from the three third-order nilpotent critical points is also proved. Our proof is algebraic and symbolic, obtained result is new and interesting in terms of nilpotent critical points' Hilbert number in equivariant vector field.

We will organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we stated some preliminary knowledge given in [20] which is useful to carry out our work in this paper. In Section 3, we gave the linear recursive formulae to compute the first 5 quasi-Lyapunov constants of each one critical point of three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and obtained some related expressions. Moreover, we gave the condition that each one critical point of three symmetric critical points could become a 5th-order weak focus, and the example with 12 small limit cycles is also shown in the result of Theorem 3.4.

2. Our method to investigate the bifurcation of nilpotent critical point

In [20], the second author offered a kind of method to study the center-focus problem of third-order nilpotent critical point of the planar dynamical systems. The work focuses on the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= y + \mu x^2 + \sum_{i+2j=3}^{\infty} a_{ij} x^i y^j = X(x, y), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= -2x^3 + 2\mu xy + \sum_{i+2j=4}^{\infty} b_{ij} x^i y^j = Y(x, y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

in which

$$X_k(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=k} a_{ij} x^i y^j, \quad Y_k(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

Under the transformation of generalized polar coordinates

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r^2 \sin \theta, \tag{2.2}$$

system (2.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dt} &= \frac{\cos \theta [\sin \theta (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + \mu (\cos^2 \theta + 2 \sin^2 \theta)]}{1 + \sin^2 \theta} r^2 + o(r^2), \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \frac{-r}{2(1 + \sin^2 \theta)(\cos^4 \theta + \sin^2 \theta)} + o(r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Hence

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \frac{-\cos\theta[\sin\theta(1-2\cos^2\theta) + \mu(\cos^2\theta + 2\sin^2\theta)]}{2(\cos^4\theta + \sin^2\theta)}r + o(r). \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$r = \tilde{r}(\theta, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k(\theta) h^k \quad (2.5)$$

be a solution of (2.4) satisfying the initial condition $r|_{\theta=0} = h$, where h is a small enough real number and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(\theta) &= (\cos^4\theta + \sin^2\theta)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{-\mu}{2} \arctan \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos^2\theta}\right), \\ \nu_1(k\pi) &= 1, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Because for all sufficiently small r ($r > 0$), from the second expression of (2.3), we have $d\theta/dt < 0$. In a small neighborhood, the successor function of system (2.1) can be defined as follows:

$$\Delta(h) = \tilde{r}(-2\pi, h) - h = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \nu_k(-2\pi) h^k. \quad (2.7)$$

For system (2.1), [20] gave the following results.

Lemma 2.1 ([20]). *For any positive integer m , $\nu_{2m+1}(-2\pi)$ has the form*

$$\nu_{2m+1}(-2\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^m \zeta_k^{(m)} \nu_{2k}(-2\pi), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\zeta_k^{(m)}$ is a polynomial of $\nu_j(\pi)$, $\nu_j(2\pi)$, $\nu_j(-2\pi)$, ($j = 2, 3, \dots, 2m$) with rational coefficients.

It is different from the center-focus problem for the elementary critical points, we know from Lemma 2.1 that when $k > 1$ for the first non-zero $\nu_k(-2\pi)$, k is an even integer.

Definition 2.1. (1) For any positive integer m , $\nu_{2m}(-2\pi)$ is called the m th-order focal value of system (2.1) at the origin;

(2) If $\nu_2(-2\pi) \neq 0$, the origin of system (2.1) is called 1th-order weak focus. If there is an integer $m > 1$, such that $\nu_2(-2\pi) = \nu_4(-2\pi) = \dots = \nu_{2m-2}(-2\pi) = 0$, $\nu_{2m}(-2\pi) \neq 0$, then, the origin of system (2.1) is called m th-order weak focus;

(3) If for all positive integer m , we have $\nu_{2m}(-2\pi) = 0$, then the origin of system (2.1) is called a center.

