BIFURCATION OF LIMIT CYCLES IN SMALL PERTURBATIONS OF A CLASS OF HYPER-ELLIPTIC HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS OF DEGREE 5 WITH A CUSP

Ali Atabaigi^a and Hamid R. Z. Zangeneh^{a,\dagger}

Abstract This paper deals with small perturbations of a class of hyperelliptic Hamiltonian system, which is a Liénard system of the form $\dot{x} = y$, $\dot{y} = Q_1(x) + \varepsilon y Q_2(x)$ with Q_1 and Q_2 polynomials of degree 4 and 3, respectively. It is shown that this system can undergo degenerated Hopf bifurcation and Poincaré bifurcation, which emerge at most three limit cycles for ε sufficiently small.

Keywords Hyper-elliptic Hamiltonian system, Abelian integrals, Hilbert's 16th problem, Limit cycles.

MSC(2000) 34C07, 34C08, 37G15, 34M50.

1. Introduction

Consider a planar Hamiltonian system of the form

$$\dot{x} = y, \qquad \dot{y} = p(x), \tag{1}$$

with the Hamiltonian function

$$H(x,y) = \frac{y^2}{2} + P_m(x),$$
 $P_m(x) = -\int_0^x p(s)ds,$

where $P_m(x)$ is a real polynomial in x of degree m. If the level set $\{H = h\}$ contains ovals and all critical points are real, then the level sets are elliptic for m = 3, 4 and hyper-elliptic for $m \ge 5$. In the progress to solve Hilbert's 16th problem, in recent years many studies have been devoted to the limit cycles bifurcation for elliptic Hamiltonian systems. To the best of our knowledge most studies in this direction concern the elliptic case. For instance, in a series of papers Dumortier and Li made a complete study on the limit cycles bifurcation for elliptic Hamiltonian systems (see [1, 2, 3]).

In this paper, we study a small perturbation of Hamiltonian vector field with a hyper-elliptic Hamiltonian of degree five. The topological classification of hyperelliptic Hamiltonian systems of degree five was given first by Gavrilov and Iliev in [5]. There are ten topologically different phase portraits for the hyper-elliptic

Email addresses:

aelmi@math.iut.ac.ir(A. Atabaigi), hamidz@math.iut.ac.ir(H.R.Z. Zangeneh)

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Is-

fahan, Iran, 84156-83111

[†]HZ thanks Isfahan University of Technology for support.

Hamiltonian system. Wang and Xiao in [11] considered one of these cases and made a complete study on small perturbations of Hamiltonian vector field with a hyper-elliptic Hamiltonian having a nilpotent saddle. They showed that this system can undergo degenerated Hopf bifurcation and Poincaré bifurcation, which emerges at most three limit cycles in the plane. Furthermore they showed that the limit cycles can encompass only an equilibrium inside, i.e. the configuration (3,0) of limit cycles can appear for some values of parameters. Recently, Yang and Han in [13] studied systems of these classes with a cuspidal loop and a homoclinic loop and they obtained new results on the lower bound of the maximal number of limit cycles for these systems.

Here we choose another class in [5] to study the number of limit cycles under a small perturbation.

Consider the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = y, \qquad \dot{y} = x(x+1)^2(x-\frac{2}{3}),$$
(H₀)

with Hamiltonian function

$$H(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{1}{9}x^3 - \frac{1}{3}x^4 - \frac{1}{5}x^5,$$
(2)

which has a cusp point C(-1,0), a non-degenerate center O(0,0), a hyperbolic saddle S(2/3,0) and a heteroclinic loop $\gamma_{\frac{4}{45}}$ (see Fig. 1). Inside $\gamma_{\frac{4}{45}}$, all orbits $\{\gamma_h\}$ are closed,

$$\gamma_h: \{(x,y) \mid H(x,y) = h, h \in (0, \frac{4}{45})\}.$$

By Xiao [12] in (1) one can assume

$$p(x) = -x(x-1)(x-\alpha)(x-\beta).$$

Hence according to the classification in [12], the system (H_0) is the case corresponds to $\alpha = \frac{2}{5}$ and $\beta = 1$ (up to a linear transformation).

We intend to study the following Liénard system which is a perturbation of (H_0) :

$$\dot{x} = y,$$

 $\dot{y} = x(x+1)^2(x-\frac{2}{3}) + \varepsilon(a+bx+cx^2+x^3)y.$ (H_{\varepsilon})

Then associated to the given perturbation there exists the so-called first order Melnikov function or the Abelian integral

$$I(h) = \oint_{\gamma_h} (a + bx + cx^2 + x^3)ydx = aI_0(h) + bI_1(h) + cI_2(h) + I_3(h),$$
(3)

where $I_k(h) = \oint_{\gamma_h} x^k y dx$, and γ_h is oriented clockwise. Here $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and a, b and c are real bounded parameters.

A limit cycle is an isolated periodic orbit in the set of periodic orbits. The Melnikov function I(h) is a suitable tool for studying limit cycles of system (H_{ε}) . We recall that a limit cycle of system (H_{ε}) corresponds to an isolated zero of the Melnikov function I(h). Our main result is the following:



Figure 1. Level curves of Hamiltonian function in (2). For all $h \in (0, 4/45)$, γ_h are periodic orbit and $\gamma_{4/45}$ is a heteroclinic orbit.

Main Theorem. System (H_{ε}) can undergo degenerated Hopf bifurcation and Poincaré bifurcation, which emerge at most three limit cycles for ε sufficiently small. Moreover there are values of parameters (a, b, c) for which system (H_{ε}) can have three limit cycles.

