A parameter shift-splitting iterative method for complex symmetric linear systems *

Li-Tao Zhang a,b,c†

 ^aSchool of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University of Aeronautics, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450046, P. R. China
 ^bCollaborative Innovation Center for Aviation Economy Development of Henan Province, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450046, P. R. China
 ^cCollege of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan, 453007, P. R. China

Abstract

Recently, Chen and Ma [Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 344(2018): 691-700] constructed the generalized shift-splitting (GSS) preconditioner, and gave the corresponding theoretical analysis and numerical experiments. In this paper, based on the generalized shift-splitting (GSS) preconditioner, we generalize their algorithms and further study the parameter shift-splitting (PSS) preconditioner for complex symmetric linear systems. Moreover, by similar theoretical analysis, we obtain that the parameter shift-splitting iterative method is unconditionally convergent. In finally, one example is provided to confirm the effectiveness.

Key words: Complex symmetric linear systems, Parameter shift-splitting, Convergence, Preconditioner, Eigenvalue.

MSC: 65F10; 65F15; 65F50

1 Introduction

Consider the linear equations of the form

$$Au = b, (1)$$

^{*}This research of this author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11226337, 11501525), Scientific Research Team Plan of Zhengzhou University of Aeronautics (23ZHTD01003), Key Scientific Research Projects Plan in Henan Higher Education Institutions (24A170031), Henan Natural Science Foundation (222300420579), Henan science and technology research program (212102110206, 222102110404, 202102310942), Henan College Students' innovation training program (s202110485045) and college students' innovation training program (2021-70), Key projects of colleges and universities in Henan Province(22A880022).

[†]E-mail: litaozhang@163.com. Tel Numbers:+8615238682150.

where $u, b \in \mathcal{C}^n$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$ is a complex symmetric matrix, whose form is

$$A = W + iT, (2)$$

and $W, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are real symmetric matrices, with W being positive definite and T being positive semidefinite. Here and in the sequel we use $i = \sqrt{-1}$ to denote the imaginary unit. We assume $T \neq 0$, which implies that A is non-Hermitian. Such kind of linear systems arise in many problems in scientific computing and engineering applications. For more detailed descriptions, we refer to [2, 9, 16, 24] and the references therein.

The Hermitian and skew-Hermitian parts of the complex symmetric matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ are given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*) = W$$
 and $S = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*) = iT$

respectively, hence, $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is non-Hermitian, but positive definite matrix. Here A^* is used to denote the conjugate transpose of the matrix A. Based on the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting (HSS)

$$A = H + S$$

of the matrix $A \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$, Bai et al. [3] gave HSS iteration method, which is as follows:

The HSS Iteration Method [3]. Let $x^{(0)} \in C^n$ be arbitrary initial guess. For k = 0, 1, 2, ... until the sequence of iterates $\{x^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset C^n$ converges, compute the next iterate $x^{(k+1)}$ according to the following procedure:

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha I + W)x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} = (\alpha I - iT)x^{(k)} + b, \\ (\alpha I + iT)x^{(k+1)} = (\alpha I - W)x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} + b. \end{cases}$$
(3)

where α is a given positive constant and I is the identity matrix.

However, a potential difficulty with the HSS iteration method is the need to solve the shifted skew-Hermitian sub-system of linear equations at each iteration step, which is as difficult as that of the original problem; see [1,3,4,8,11,13-15,17,20,26-43] for more detailed descriptions about the HSS iteration method and its variants. Recently, by making use of the special structure of the coefficient matrix $A \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$, Bai et al. established the following modified HSS iteration (MHSS) method and a preconditioned MHSS (PMHSS) method for solving the complex symmetric linear system (1-2) in an analogous fashion to the HSS iteration scheme in [9] and [7], respectively. Concerning the convergence of the stationary MHSS iteration method and PMHSS iteration method, Bai et al. [7,9] analyzed the convergence. In 2013, Based on the ideas of [7] and [27], Li et al. presented a new approach named as the lopsided PMHSS (LPMHSS) iteration method to solve the complex symmetric linear system of linear equation (1-2). In 2015, Wu concerned with several variants of the HSS iterative method in [30]. In 2015, Cao et al. studied two variants of the PMHSS iterative method for a class of complex symmetric indefinite linear systems in [24]. In 2018, Chen and Ma constructed the generalized shift-splitting (GSS) preconditioner, and gave the corresponding theoretical analysis and numerical experiments in [23].