Moreover the computation of focal value of system (2.1) is also given in [20], which is shown in the following several lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 ([20]). *If the origin of system (2.1) is s -class or ∞ -class, one can construct successively the terms of the formal power series $M(x, y) = x^4 + y^2 + o(r^4)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{X}{M^{s+1}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Y}{M^{s+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M^{s+2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m - 4s - 1) \lambda_m [x^{2m+4} + o(r^{2m+4})], \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} \right) M - (s + 1) \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x} X + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} Y \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m [(2m - 4s - 1)x^{2m+4} + o(r^{2m+4})]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([20]). *For system (2.1), if there exists a natural number s and formal series $M(x, y) = x^4 + y^2 + o(r^4)$, such that (2.9) holds, then*

$$\{\nu_{2m}(-2\pi)\} \sim \{\sigma_m(s, \mu)\lambda_m\}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\sigma_m(s, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1 + \sin^2 \theta) \cos^{2m+4} \theta}{(\cos^4 \theta + \sin^2 \theta)^{s+2}} \nu_1^{2m-4s-1}(\theta) d\theta. \tag{2.12}$$

Definition 2.2. *If there exists a natural number s and a formal series $M(x, y) = x^4 + y^2 + o(r^4)$, such that (2.9) holds, then λ_m is called the m -th quasi-Lyapunov constants of the origin of system (2.1).*

Lemma 2.4 ([20]). *For any positive integer s and a given number sequence*

$$\{c_{0\beta}\}, \quad \beta \geq 3, \tag{2.13}$$

one can construct successively the terms with the coefficients $c_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying $\alpha \neq 0$ of the formal series

$$M(x, y) = y^2 + \sum_{\alpha+\beta=3}^{\infty} c_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha y^\beta = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} M_k(x, y), \tag{2.14}$$

such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{X}{M^{s+1}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Y}{M^{s+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{M^{s+2}} \sum_{m=5}^{\infty} \omega_m(s, \mu) x^m, \tag{2.15}$$

where for all k , $M_k(x, y)$ is a k -homogeneous polynomial of x, y and $s\mu = 0$.

Obviously, (2.15) can also be written as

$$\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} \right) M - (s + 1) \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x} X + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} Y \right) = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \omega_m(s, \mu) x^m. \tag{2.16}$$

It is clear that (2.16) is linear with respect to the function M , so that we can easily find the following recursive formulae for the calculation of $c_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\omega_m(s, \mu)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([20]). For $\alpha \geq 1, \alpha + \beta \geq 3$ in (2.14) and (2.15), $c_{\alpha\beta}$ can be uniquely determined by the recursive formula

$$c_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{(s+1)\alpha} (A_{\alpha-1, \beta+1} + B_{\alpha-1, \beta+1}). \quad (2.17)$$

For $m \geq 1$, $\omega_m(s, \mu)$ can be uniquely determined by the recursive formula

$$\omega_m(s, \mu) = A_{m,0} + B_{m,0}, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{k+j=2}^{\alpha+\beta-1} [k - (s+1)(\alpha - k + 1)] a_{kj} c_{\alpha-k+1, \beta-j}, \\ B_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{k+j=2}^{\alpha+\beta-1} [j - (s+1)(\beta - j + 1)] b_{kj} c_{\alpha-k, \beta-j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Notice that in (2.18), we set

$$c_{00} = c_{10} = c_{01} = 0, c_{20} = c_{11} = 0, c_{02} = 1, c_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \text{ if } \alpha < 0 \text{ or } \beta < 0. \quad (2.20)$$

By choosing $\{c_{\alpha\beta}\}$, such that

$$\omega_{2k+1}(s, \mu) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.21)$$

we can obtain a solution group of $\{c_{\alpha\beta}\}$ of (2.21), thus, we have

$$\lambda_m = \frac{\omega_{2m+4}(s, \mu)}{2m - 4s - 1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Obviously, the recursive formulae in Lemma 2.5 is linear with respect to all $c_{\alpha\beta}$ which offered a good way for us to compute quasi-Lyapunov constants with help of computer algebraic system like MATHEMATICA.

3. Quasi-Lyapunov constants of system (1.1) and bifurcation of limit cycles

In order to obtain the expressions of quasi-Lyapunov constants of the three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1) and study the limit cycle bifurcation. May as well make the following transformations:

$$x = x_1 + 1, y = y_1, dt = 3d\tau, \quad (3.1)$$

system (1.1) is changed into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= y_1 + \delta x_1^2 + \sum_{i+2j=3}^5 a_{ij} x_1^i y_1^j, \\ \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= -2x_1^3 + 2\delta x_1 y_1 + \sum_{i+2j=4}^5 b_{ij} x_1^i y_1^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Comparing system (2.1) and system (3.2), it is clear that the origin of system (3.2) is a nilpotent critical point. According to the quality of equivariant vector field and translation's invariable property, system (3.2) has three symmetric nilpotent critical points $(0, 0)$, $(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ which have the same topological property and bifurcation behavior. Hence the study on the origin of system (3.2) will can derive the similar property for the three symmetric nilpotent critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1). Next we will investigate the bifurcation behavior of the origin of system (3.2).