We split our main theorem into three theorems and prove them in the sequel as follows: In section 2, we consider the local stability of the equilibrium solution of system (H_{ε}) , and we prove that system (H_{ε}) can undergo degenerated Hopf bifurcation which emerges at most three limit cycles in any compact region inside the heteroclinic loop $\gamma_{\frac{4}{45}}$ of Hamiltonian system (H_0) . In Section 3, we show that Abelian integrals I(h) of system (H_{ε}) has the Chebyshev property, i.e. the least upper bound of number (multiplicity taken into account) of zeros of I(h) is three. This implies that system (H_{ε}) can undergo Poincaré bifurcation which emerges at most three limit cycles from this period annulus if I(h) is not identically zero.

In section 4, we study the asymptotic expansions of the Abelian integrals I(h) at the center and the heteroclinic loop. By the asymptotic expansions of Abelian integrals I(h) at the end points of open interval (0, 4/45), we show that there exist parameter values such that I(h) has three isolated zeros.

2. Local stability analysis and Hopf bifurcation

In this section we will consider the local stability of equilibrium solutions of system (H_{ε}) , and discuss the number of small limit cycles. We show that the system (H_{ε}) can undergo degenerated Hopf bifurcation which emerges at most three limit cycles near equilibrium O(0,0).

Clearly, system (H_{ε}) always has three equilibria C(-1,0), O(0,0) and S(2/3,0) for each value of parameters (a, b, c). In the following lemma we give a detailed analysis of all possible dynamics of these equilibria.

Lemma 2.1. Consider system (H_{ε}) . Suppose $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Then equilibrium S(2/3,0) is a hyperbolic saddle, equilibrium O(0,0) is a focus and C(-1,0) is a saddle-node or a cusp. More precisely we have:

(S) for all parameters (a, b, c) the equilibrium S(2/3, 0) is a hyperbolic saddle;

- (O_i) if $a \neq 0$ and $|\varepsilon a| < 1$, then O(0,0) is a hyperbolic focus. And it is stable (unstable) if a < 0 (a > 0);
- (O_{ii}) if a = 0 and $2c b \neq 0$, then O(0,0) is a weak focus with order one. And it is stable (unstable) if 2c b < 0 (2c b > 0);
- (O_{iii}) if a = 0, 2c b = 0 and $c \neq -5/2$, then O(0,0) is a weak focus with order two. It is stable (unstable) if 2c + 5 > 0 (2c + 5 < 0);
- (O_{iv}) if a = 0, 2c b = 0 and c = -5/2, then O(0,0) is a stable weak focus with order three;
- (C_i) if $c b + a 1 \neq 0$, then C(-1, 0) is a saddle-node;
- (C_{ii}) if c b + a 1 = 0, then C(-1, 0) is a cusp.

In order to prove the above lemma the following lemmas will appear to be useful (see [7, 9])

Lemma 2.2. Consider the general Liénard-type system

$$\dot{x} = y - F(x), \qquad \dot{y} = -g(x).$$
 (4)

Suppose that F(x) and g(x) are smooth functions in a neighborhood of the origin, and that

$$g(0) = F(0) = F'(0) = 0$$
, and $g'(0) > 0$.

Let $G(x) = \int_0^x g(s)ds$. Let $\alpha(x) = -x + O(x^2)$ be such that $G(\alpha(x)) \equiv G(x)$ and $F(\alpha(x)) - F(x) = \sum_{i\geq 1} B_i x^i$. Then the equilibrium (0,0) of (4) is a multiple focus of multiplicity k if $B_j = 0, j = 1, 2, ..., 2k$, and $B_{2k+1} \neq 0$. Furthermore, it is locally stable (unstable) if $B_{2k+1} < 0$ ($B_{2k+1} > 0$, respectively).

Lemma 2.3. Consider the Liénard system

$$\dot{x} = y, \qquad \dot{y} = -g(x) - f(x)y,$$
(5)

where f(x), g(x) are continuously differentiable functions on the open interval (α, β) . Suppose that

(i) there exists $x_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $(x - x_0)g(x) > 0$ for $x \neq x_0$,

(ii) define $F(x) = \int_{x_0}^x f(s) ds$ and $G(x) = \int_{x_0}^x g(s) ds$; then the system of equations

$$F(u) = F(x), \quad G(u) = G(x),$$

has no solution (u, x) with $\alpha < u < x_0 < x < \beta$. Then system (5) has no closed orbits in the strip $\alpha < x < \beta$.

Now we are ready to prove lemma 2.1.

Proof of lemma 2.1. The statements (S) and (O_i) can be proved by a straightforward calculation of the eigenvalues of system (H_{ε}) at S(2/3, 0) and O(0, 0), respectively.

To prove the statements $(O_{ii}) - (O_{iv})$, we shall apply Lemma 2.2. First, using the translations X = x, $Y = y - \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}bx^2 + \frac{1}{3}cx^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^4)$, we transform system (H_{ε}) to the following Liénard system

$$\dot{X} = Y - F(X), \quad \dot{Y} = -g(X),$$

where $F(X) = -\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}bX^2 + \frac{1}{3}cX^3 + \frac{1}{4}X^4)$, $g(X) = -X(X+1)^2(X-\frac{2}{3})$. For convenience, we still use x, y instead of X, Y, respectively. It is clear that F(x), and g(x) are C^{∞} smooth functions and g(0) = F(0) = F'(0) = 0 and g'(0) > 0. Let $G(x) = \int_0^x g(s)ds$. A straightforward computation can verify that there exists a C^{∞} smooth function $\alpha(x) = -x - \frac{1}{3}x^2 - \frac{1}{9}x^3 - \frac{19}{135}x^4 + O(x^5)$, such that $G(\alpha(x)) \equiv$ G(x). Here $O(x^k)$ stands for terms of higher order than x^{k-1} . Performing a Taylor expansion of function $F(\alpha(x)) - F(x)$ at x = 0, we obtain