Let u = x + iy and b = p + iq where $x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then from [2,33] we know that the complex linear system (1) can be recast as the following two-by-two block real equivalent formulation

$$\mathcal{A}\begin{pmatrix} x\\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W & -T\\ T & W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p\\ q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

The system of linear equations (4) can be seen as a special case of the generalized saddle point problems [21].

To further generalize the GSS iteration method and accelerate its convergence rate, based on the generalized shift-splitting (GSS) preconditioner, we generalize their algorithms and further study the parameter shift-splitting (PSS) preconditioner for complex symmetric linear systems.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide the parameter shift-splitting (PSS) preconditioner for complex symmetric linear system (1-2). In Section 3, we establish the convergence of the parameter shift-splitting iteration method. Finally, in section 4, one example is provided to demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of PSS preconditioner.

2 The parameter shift-splitting preconditioner

In 2018, based on the iterative methods studied in [22,24,31], Chen and Ma [23] constructed the generalized shift-splitting of the matrix \mathcal{A} , which is as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + W & -T \\ T & \beta I + W \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I - W & T \\ -T & \beta I - W \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are two real constants and I is the identity matrix (with appropriate dimension). By this special splitting, the following generalized shift-splitting iterative method can be defined for solving the generalized saddle point problems (3):

Algorithm 1: The generalized shift-splitting iterative method [23] Given an initial guess u^0 , for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., until $\{u^k\}$ converges, compute

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + W & -T \\ T & \beta I + W \end{pmatrix} u^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I - W & T \\ -T & \beta I - W \end{pmatrix} u^k + \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are two given positive constants.

In this paper, to further generalize the GSS iteration method and accelerate its convergence rate, we propose the parameter shift-splitting iterative method, which is as follows:

Algorithm 2: The parameter shift-splitting iterative (PSS) method Given an initial guess u^0 , for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., until $\{u^k\}$ converges,

$$\frac{1}{2\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + \xi W & -\xi T \\ \xi T & \beta I + \xi W \end{pmatrix} u^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I - \xi W & \xi T \\ -\xi T & \beta I - \xi W \end{pmatrix} u^k + \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $\xi > 0$ are three given constants.

Remark 2.1. We may remove the previous factor $\frac{1}{2\xi}$ because of making no difference on the preconditioned system. For large, sparse or structure matrices, iterative methods are an attractive option. In particular, Krylov subspace methods apply preconditioner PPS techniques that involve orthogonal projections onto subspaces of the form

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}, b) \equiv \operatorname{span} \left\{ b, \mathcal{A}b, \mathcal{A}^2b, \dots, \mathcal{A}^{n-1}b, \dots \right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. Obviously, when $\xi = 1$, the parameter shift-splitting iterative (PSS) method reduces to the generalized shift-splitting iterative (GSS) method. So, PSS method is the extension of GSS method. When choosing appropriate parameter ξ , PSS method will have fast convergence speed.

By simple calculation, the iteration format of the two-sweep shift-splitting iteration is

$$u^{k+1} = \mathcal{T}u^k + 2\xi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + \xi W & -\xi T \\ \xi T & \beta I + \xi W \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

where

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + \xi W & -\xi T \\ \xi T & \beta I + \xi W \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I - \xi W & \xi T \\ -\xi T & \beta I - \xi W \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

Since the parameter ξ do not affect the splitting preconditioner, the corresponds to the two-sweep shift-splitting iteration (9) is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{PSS}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I + \xi W & -\xi T \\ \xi T & \beta I + \xi W \end{pmatrix}$$

which is called the two-sweep shift-splitting preconditioner for the generalized saddle point matrix \mathcal{A} .

Algorithm 3: For a given vector $r = [r_1^T, r_2^T]^T$, the vector $z = [z_1^T, z_2^T]^T$ can be computed similar to the analysis in [21] by the following steps:

Step 1: Solve $(\beta I + \xi W)\omega = r_2$ for ω ;

- Step 2: Compute $\omega_1 = r_1 + T\omega$;
- **Step 3:** Solve $(\alpha I + \xi W + T(\beta I + \xi W)^{-1})z_1 = \omega_1$ for z_1 ;
- **Step 4:** Solve $(\beta I + \xi W)\nu = Tz_1$ for ν ;

Step 5:
$$z_2 = \omega - \nu$$
.