According to Lemma 2.4, for system (3.2), we can find a positive integer s and a formal series $M(x, y) = x^4 + y^2 + o(r^4)$, such that (2.14) holds. Applying the recursive formulae presented in Lemma 2.5 to carry out calculations in MATHEMATICA, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_3 = \omega_4 = \omega_5 = 0, \quad \omega_6 = -4(B_{02} + B_{10})(-1 + 4s), \\ \omega_7 \sim 220B_{10} - 144A_{10}B_{10} - 45A_{13}B_{10} - 440B_{10}s + 288A_{10}B_{10}s \\ \quad + 90A_{13}B_{10}s + 3c_{03} + 3sc_{03}, \\ \omega_8 \sim \frac{1}{5}(33434 - 27676A_{10} + 3600A_{10}^2 - 17382A_{13} + 8037A_{10}A_{13} + 2160A_{13}^2)B_{10}(-3 + 4s), \\ \omega_9 \sim \frac{1}{120}B_{10}(-850764 + 1444946A_{10} - 801820A_{10}^2 + 159120A_{10}^3 + 228852A_{13} - 265212 \\ \quad \times A_{10}A_{13} + 49725A_{10}^2A_{13} - 475200B_{10}^2 + 311040A_{10}B_{10}^2 + 97200A_{13}B_{10}^2)(s - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From (2.22) and (3.3), we obtain the first two quasi-Lyapunov constants of system (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \sim 4(B_{02} + B_{10}), \\ \lambda_2 \sim -\frac{1}{5}(33434 - 27676A_{10} + 3600A_{10}^2 - 17382A_{13} + 8037A_{10}A_{13} + 2160A_{13}^2)B_{10}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In order to let $\omega_9 \sim 0$, may as well let $s = 1$. Then we can obtain the first quasi-Lyapunov constants of the origin for system (3.2) as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *The first 5 quasi-Lyapunov constants of the origin for system (3.2) are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \sim 4(B_{02} + B_{10}); \\ \lambda_2 \sim -\frac{1}{5}B_{10}(33434 - 27676A_{10} + 3600A_{10}^2 - 17382A_{13} + 8037A_{10}A_{13} + 2160A_{13}^2); \\ \lambda_3 \sim -\frac{1}{8400}B_{10}(-289275320 + 579302848A_{10} - 167333682A_{10}^2 - 124367700A_{10}^3 \\ \quad + 34847280A_{10}^4 + 97161960A_{13} - 194957964A_{10}A_{13} + 74661534A_{10}^2A_{13} \\ \quad + 10889775A_{10}^3A_{13} + 73407600B_{10}^2 - 146370240A_{10}B_{10}^2 + 68117760A_{10}^2B_{10}^2 \\ \quad - 14968800A_{13}B_{10}^2 + 21286800A_{10}A_{13}B_{10}^2); \\ \lambda_4 \sim \frac{2}{24310125}B_{10}m_1m_2/(n_1^3n_2^2); \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 &= 24310125(2356900 + 11443764A_{10} - 43655643A_{10}^2 + 40303008A_{10}^3), \\
n_2 &= 32387320 - 64985988A_{10} + 24887178A_{10}^2 + 3629925A_{10}^3 \\
&\quad - 4989600B_{10}^2 + 7095600A_{10}B_{10}^2, \\
m_1 &= 432457081000 - 2114784149580A_{10} + 3981317097582A_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 3350088088065A_{10}^3 + 1027908919008A_{10}^4, \\
m_2 &= -614264028736651331157057072471355200000 \\
&\quad + 11895851535416392363529935837437747488000A_{10} \\
&\quad - 107766362896838983243294455955188278073600A_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 605142289372630676169104721115880813657600A_{10}^3 \\
&\quad - 2352438812212562063077837428522473268313536A_{10}^4 \\
&\quad + 6694951588284207648221497734807879888755424A_{10}^5 \\
&\quad - 14378941971565333990605851497597007406345024A_{10}^6 \\
&\quad + 23660892635875446177771110792017371263606544A_{10}^7 \\
&\quad - 29945373654876502119865249451818830180871122A_{10}^8 \\
&\quad + 28949796635772205843294709064058792967619477A_{10}^9 \\
&\quad - 20951746648069853909581155290035572225488775A_{10}^{10} \\
&\quad + 10880769933820920499526730545255068428443463A_{10}^{11} \\
&\quad - 3688349239049582685636429795170020500377607A_{10}^{12} \\
&\quad + 593860206291618558791899091595216005083944A_{10}^{13} \\
&\quad + 70928422593915180614456799184414384006272A_{10}^{14} \\
&\quad - 49546081528776009218616097368818315132928A_{10}^{15} \\
&\quad + 6539589788630853482250264834573907132416A_{10}^{16} \\
&\quad - 802227631135331722996195085425644000000B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 13766121628592628487321707952731557760000A_{10}B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 109870672550053815434477721222916449408000A_{10}^2B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 539367774670193512722507735588740085350400A_{10}^3B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 1814365150916952602269207140999990597919584A_{10}^4B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 4407819179526408462154136365477519243954368A_{10}^5B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 7934611459360495609646786611605935000531808A_{10}^6B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 10670525482074046319346659296170888441554784A_{10}^7B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 10642811245583301737458089555883855600145295A_{10}^8B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 7666723483493406466055510944502940641714064A_{10}^9B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 3750683150060150457609564508262855072917008A_{10}^{10}B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad + 1059469698742662320655624194646063359207808A_{10}^{11}B_{10}^2 \\
&\quad - 60931471241140097715899127790127908227072A_{10}^{12}B_{10}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 56381337328021732386246441858647412178944A_{10}^{13}B_{10}^2 \\
& + 12783270537052166082950743930026822991872A_{10}^{14}B_{10}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