$$\begin{split} F(\alpha(x)) &- F(x) \\ = & \varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(2 \, c - \, b \right) x^3 + \frac{1}{6} \left(2 \, c - \, b \right) x^4 + \frac{1}{45} \left(10 \, c - 15 - 8 \, b \right) x^5 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{810} \left(184 \, c - 50 - 137 \, b \right) x^6 + \frac{1}{405} \left(-111 + 95 \, c - 22 \, b \right) x^7 + O(x^8) \right]. \end{split}$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.2 we can obtain statements $(O_{ii}) - (O_{iv})$. In the following we study the equilibrium C(-1,0). Moving C(-1,0) to the origin, the system (H_{ε}) becomes

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = \mu y + h(x, y), \tag{6}$$

where $\mu = \varepsilon(c - b + a - 1)$, and

$$h(x,y) = \frac{5}{3}x^2 - \frac{8}{3}x^3 + x^4 + \epsilon \left(\left(-2c + b + 3 \right)x + \left(c - 3 \right)x^2 + x^3 \right)y.$$

If $c - b + a - 1 \neq 0$, then the eigenvalues of system (6) at (0,0) are zero and $\mu \neq 0$. Therefore, (0,0) is a degenerate equilibrium for (6). In order to determine the local stability of (0,0) we let

$$X = x - \frac{1}{\mu}y, \quad Y = y, \quad \tau = \mu t.$$

Using this transformation system (6) becomes

$$\frac{dX}{d\tau} = p_2(X, Y), \qquad \frac{dY}{d\tau} = Y + q_2(X, Y), \tag{7}$$

where

$$p_2(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{\mu^2}h(X + \frac{1}{\mu}Y,Y), \qquad q_2(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\mu}h(X + \frac{1}{\mu}Y,Y).$$

By implicit function theorem, we know that, there exists a smooth function $Y = \varphi(X)$ and a small positive number δ such that $\varphi(X) + q_2(X, \varphi(X)) = 0$ for $|X| < \delta$, where

$$\varphi(X) = -\frac{5}{3\mu}X^2 + O(X^3).$$

Therefore, $p_2(X, \varphi(X)) = -\frac{5}{3\mu^2}X^2 + O(X^3)$. According to theorem 3.5 in [4], we obtain that the equilibrium (0, 0) is a saddle-node. This implies the statement (C_i) . If c-b+a-1=0, then the eigenvalues of system (6) are two zeros and the linearized matrix is not zero matrix. Hence, in this case the equilibrium (0, 0) is nilpotent.

From theorem 3.5 in [4], we obtain that (0,0) is a cusp for system (6). This implies statement (C_{ii}) .

From lemma 2.1 and Hopf bifurcation theorem, we can see that there are three surfaces that when the parameters a, b and c pass through them the equilibrium O(0,0) can undergo a series of Hopf bifurcations for any given ε with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. In fact, the Hopf bifurcation surface of codimension one is given by

$$H_1 = \{ (a, b, c, \varepsilon) : a = 0, 2c - b \neq 0, 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \}.$$

And in the closure of H_1 there is a curve

$$H_2 = \{ (a, b, c, \varepsilon) : a = 0, 2c - b = 0, c \neq -\frac{5}{2}, 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \},\$$

which is a degenerate Hopf bifurcation curve of codimension two. In the closure of this curve, there is point

$$H_3 = \{(a, b, c, \varepsilon): a = 0, b = -5, c = -\frac{5}{2}, 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1\},\$$

which is a degenerate Hopf point of codimension three. Moreover, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is given. Then the system (H_{ε}) can undergoe a series of Hopf bifurcations near equilibrium O(0,0) for parameters a, b and c near the bifurcation point (a, b, c) = (0, -5, -5/2). More precisely,

- (i) a unique stable limit cycle bifurcates from equilibrium O(0,0) as a = 0, b = 2cand c decreases from -5/2;
- (ii) a unique unstable limit cycles bifurcates from equilibrium O(0,0) as a = 0, c < -5/2 and b increases from -5;
- (iii) a unique stable limit cycle bifurcates from equilibrium O(0,0) as c < -5/2, b > -5 and a increases from zero.

Therefore, as a > 0, b > -5 and c < -5/2 system (H_{ε}) has three limit cycles surrounding equilibrium O(0,0), in which two limit cycles are stable and the other is unstable.

Theorem 2.4 implies that the maximum number of small amplitude limit cycles which bifurcate from equilibrium O(0,0) of system (H_{ε}) is three. Next we deduce some results concerning the large limit cycles of system (H_{ε}) . For this we state some preliminaries and related definition from [6] about concepts of resultant of two polynomials and Sturm's Theorem.

Given two polynomials $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ say

$$p(x,y) = a_0 x^m + \dots + a_m, \text{ with } a_0 \neq 0$$

$$q(x,y) = b_0 x^n + \dots + b_n, \text{ with } b_0 \neq 0$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, the resultant of p and q with respect to x denoted by Res(p, q, x)is determinant of a $(m + n) \times (m + n)$ matrix defined in terms of coefficients of pand q. One of the basic properties of resultant is that Res(p, q, x) vanishes at any common solution of p(x, y) = q(x, y) = 0 (See appendix 5.1 of [6] for details).

Sturm's sequence: A sequence $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ of continuous function on [a, b] is called a Sturm's sequence associated to a $f = f_0$ on [a, b] if the following is verified: 1. f_0 is differentiable on [a, b]. 2. f_m does not vanish on [a, b].

3. If $f(x_0) = 0$ with $x_0 \in [a, b]$ then $f_1(x_0)f'_0(x_0) > 0$.

4. If $f_i(x_0) = 0$ with $x_0 \in [a, b]$ then $f_{i+1}(x_0)f_{i-1}(x_0) < 0$.

Then we have the following theorem.

Sturm's Theorem. Let $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ be a Sturm's sequence for $p = f_0$ on [a, b] with $p(a)p(b) \neq 0$. Then the number of roots of p on (a, b) is equal to V(a) - V(b), where V(c) is the number of changes of sign in the sequence $\{f_0(c), f_1(c), \ldots, f_m(c)\}$. Now, we state our result as follows.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Then

- (i) System (H_{ε}) has no closed orbit surrounding equilibrium E(2/3, 0).
- (ii) System (H_{ε}) has no closed orbit in the strip -1 < x < 2/3 if it has a weak focus of order three.

Proof. We prove the part (i) by contradiction. Suppose that system (H_{ε}) has a closed orbit γ surrounding E(2/3, 0). Then γ crosses line x = 2/3 and positive x-axis respectively at $P(2/3, y_p)$, $Q(2/3, y_q)$ and $R(x_r, 0)$, where $y_q < 0 < y_p$ and $x_r > 2/3$. Hence, the vector field of system (H_{ε}) at $P(2/3, y_p)$ is $(y_p, \varepsilon(a + (2/3)b + (4/9)c + 8/27)y_p)$, and vector field of system (H_{ε}) at $R(x_r, 0)$ is $(0, x_r(x_r + 1)^2(x_r - 2/3))$. Since the orientation of vector field on γ at R is counterclockwise while the orientation of vector field on γ at P is clockwise. This is a contradiction. Thus part (i) is proved.

Next we prove part (*ii*) by applying Lemma 2.3. From Lemma 2.1 we know that system (H_{ε}) has a weak focus of order three when a = 0, b = -5 and c = -5/2. In (H_{ε}) we set a = 0, b = -5 and c = -5/2, and transfer the system to the following system

$$\dot{x} = y - F_1(x), \quad \dot{y} = -g_1(x),$$
(8)

where $F_1(x) = -\varepsilon \left(-\frac{5}{2}x^2 - \frac{5}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^4\right)$, $g_1(x) = -x(x+1)^2(x-\frac{2}{3})$ and $-1 < x < \frac{2}{3}$. Now we investigate if system (8) has a closed orbit for -1 < x < 2/3. It is clear that $xg_1(x) > 0$ for -1 < x < 2/3 and $x \neq 0$. Let

$$G_1(x) = \int_0^x g_1(s) ds = \frac{1}{3} x^2 + \frac{1}{9} x^3 - \frac{1}{3} x^4 - \frac{1}{5} x^5.$$

Now, we consider if the equations

$$F_1(u) = F_1(x), \qquad G_1(u) = G_1(x),$$
(9)

have a solution (u, x) with -1 < u < 0 and 0 < x < 2/3. By a straightforward computation, the equations (9) are equivalent to

$$3 u^{3} - 10 u^{2} + 3 x u^{2} - 30 u - 10 x u + 3 x^{2} u - 30 x - 10 x^{2} + 3 x^{3} = 0,$$

$$9 u^{4} + 15 u^{3} + 9 x u^{3} - 5 u^{2} + 15 x u^{2} + 9 x^{2} u^{2} - 15 u - 5 x u + 15 x^{2} u + 9 u x^{3}$$

$$-15 x - 5 x^{2} + 15 x^{3} + 9 x^{4} = 0.$$
 (10)

We compute the resultant of (10) with respect to u and obtain $R(x) = 729 x^6 R_1(x)$, where

$$R_1(x) = -7425 - 4950 x + 13375 x^2 + 13980 x^3 + 2385 x^4 - 810 x^5 + 81 x^6.$$

We now calculate the Sturm's sequence of polynomial $R_1(x)$ and the number of sign reversal of them in the interval (0, 2/3) by Maple. We obtain that the number of sign reversal is zero. By Sturm's Theorem we have that $R_1(x) < 0$ for 0 < x < 2/3. Therefore equations (9) have no solution (u, x) with -1 < u < 0 and 0 < x < 2/3. Now, according to Lemma 2.3 we deduce that system (8) does not have a closed orbit for -1 < x < 2/3. This implies part (ii). This ends the proof of Lemma.

3. Bifurcation of limit cycles from the period annulus

In this section we study the maximum number of limit cycles which bifurcate from the period annulus of system (H_0) for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. We use an algebraic criterion developed in [6] to study the related Abelian integral I(h) of system (H_{ε}) . As a matter of fact, we will show that the base functions $\{I_0(h), I_1(h), I_2(h), I_3(h)\}$ in the Abelian integral I(h) form a Chebeyshev system. Hence, the Abelian integral I(h) of system (H_{ε}) has the Chebeyshev property, i.e. the number (multiplicity taken into account) of isolated zeros of I(h) in the open interval (0, 4/45) is at most three. Also, using the asymptotic expansions of Abelian integrals I(h) near the end points of open interval (0, 4/45), we obtain that by Poincaré bifurcation, if I(h) is not identically zero, the number of isolated zeros of I(h) in the open interval (0, 4/45) is at least three. Therefore, the maximum number of limit cycles of system (H_{ε}) bifurcating from the period annulus is three. Our main result in this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Consider the system (H_{ε}) and the related Abelian integral (3). Then, the collection $\{I_0(h), I_1(h), I_2(h), I_3(h)\}$ is an extended complete Chebeyshev system on the interval (0, 4/45). Therefore, if the Abelian integral I(h) is not identically zero then it has at most three zeros, counting multiplicities, in any compact subinterval of (0, 4/45) and for all values of parameters (a, b, c). And the number of limit cycles of limit cycles bifurcating from the period annulus is at most three.