(1) If $m_1 = 0$, then $\lambda_5 \sim 0$.

(2) If $m_2 = 0$, then $\lambda_5 \sim B_{10}m_3m_4^3m_5/(n_3^3n_4^4)$,

in which

$$m_3 = 282 - 857A_{10} + 648A_{10}^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_4 = & - 89136403459481302555132787269516000000 \\
& + 1529569069843625387480189772525728640000A_{10} \\
& - 12207852505561535048275302358101827712000A_{10}^2 \\
& + 59929752741132612524723081732082231705600A_{10}^3 \\
& - 20159612787966140025213412677776733102176A_{10}^4 \\
& + 489757686614045384683792929497502138217152A_{10}^5 \\
& - 881623495484499512182976290178437222281312A_{10}^6 \\
& + 1185613942452671813260739921796765382394976A_{10}^7 \\
& - 1182534582842589081939787728431539511127255A_{10}^8 \\
& + 851858164832600718450612327166993404634896A_{10}^9 \\
& - 416742572228905606401062723140317230324112A_{10}^{10} \\
& + 117718855415851368961736021627340373245312A_{10}^{11} \\
& - 6770163471237788635099903087791989803008A_{10}^{12} \\
& - 6264593036446859154027382428738601353216A_{10}^{13} \\
& + 1420363393005796231438971547780758110208A_{10}^{14},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_5 = & - 429153322621986110300383359835263714786469083016100768000000 \\
& + 12135294214967177193691107989616593544872181416571940858240000A_{10} \\
& - 163897155533505425205714209384503303011448079638983707806476800A_{10}^2 \\
& + 1405458899632513797814865718369576308680157087239724151212279040A_{10}^3 \\
& - 8580379214231524333047555721080053068636193062186819630778777600A_{10}^4 \\
& + 39632258996948626961851032850273632985183691001346805309372557952A_{10}^5 \\
& - 143658107082931901022664968059807755306616478851422792652055543104A_{10}^6 \\
& + 418266618559351085176026372150260324745269063380489253399889289632A_{10}^7 \\
& - 992826567841577804692961345333382602120563046223675339755111354664A_{10}^8 \\
& + 1938437857458792187913663363694430297505906459660447044028337679596A_{10}^9 \\
& - 3125827727412598988220287444403917881423245359370468029748128387298A_{10}^{10} \\
& + 4161688243397171335465311073143463419921007938617617260337924679601A_{10}^{11} \\
& - 4551575255893649975534205220888035223309835894495444049193477357875A_{10}^{12} \\
& + 4044112846771249001086874969333447246445935246911269088347024135609A_{10}^{13} \\
& - 2860605704668885309659262607792026218816474670013658211635701481840A_{10}^{14} \\
& + 1551690152172988433027301122675729000514736248520512286370856969030A_{10}^{15} \\
& - 595605313963884008298209700833328386298368547714197176072036536978A_{10}^{16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 124634018826691773309014936985512382371781410580598531586799407856A_{10}^{17} \\
& + 12707155555768148718289695054317509770186922978936586377214756688A_{10}^{18} \\
& - 19207760170838338003056363394420583033032604503432355199178235136A_{10}^{19} \\
& + 6398296296419108836162869487210778344228427466591266160451092480A_{10}^{20} \\
& - 989103619616268000037970335256517352476646245400735468934135808A_{10}^{21} \\
& + 55204271873258557861072808480380095062766407616829302125887488A_{10}^{22}; \\
n_3 = & 40111706250(2356900 + 11443764A_{10} - 43655643A_{10}^2 + 40303008A_{10}^3), \\
n_4 = & -7463023134133691009821563460000000 \\
& + 107820926146853624122090307940480000A_{10} \\
& - 713116430844566663885617359077836800A_{10}^2 \\
& + 2844541270346291948127963306964276224A_{10}^3 \\
& - 7583853608339658577135198612660468512A_{10}^4 \\
& + 14136219152432887673712877866097657920A_{10}^5 \\
& - 18681974327081692670059096122237915744A_{10}^6 \\
& + 17304088268303913256376502538827153504A_{10}^7 \\
& - 10730206834827871187516152380596328573A_{10}^8 \\
& + 3932181821913156193706272128137142816A_{10}^9 \\