In order to prove theorem 3.1, first we recall some preliminaries, the algebraic criterion and related definition. The reader is referred to [6] for details about the criterion.

Definition 3.2. Let $f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ be analytic functions on an open interval J of \mathbb{R} .

(i) $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}\}$ is said to be Chebeyshev system provided that any non-trivial linear combination

$$k_0 f_0(x) + k_1 f_1(x) + \dots + k_{n-1} f_{n-1}(x),$$

has at most n-1 isolated zeros on J.

- (*ii*) $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}\}$ is said to be complete Chebeyshev system provided that $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1}\}$ is a Chebeyshev system on J for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- (*iii*) $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}\}$ is said to be extended complete Chebeyshev system provided that any nontrivial linear combination

$$k_0 f_0(x) + k_1 f_1(x) + \dots + k_{i-1} f_{i-1}(x),$$

has at most i-1 isolated zeros on J counting multiplicity of zeros for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

(iv) The continuous Wronskian of $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k-1}\}$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$W[f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k-1}](x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_0(x) & f_1(x) & \dots & f_{k-1}(x) \\ f'_0(x) & f'_1(x) & \dots & f'_{k-1}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_0^{(k-1)}(x) & f_1^{(k-1)}(x) & \dots & f_{k-1}^{(k-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix},$$

where f'(x) is the derivative of one order of f(x) and $f^{(i)}(x)$ is the *i*th derivative of f(x), $i \ge 2$.

Consider a Hamiltonian function with the following special form

$$H(x,y) = A(x) + B(x)y^{2m},$$

which is analytic in some open subset of the plane and has a local minimum at the origin. We fix that H(0,0) = 0, then there exist a punctured neighborhood \mathcal{P} of the origin foliated by the ovals or period annulus $\gamma_h \subset \{H(x,y) = h\}$. The period annulus can be parameterized by the energy levels $h \in (0, h_0)$ for some $h_0 \in (0, +\infty]$. In the sequel, we denote the projection of \mathcal{P} on the x-axis by (x_ℓ, x_r) . It is easy to verify that, under the above assumptions, xA'(x) > 0 for any $x \in (x_\ell, x_r) \setminus \{0\}$ and B(0) > 0. Thus there exists a smooth invertible function z(x) with $x_\ell < z(x) < 0$ such that A(x) = A(z(x)) for $0 < x < x_r$. Theorem B in [6] is as follows. **Theorem B.** Let us consider the Abelian integrals

$$I_i(h) = \int_{\gamma_h} f_i(x) y^{2s-1} dx, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where, for each $h \in (0, h_0)$, γ_h is the oval surrounding the origin inside the level curve $\{A(x) + B(x)y^{2m} = h\}$. We define

$$l_i(x) := \frac{f_i(x)}{A'(x)(B(x))^{\frac{2s-1}{2m}}} - \frac{f_i(z(x))}{A'(z(x))(B(z(x)))^{\frac{2s-1}{2m}}}$$

Then $\{I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}\}$ is an extended complete Chebeyshev system on $(0, h_0)$ if $\{l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1}\}$ is a complete Chebeyshev system on $(0, x_r)$ and s > m(n-2). The efficiency of Theorem B comes from the fact that the requirement of some functions to be complete Chebeyshev system can be verified by computing Wronskians. The following well known result will clarify this fact (see [6]).

Lemma 3.3. Let $f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ be analytic functions on an open interval J of \mathbb{R} . Then, $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}\}$ is an extended Chebeyshev system if $W[f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k-1}](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$ and for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

Remark 3.4. Indeed, Theorem B and Lemma 3.3 simplify the problem of showing that a given collection of Abelian integrals $I_i(h)$ has the Chebeyshev property, and enable us to formulate the problem in a purely algebraic way by checking the nonexistence of zero points of Wronskians of some functions (here the functions l_i) in an open interval.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We shall apply Theorem B and lemma 3.3 to assert that the Abelian integral (3) has Chebeyshev property in the interval (0, 4/45). Consider the Abelian integral (3) with Hamiltonian function (2), which is a linear

combination of $\{I_0(h), I_1(h), I_2(h), I_3(h)\}$, where $I_i(h) = \oint_{\gamma_h} x^i y dx$, i = 0, 1, 3, 4and γ_h is the oval

$$\{(x,y): A(x) + B(x)y^2 = h, \ 0 < h < \frac{4}{45}\},$$

with $A(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{1}{9}x^3 - \frac{1}{3}x^4 - \frac{1}{5}x^5$, and $B(x) = \frac{1}{2}$. The projection of the period annulus on the x-axis is (-1, 2/3). Note that xA'(x) > 0 for all $x \in (-1, 2/3) \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, there exists an invertible function z(x) with -1 < z(x) < 0 such that A(x) = A(z(x)) as 0 < x < 2/3. Our aim is to prove that the collection $\{I_0(h), I_1(h), I_2(h), I_3(h)\}$ is an extended complete Chebeyshev system. To this end we apply Theorem B and Lemma 3.3, but we note that in this case m = 1, n = 4and s = 1, so that the hypothesis s > m(n-2) is not fulfilled. However it is possible to overcome this problem using Lemma 4.1 in [6], and obtain new Abelian integrals for which the corresponding s is large enough to verify the inequality. Here we have to promote the power s to three such that the condition s > n - 2 hold. On the oval γ_h we have

$$I_{i}(h) = \frac{1}{h} \oint_{\gamma_{h}} \left(A(x) + \frac{y^{2}}{2} \right) x^{i} y dx$$

= $\frac{1}{2h} \left(\oint_{\gamma_{h}} 2x^{i} A(x) y dx + \oint_{\gamma_{h}} x^{i} y^{3} dx \right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$ (11)

Now we apply Lemma 4.1 in [6] with k = 3 and $F(x) = 2x^i A(x)$ to the first integral above to get

$$\oint_{\gamma_h} 2x^i A(x)y dx = \oint_{\gamma_h} G_i(x)y^3 dx,$$

where $G_i(x) = \frac{d}{3dx} \left(\frac{2x^i A(x)}{A'(x)} \right) = \frac{2g_i}{45(x+1)^3 (3x-2)^2}$, and

$$g_i = 30 x^i + 54 x^{i+4} i - 18 x^{i+3} i - 80 x^{i+2} i - 5 x^{i+1} i + 27 x^{i+5} + 45 x^{i+4} + 3 x^{i+3} - 15 x^{i+2} - 10 x^{i+1} + 27 x^{i+5} i + 30 x^i i$$

By (11) we obtain

$$I_{i}(h) = \frac{1}{2h} \oint_{\gamma_{h}} \left(x^{i} + G_{i}(x)\right) y^{3} dx = \frac{1}{4h^{2}} \oint_{\gamma_{h}} (2A(x) + y^{2})(x^{i} + G_{i}(x))y^{3} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{4h^{2}} \left(\oint_{\gamma_{h}} 2(x^{i} + G_{i}(x))A(x)y^{3} dx + \oint_{\gamma_{h}} (x^{i} + G_{i}(x))y^{5} dx\right).$$
(12)

Again we apply Lemma 4.1 in [6] with k = 5 and $F(x) = 2(x^i + G_i(x))A(x)$ to the first integral above to get

$$\oint_{\gamma_h} 2(x^i + G_i(x))A(x)y^3 dx = \oint_{\gamma_h} \tilde{G}_i(x)y^5 dx,$$

where
$$\tilde{G}_{i}(x) = \frac{d}{5dx} \left(\frac{2(x^{i}+G_{i}(x))A(x)}{A'(x)} \right) = \frac{2\tilde{g}_{i}}{3375(x+1)^{6}(3x-2)^{4}}$$
, and
 $\tilde{g}_{i} = 12393 x^{10+i} + 27945 x^{8+i} + 13851 x^{10+i}i + 41310 x^{9+i} + 5832 x^{9+i}i^{2} + 7920 x^{i+5}i^{2} + 13230 x^{i+5} - 12528 x^{7+i}i^{2} - 560 x^{i+3}i^{2} - 40608 x^{7+i} + 19640 x^{i+4}i^{2} - 100467 x^{i+6}i - 53091 x^{i+6} - 600 x^{i+1}i^{2} - 9550 x^{i+2}i^{2} - 17172 x^{i+6}i^{2} - 81675 x^{7+i}i + 1458 x^{10+i}i^{2} + 1800 x^{i}i^{2} + 31347 x^{8+i}i + 3888 x^{8+i}i^{2} + 3940 x^{i+3} - 12750 x^{i+2} - 3600 x^{i+1} + 200 x^{i} + 40575 x^{i+4} - 3505 x^{i+3}i - 41900 x^{i+2}i - 3000 x^{i+1}i + 9000 x^{i}i + 91465 x^{i+4}i + 41175 x^{i+5}i + 50301 x^{9+i}i$

From (12) we obtain

$$4h^2 I_i(h) = \oint_{\gamma_h} f_i(x) y^5 dx \equiv \tilde{I}_i(h), \qquad (13)$$

where $f_i(x) = x^i + G_i(x) + \tilde{G}_i(x)$. It is clear that $\{\tilde{I}_0, \tilde{I}_1, \tilde{I}_2, \tilde{I}_3\}$ is an extended complete Chebeyshev system on (0, 4/45) if and only if $\{I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3\}$ is as well. We can now apply Theorem B because s = 3 and the condition s > m(n-2) holds. Thus, setting

$$l_i(x) = \left(\frac{f_i}{A'}\right)(x) - \left(\frac{f_i}{A'}\right)(z(x)),$$

we have to check that $\{l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3\}$ is complete Chebeyshev system on $x \in (0, 2/3)$. More precisely we will show that $\{l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3\}$ is an extended complete Chebeyshev system. Moreover due to

$$A(x) - A(z) = -\frac{1}{45} (x - z) \left(9 x^4 + 15 x^3 + 9 z x^3 - 5 x^2 + 15 z x^2 + 9 z^2 x^2 - 15 x - 5 z x + 15 z^2 x + 9 x z^3 - 15 z - 5 z^2 + 15 z^3 + 9 z^4\right)$$

it turns out that z = z(x) is defined by means of

$$q(x,z) := \left(9x^4 + 15x^3 + 9zx^3 - 5x^2 + 15zx^2 + 9z^2x^2 - 15x - 5zx + 15z^2x + 9xz^3 - 15z - 5z^2 + 15z^3 + 9z^4\right) = 0.$$
(14)

In order to determine if the four Wronskians have zeros on (0, 2/3), we invoke the symbolic computations by Maple 12 to compute the resultant between two polynomials, and apply Sturm's Theorem to claim the nonexistence of zeros of a polynomial in an interval. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5.

- (i) $W[l_0](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3);$
- (*ii*) $W[l_0, l_1](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$;
- (*iii*) $W[l_0, l_1, l_2](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$;
- (iv) $W[l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$.