& - 490885141160583277010515864933899264A_{10}^{10} \\
& - 171179345278968761993762160825237504A_{10}^{11} \\
& + 53795148521586502820501181828169728A_{10}^{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expression of λ_k , we have already let $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{k-1} = 0$, $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Remark 3.1. During the fourth quasi-Lyapunov constants, we let $c_{04=0}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{05} = & -\frac{1}{1016064000l_1^2l_2}B_{10}(-57081227180199515616869699899200000 \\
& + 848679618148099024307554651377568000A_{10} \\
& - 5864981027785242886659704048512896000A_{10}^2 \\
& + 25085733146741756984623139614884075520A_{10}^3 \\
& - 74579187650915402256346644306404619840A_{10}^4 \\
& + 163840695084806040212458237548247737504A_{10}^5 \\
& - 275114086127094312589065084600007214592A_{10}^6 \\
& + 358281810850215965790428298489640162464A_{10}^7 \\
& - 360978543307923516184270907056358414394A_{10}^8 \\
& + 275884923287721990807520647662227344165A_{10}^9 \\
& - 153759260652137209137318032303451720648A_{10}^{10} \\
& + 58389308198174848514472758397758297472A_{10}^{11} \\
& - 13338542677706998438116796959312986112A_{10}^{12} \\
& + 1360663355923347255280716116038385664A_{10}^{13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - 72585510263451053179853756004000000B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 948938297919072277881577851344160000A_{10}B_{10}^2 \\
 & - 5654563653097099998472323411250416000A_{10}^2B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 20201882163845266443842682280516895040A_{10}^3B_{10}^2 \\
 & - 47870670883372691899984862186960801280A_{10}^4B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 7853696577878311481861144209243332336A_{10}^5B_{10}^2 \\
 & - 90266968523403962542479801722394096936A_{10}^6B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 71740865548384843804060446514909710396A_{10}^7B_{10}^2 \\
 & - 37779078560075046313420861378141900623A_{10}^8B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 11999285863572021085818690522673108320A_{10}^9B_{10}^2 \\
 & - 1834454493657903415001297676340294656A_{10}^{10}B_{10}^2 \\
 & + 50710721082522904069047208038924288A_{10}^{11}B_{10}^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_1 &= 2356900 + 11443764A_{10} - 43655643A_{10}^2 + 40303008A_{10}^3, \\
 l_2 &= 32387320 - 64985988A_{10} + 24887178A_{10}^2 + 3629925A_{10}^3 \\
 & - 4989600B_{10}^2 + 7095600A_{10}B_{10}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By analyzing the construction of quasi-Lyapunov constants of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *The origin for system (3.2) can become a 5th-order nilpotent weak focus if and only if the following condition holds.*

$$B_{10} \neq 0, B_{02} = -B_{10}, m_1 \neq 0, m_2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{13} &= \frac{2}{3(32387320 - 64985988A_{10} + 24887178A_{10}^2 + 3629925A_{10}^3 - 4989600B_{10}^2 + 7095600A_{10}B_{10}^2)} \\
 & \times (144637660 - 289651424A_{10} + 83666841A_{10}^2 - 62183850A_{10}^3 - 17423640A_{10}^4 \\
 & - 36703800B_{10}^2 + 73185120A_{10}B_{10}^2 - 34058880A_{10}^2B_{10}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the expressions of $\lambda_k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, clearly the necessity holds. Next we prove the sufficiency. In order to prove the origin for system (3.2) is a 5th-order weak focus, we only need to prove that there exists a group of solutions about $B_{10}, A_{10}, A_{13}, B_{02}$ such that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0, \lambda_5 \neq 0$.