Proof. We now compute four Wronskians in four cases and check if they have zeros on (0, 2/3).

Case (i). Note that $W[l_0](x) = \left(\frac{f_i}{A'}\right)(x) - \left(\frac{f_i}{A'}\right)(z(x))$. Using symbolic computations in Maple, we have

$$W[l_0](x) = \frac{(x-z)W_0(x,z)}{1125 x z (x+1)^8 (3 x-2)^5 (z+1)^8 (3 z-2)^5},$$

where $W_0(x, z)$ is a polynomial in (x, z) with long expression. The resultant with respect to z between q(x, z) and $W_0(x, z)$ is $R_0(x) = (3x-2)^{19} (x+1)^{29} p_0(x)$, where $p_0(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 44 in x. By applying Sturm's Theorem we get that $p_0(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$. Thus, $W_0(x, z) = 0$ and q(x, z) = 0 have no common roots, and this fact implies that $W[l_0](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$. Case (ii). Using symbolic computations in Maple, we have

$$W[l_0, l_1](x) = \frac{(x-z)^3 W_1(x, z)}{z^2 x^2 (z+1)^{16} (3 z-2)^9 (x+1)^{16} (3 x-2)^9 W_{01}(x, z)},$$

where $W_{01}(x,z) = (9x^3 + 15x^2 + 18zx^2 - 5x + 30zx + 27z^2x - 15 - 10z + 45z^2 + 36z^3)$ and $W_1(x,z)$ is a polynomial with long expression in (x,z). The resultant with respect to z between $W_{01}(x,z)$ and q(x,z) does not have zero in (0,2/3). This implies that $W[l_0, l_1]$ is well defined in -1 < z < 0 < x < 2/3. The resultant with respect to z between q(x,z) and $W_1(x,z)$ is $R_1(x) = (3x-2)^{35}(x+1)^{57}p_1(x)$, where $p_1(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 92 in x. By applying Sturm's Theorem we get that $p_1(x)$ has unique root in the interval (0,2/3) at $x^* \approx 0.4955588118$. Substituting $x = x^*$ into q(x,z), we find that $q(x^*,z)$ has also a unique root in the interval (-1,0) at $z^* = -0.6078868472$. However $W_1(x^*,z^*) \approx 6.93493329 \times 10^8$. This, implies that $W[l_0, l_1](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$.

$$W[l_0, l_1, l_2](x) = \frac{(x-z)^6 W_2(x,z)}{x^3 z^3 (3z-2)^{12} (z+1)^{23} (3x-2)^{12} (x+1)^{23} W_{01}^3(x,z)}$$

where $W_2(x, z)$ is a polynomial with long expression in (x, z). The resultant with respect to z between q(x, z) and $W_2(x, z)$ is $R_2(x) = (3x-2)^{46} (x+1)^{80} p_2(x)$, where $p_2(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 142 in x. By applying Sturm's Theorem we get that $p_2(x)$ has unique root in the interval (0, 2/3) at $x^* \approx 0.6134326481$. Substituting $x = x^*$ into q(x, z), we find that $q(x^*, z)$ has also a unique root in the interval (-1, 0) at $z^* \approx -0.8251204190$. However $W_2(x^*, z^*) \approx -7.97078 \times 10^{21}$. This, implies that $W_2(x, z) = 0$ and q(x, z) = 0 have no common roots, and this fact implies that $W[l_0, l_1, l_2](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$.

Case (iv). Finally, we compute $W[l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3]$.

$$W[l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3](x) = \frac{(x-z)^{10} W_3(x,z)}{x^4 z^4 \left(3 \, z - 2\right)^{15} \left(z+1\right)^{30} \left(3 \, x-2\right)^{15} \left(x+1\right)^{30} W_{01}^6(x,z)}$$

where $W_3(x, z)$ is a polynomial with long expression in (x, z). The resultant with respect to z between q(x, z) and $W_3(x, z)$ is $R_3(x) = (3x - 2)^{62} (x + 1)^{108} p_3(x)$, where $p_3(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 190 in x. By applying Sturm's Theorem we get that $p_3(x)$ has two roots in the interval (0, 2/3) at $x_1^* \approx 0.4390252906$ and $x_2^* \approx 0.6258713150$. Substituting $x = x_1^*$ into q(x, z), we find that $q(x_1^*, z)$ has a unique root in the interval (-1,0) at $z_1^* = -0.5218476681$. However $W_3(x_1^*, z_1^*) \approx -1.842798602 \times 10^{30}$. On the other hand, substituting $x = x_2^*$ into q(x, z), we find that $q(x_2^*, z)$ has a unique root in the interval (-1,0) at $z_2^* = -0.8543165067$. Nevertheless, $W_3(x_2^*, z_2^*) \approx 4.866339667 \times 10^{36}$. This, implies that $W_3(x, z) = 0$ and q(x, z) = 0 have no common roots, and this fact implies that $W[l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3](x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (0, 2/3)$. We finish the proof of lemma.

This ends the proof of theorem 3.1.

4. Asymptotic expansion of Abelian integral I(h) at center and heteroclinic loop

In this section we study the asymptotic expansions of Abelian integrals I(h) at the end points h = 0 and h = 4/45, respectively. Using the two asymptotic expansions we show that there exist some parameter values such that the Abelian integral I(h) has three isolated zeros in (0, 4/45). In fact, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. There exist values of parameters (a, b, c) for which the system (H_{ε}) has three limit cycles, for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Proof. We use the asymptotic expansions of I(h) at the end points of (0, 4/45). To obtain the asymptotic expansion of Abelian integrals I(h) as $h \to 0^+$, we compute I(h) near the elementary equilibrium (0,0). We first apply the change of variables of the form $X = \sqrt{2}x/\sqrt{3}$, Y = y and a time scaling $T = \sqrt{2}t/\sqrt{3}$ to system (H_{ε}) and still denote X, Y, T by x, y, t respectively. Applying these change of variables, on the oval we get

$$\gamma_h : \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - \frac{9}{40}\sqrt{6}x^5 - \frac{3}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{6}x^3 = h.$$

About the expansion of I(h) near the elementary center (0,0) we have

$$I(h) = \sum_{j \ge 0} b_j h^{j+1}.$$
 (15)

Using the formulas of b_j , j = 0, 1, 2, 3 given by Han in [8], we have

$$b_{0} = \sqrt{6}a\pi, \quad b_{1} = \sqrt{6}\left(\frac{3}{4}c + \frac{41}{32}a - \frac{3}{8}b\right)\pi,$$

$$b_{2} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8}\left(-\frac{15}{2} + \frac{17017}{384}a - \frac{239}{16}b + \frac{215}{8}c\right)\pi,$$

$$b_{3} = \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{64}\left(-\frac{197701}{1280}b - \frac{7959}{80} + \frac{169813}{640}c + \frac{97936573}{230400}a\right)\pi.$$
(16)

If $b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$, then we obtain that (a, b, c) = (0, -5, -5/2). At this parameters point we obtain that $b_3 = \frac{189}{256}\sqrt{6\pi} \neq 0$. From [8], we know that system (H_{ε}) can have at least 3 limit cycles near the critical point (0, 0) as ε is very small. This matches with Hopf bifurcation values of system (H_{ε}) in Section 2.

On the other hand, we consider the asymptotic expansion of I(h) as $h \to \frac{4}{45}^{-}$. The expansion of the Abelian integral of a perturbed Hamiltonian system near a heteroclinic loop with a cusp and a saddle has been given by X. Sun et al. in [10]. According to Theorem 1.4 in [10] the expansion of Abelian integral (3) as $h \to \frac{4}{45}^{-1}$ is as follows.

$$I(h) = c_0 + B_{00}c_1\left(\frac{4}{45} - h\right) + c_2\left(\frac{4}{45} - h\right)\ln\left(\frac{4}{45} - h\right) + (c_3 + b_1c_1 + b_2c_2)\left(\frac{4}{45} - h\right) + O\left(\left(\frac{4}{45} - h\right)^{\frac{7}{6}}\right), \quad (17)$$

where $B_{00} > 0$, b_1 and b_2 are some constants. And the coefficients c_j can be computed as follows:

$$c_{0} = -\frac{200}{6567561} \sqrt{6} (11583 a - 858 b + 1508 c - 408),$$

$$c_{1} = -\frac{2}{5} \sqrt{2} (-5)^{2/3} 9^{1/3} (a + c - b - 1),$$

$$c_{2} = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{90} (12 c + 18 b + 8 + 27 a),$$

$$c_{3} = \frac{10}{27} \sqrt{6} (14 + 27 b), \text{ when } c_{1} = c_{2} = 0.$$

If $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$, then we obtain that $(a, b, c) = (-\frac{8}{117}, -\frac{74}{117}, \frac{17}{39})$. At this parameters point we obtain that $c_3 = -\frac{400}{351}\sqrt{6} \neq 0$.

From Theorem 1.5 in [10], we know that system (H_{ε}) can have at least 3 limit cycles near the heteroclinic loop as ε is very small. The proof is complete.

References

- F. Dumortier and C. Li, Perturbations from an elliptic Hamiltonian of degree four: (I) Saddle loop and two saddle cycle, J. Differential Equations, 176(2001), 114-157.
- [2] F. Dumortier and C. Li, Perturbations from an elliptic Hamiltonian of degree four: (II) Cuspidal loop, J. Differential Equations, 175(2001), 209-243.
- [3] F. Dumortier and C. Li, Perturbations from an elliptic Hamiltonian of degree four: (IV) Figure eight-loop, J. Differential Equations, 88(2003), 512-514.
- [4] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre and J. C. Artés, *Qualitative Theory of Planar Differ*ential Systems, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [5] L. Gavrilov, I.D. Iliev, Complete hyperelliptic integrals of the first kind and their non-oscillation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356(2004), 1185-1207.
- [6] M. Grau, F. Mañosas and J. Villadelprat, A Chebyshev criterion for Abelian integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 363(2011), 109-129.
- [7] M. Han, Liapunov constants and Hopf cyclicity of Liénard systems, Ann. Differential Equations, 15(1999), 113-126.
- [8] M. Han, J. Yang and P.Yu, Hopf bifurcations for near-Hamiltonian systems, Int. J. Bifur. Chaos, 19:12(2009), 4117-4130.
- [9] G.S. Rychkov, Some criteria for the presence and absence of limit cycles in a second order dynamical system, Sibirsk. Mat. Z., (1966), 1425-1431. [in Russian]

- [10] X. Sun, M. Han and J. Yang, Bifurcation of limit cycles from a heteroclinic loop with a cusp, Nonlinear Analysis, 74(2011), 2948-2965.
- [11] J. Wang and D. Xiao, On the number of limit cycles in small perturbations of a class of hyper-elliptic Hamiltonian systems with one nilpotent saddle, J. Differential Equations, 250(2011), 2227-2243.
- [12] D. Xiao, Bifurcations on a five-parameter family of planar vector field, J Dyn Differ Eqn, 20:4(2008), 961-980.
- [13] J. Yang and M. Han, Limit cycle bifurcations of some Liénard systems with a cuspidal loop and a homoclinic loop, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 44(2011), 269-289.