From $\lambda_1 = 0$, it is clear that $B_{02} = -B_{10}$. According to $\lambda_2 = 0$, we can obtain $A_{13}^2 = (-33434 + 27676A_{10} - 3600A_{10}^2 + 17382A_{13} - 8037A_{10}A_{13})/2160$ and the expression of λ_3 . Next $\lambda_3 = 0$ will deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{13} &= \frac{2}{3(32387320 - 64985988A_{10} + 24887178A_{10}^2 + 3629925A_{10}^3 - 4989600B_{10}^2 + 7095600A_{10}B_{10}^2)} \\
 & \times (144637660 - 289651424A_{10} + 83666841A_{10}^2 - 62183850A_{10}^3 - 17423640A_{10}^4 \\
 & - 36703800B_{10}^2 + 73185120A_{10}B_{10}^2 - 34058880A_{10}^2B_{10}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next let $\lambda_4 = 0$, then $m_1 = 0$ or $m_2 = 0$. At this time, $m_1 = 0$ will deduce $\lambda_5 = 0$, and $m_2 = 0$ will deduce $\lambda_5 \sim B_{10}m_3m_4^3m_5/(n_3^3n_4^4)$. And under the condition of Theorem 3.2, the all 6 groups of real number solutions of $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = m_2 = 0$

about $B_{10}, A_{10}, A_{13}, B_{02}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (S_1) \quad & A_{10} \approx -4.7269849, B_{10} \approx 52.3894621, B_{02} \approx -52.3894621, A_{13} \approx 19.9598497, \\ (S_2) \quad & A_{10} \approx -4.7269849, B_{10} \approx -52.3894621, B_{02} \approx 52.3894621, A_{13} \approx 19.9598497, \\ (S_3) \quad & A_{10} \approx 1.5929351, B_{10} \approx -3.1514449, B_{02} \approx 3.1514449, A_{13} \approx -0.2912932, \\ (S_4) \quad & A_{10} \approx 1.5929351, B_{10} \approx 3.1514449, B_{02} \approx -3.1514449, A_{13} \approx -0.2912932, \\ (S_5) \quad & A_{10} \approx 1.3374828, B_{10} \approx -0.5427501, B_{02} \approx 0.5427501, A_{13} \approx 3.7000000, \\ (S_6) \quad & A_{10} \approx 1.3374828, B_{10} \approx 0.5427501, B_{02} \approx -0.5427501, A_{13} \approx 3.7000000. \end{aligned}$$

The above solutions will all deduce $\lambda_5 \neq 0$, namely the following values about λ_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_5|_{S_1} &\approx -4.00689531488106867117295172933484362130282514912205641 \times 10^{16}, \\ \lambda_5|_{S_2} &\approx 4.00689531488106867117295172933484362130282514912205641 \times 10^{16}, \\ \lambda_5|_{S_3} &\approx 2.307736989154777961898338861146264870654949614306984727 \times 10^7, \\ \lambda_5|_{S_4} &\approx -2.307736989154777961898338861146264870654949614306984727 \times 10^7, \\ \lambda_5|_{S_5} &\approx -3.59504777956435126769985374024617279827806651933804383 \times 10^8, \\ \lambda_5|_{S_6} &\approx 3.59504777956435126769985374024617279827806651933804383 \times 10^8. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds. \square

According the equivariant symmetric quality, one can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1) become three nilpotent weak focuses of 5th-order if and only if condition of Theorem 3.2 holds.*

After discussing the weak focus problem of system (1.1), we will consider the limit cycle bifurcation of system (1.1). From Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Each one of the three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1) can bifurcate 4 small limit cycles under appropriate perturbations about parameter group $(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{10}, A_{13})$ if one of the three given critical points of system (1.1) is a nilpotent weak focus of 5th-order, in sum 12 limit cycles can yield by simultaneous Hopf bifurcation.*

Proof. If one of the three symmetric critical points $(1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1) is a nilpotent weak focus of 5th-order, then other two critical points are also two nilpotent weak focuses of 5th-order because system (1.1) lies in a Z_3 -equivariant vector field. At this time, let values of parameter group $(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{10}, A_{13})$ are (a, b, c, d) , namely $\lambda_5 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ if $(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{10}, A_{13}) = (a, b, c, d)$. Of course, during proving Theorem 3.2, we have show that this kind of solutions have 6 groups, i.e., $S_1 \sim S_6$, here we only express them as (a, b, c, d) .

Give a suitable perturbation about these parameters, we may as well let

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_1, \lambda_2 = \varepsilon_2, \lambda_3 = \varepsilon_3, \lambda_4 = \varepsilon_4, \quad (3.5)$$

in which $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4$ can be a group of arbitrary real numbers. When the three symmetric critical points of undisturbed system $(1.1)|_{\delta=0}$ are three nilpotent weak focuses of 5th order, then the jacobin of the functions group $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ with respect to the variables group $(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})$

$$J = \frac{D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)}{D(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial B_{02}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial B_{10}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial A_{13}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial A_{10}} \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial B_{02}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial B_{10}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial A_{13}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial A_{10}} \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial B_{02}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial B_{10}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial A_{13}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial A_{10}} \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial B_{02}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial B_{10}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial A_{13}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial A_{10}} \end{vmatrix} = f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10}),$$

in which $f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})$ is a function about $B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10}$. We can obtain that $f(B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10}) \neq 0$ if the three symmetric critical points of undisturbed system $(1.1)|_{\delta=0}$ are three nilpotent weak focuses of 5th order. In fact, if they become nilpotent weak focuses of 5th order, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_1} &\approx 5.374760669957396 \times 10^{24} \neq 0, \\ f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_2} &\approx 5.374760669957396 \times 10^{24} \neq 0, \\ f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_3} &\approx 6.18776801205598707424489 \times 10^{15} \neq 0, \\ f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_4} &\approx 6.18776801205598707424489 \times 10^{15} \neq 0, \\ f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_5} &\approx 1.59504777956435126769985 \times 10^{18} \neq 0, \\ f(B_{02}, B_{10}, A_{13}, A_{10})|_{S_6} &\approx 1.59504777956435126769985 \times 10^{18} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to existence theorem of implicit function, equations groups (3.5) have a group of solutions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{02} &= a + f_1(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4), \quad B_{10} = b + f_2(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4), \\ A_{13} &= c + f_3(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4), \quad A_{10} = d + f_4(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Obviously, given perturbations by (3.6) will let (3.5) hold. Because $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4$ can be a group of arbitrary real numbers, one can give many kinds of perturbations's methods by (3.6). Hence, there exists a vector on small parameters $\varepsilon_k = (\varepsilon_1^{(k)}, \varepsilon_2^{(k)}, \varepsilon_3^{(k)}, \varepsilon_4^{(k)})$ such that the first 5 quasi-Lyapunov constants of nilpotent singular point (1,0) of system (1.1) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0, \quad \lambda_2 \lambda_3 < 0, \quad \lambda_3 \lambda_4 < 0, \quad \lambda_4 \lambda_5 < 0, \\ |\lambda_1| \ll |\lambda_2| \ll |\lambda_3| \ll |\lambda_4| \ll |\lambda_5|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

According to (3.5), we only need to let $|\varepsilon_1| \ll |\varepsilon_2| \ll |\varepsilon_3| \ll |\varepsilon_4|$, then (3.7) holds. According to Theorem 4 in [27], 4 small limit cycles can occur near singular point (1,0) of system (1.1). From the quality of equivariant vector field, each one of singular points $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ of system (1.1) also can bifurcate 4 limit cycles. Hence, in sum 12 small limit cycles can bifurcate from disturbed system (1.1) by simultaneous Hopf bifurcation. \square

References

- [1] A. Algaba, C. Garca and M. Reyes, *Local bifurcation of limit cycles and integrability of a class of nilpotent systems of differential equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2009, 215, 314–323.
- [2] C. Du and W. Huang, *Center-focus problem and limit cycles bifurcations for a class of cubic Kolmogorov model*, Nonlinear Dynamics, 2013, 72, 197–206.
- [3] C. Du, Q. Wang and W. Huang, *Three-Dimensional Hopf bifurcation for a class of cubic Kolmogorov model*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2014, 24(3), 1450036.
- [4] C. Du, Y. Liu and W. Huang, *Limit cycles bifurcations for a class of Kolmogorov model in symmetrical vector field*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2014, 24(3), 1450040.
- [5] C. Du, W. Huang and Q. Zhang, *Center problem and the bifurcation of limit cycles for a cubic polynomial system*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2015, 39, 5200–5215.
- [6] C. Du, Y. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Limit cycles in a class of quartic Kolmogorov model with three positive equilibrium points*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2015, 25(6), 1550080.
- [7] C. Du, Y. Liu and W. Huang, *Limit cycles bifurcations behavior for a class of quartic Kolmogorov model in symmetrical vector field*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2016, 40, 4094–4108.
- [8] C. Du and Y. Liu, *Limit cycle bifurcation of the infinity and degenerate singular point in 3-dimensional vector field*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2016, 26(9), 1650152.
- [9] C. Du, Y. Liu and W. Huang, *A Class of 3-Dimensional Quadratic Systems with 10 Limit Cycles*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2016, 26(9), 1650149.
- [10] C. Du and Y. Liu, *Isochronicity for a Z_2 -equivariant cubic system*, Nonlinear Dynamics, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11071/016/3112/7.
- [11] M. Han, J. Jiang and H. Zhu, *Limit cycle bifurcations in near-hamiltonian systems by perturbing a nilpotent center*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2008, 18, 3013–3027.
- [12] M. Han, C. Shu, J. Yang and A. C.-L. Chian, *Polynomial Hamiltonian systems with a nilpotent critical point*, Advances in Space Research, 2010, 46, 521–525.
- [13] J. Jiang, *Bifurcation of limit cycles for a quartic near-Hamiltonian system by perturbing a nilpotent center*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2010, 365, 376–384.
- [14] J. Li, *Hilbert's 16th problem and bifurcation of Planar polynomial vector fields*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2003, 13, 47–106.
- [15] J. Llibre, R. Ramírez and N. Sadovskaia, *On the 16th Hilbert problem for limit cycles on nonsingular algebraic curves*, Journal of Differential Equations, 2011, 250(2), 983–999.
- [16] J. Li and Y. Liu, *New results on the study of Z_q -equivariant planar polynomial vector fields*, Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems, 2010, 9, 167–219.

-
- [17] Y. Liu, *Theory of center-focus for a class of higher-degree critical points and infinite points*, Science in China (Series A), 2001, 44, 37–48.
- [18] Y. Liu and J. Li, *Theory of values of singular point in complex autonomous differential system*, Science in China (Series A), 1990, 33, 10–24.
- [19] Y. Liu, J. Li and W. Huang, *Singular point values, center problem and bifurcations of limit cycles of two dimensional differential autonomous systems*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2008.
- [20] Y. Liu and J. Li, *On third-order nilpotent critical points: integral factor method*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2011, 21(5), 497–504.
- [21] Y. Liu and J. Li, *Bifurcations of limit cycles created by a multiple nilpotent critical point of planar dynamical systems*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2011, 21(2), 293–309.
- [22] F. Li and M. Wang, *Bifurcation of limit cycles in a quintic system with ten parameters*, Nonlinear Dynamics, 2013, 71, 213–222.
- [23] F. Li, Y. Liu and Y. Wu, *Center conditions and bifurcation of limit cycles at three-order nilpotent critical point in a seventh degree Lyapunov system*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2011, 16, 2598–2608.
- [24] X. Pan, *Bifurcation of limit cycles for a class of cubic polynomial system having a nilpotent singular point*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2011, 218, 1161–1165.
- [25] S. Shi, *A concrete example of the existence of four limit cycles for quadratic systems*, Science in China, Ser A, 1980, 23, 16–21.
- [26] Y. Wu, F. Li and H. Chen, *Center conditions and bifurcation of limit cycles at three-order nilpotent critical point in a cubic Lyapunov system*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2012, 17, 292–304.
- [27] L. Yirong and L. Feng, *Double bifurcation of nilpotent focus*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 2015, 25, 1550036.
- [28] P. Yu and M. Han, *Small limit cycles bifurcation from fine focus points in cubic order z_2 -equivariant vector fields*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2005, 24, 329–348.
- [29] W. Zhang and H. Hu, *New Development of Theory and Applications on Non-linear Dynamics*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009.