Remark 2.3. Through similar analysis about Algorithm 2.1 in [23], the authors can find we need to solve a linear system with the coefficient matrix $\alpha I + \xi W + T(\beta I + \xi W)^{-1}T$ and two linear systems with the coefficient matrix $\beta I + \xi W$. Moreover, these linear systems are symmetric positive definite for $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $\xi > 0$. So, we use CG method, Cholesky or LU factorization to solve the sub-systems through selecting appropriate parameters.

3 Convergence of PSS method

In this section, we will study the convergence of the parameter shift-splitting iteration method, which is motivated by the corresponding results in [31]. Let $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ denote the spectral radius of the matrix \mathcal{T} . Then the two-sweep shift-splitting iteration converges if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{T}) < 1$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of \mathcal{T} and $[\phi^*, \psi^*]^T$ be the corresponding eigenvector. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha I - \xi W)\phi + \xi T\psi = \lambda(\alpha I + \xi W)\phi - \lambda\xi T\psi, \\ -\xi T\phi + (\beta I - \xi W)\psi = \lambda\xi T\phi + \lambda(\beta I + \xi W)\psi. \end{cases}$$
(10)

To study the convergence of the two-sweep shift-splitting iteration method, two lemmas are given.

Lemma 3.1. Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Let \mathcal{T} be defined as in (9) with $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $\xi > 0$. If λ is an eigenvalue of the iteration matrix \mathcal{T} , then $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. **Proof.** If $\lambda = 1$, then from Eq. (10), we can obtain

$$\xi W \phi - \xi T \psi = 0. \tag{11}$$

and

$$\xi T \phi + \xi W \psi = 0. \tag{12}$$

By similar proving process to Lemma 2.1 in [23], we can get $\lambda \neq 1$. Through similar proving, we can also get $\lambda \neq -1$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the iteration matrix \mathcal{T} (with $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \xi > 0$) and $[\phi^*, \psi^*]^T$ be the corresponding eigenvector with $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$. Then if $\psi = 0$, we have $|\lambda| < 1$.

Proof. If $\psi = 0$, then from (10) we get

$$(\alpha I + \xi W)^{-1} (\alpha I - \xi W) \phi = \lambda \phi.$$
(13)

Since W is symmetric positive definite, then by [13] we can obtain

$$|\lambda| \le \| (\alpha I + \xi W)^{-1} (\alpha I - \xi W) \|_2 < 1.$$
(14)

Theorem 3.3. Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Let $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ denote the spectral radius of the parameter shift-splitting iteration matrix \mathcal{T} . Then it holds that

$$\rho(\mathcal{T}) < 1, \forall \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \xi > 0.$$

$$(15)$$

i.e., the parameter shift-splitting iterative method converges to the unique solution of the generalized saddle point problems (4).

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the iteration matrix \mathcal{T} (with $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \xi > 0$) and $[\phi^*, \psi^*]^T$ be the corresponding eigenvector with $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$.

If $\psi = 0$, then from Lemma 3.2 we can obtain $|\lambda| < 1$.

If $\psi \neq 0$, without loss of generality let $||\psi||_2 = 1$. Multiplying both sides of the second equation in Eq. (10) by ψ^* yields

$$-\xi(T\psi)^*\phi + \beta - \xi\psi^*W\psi = \xi\lambda(T\psi)^*\phi + \lambda(\beta + \xi\psi^*W\psi).$$
(16)

If $T\psi = 0$, then Eq. (16) implies

$$|\lambda| = \left| \frac{\beta - \xi \psi^* W \psi}{\beta + \xi \psi^* W \psi} \right| < 1.$$
(17)

If $T\psi \neq 0$, by Lemma 3.1 we have $\lambda \neq -1$. Then we can get from the first equation in Eq. (10) that $\phi \neq 0$ and

$$T\psi = \frac{\alpha(\lambda - 1)}{\xi(1 + \lambda)}\phi + W\phi.$$
(18)

Substituting (18) into (16), we can obtain

$$(1-\lambda)\beta - (1+\lambda)\xi\psi^*W\psi = \xi(\lambda+1)(\alpha\frac{\bar{\lambda}-1}{(1+\bar{\lambda})\xi}\phi^*\phi + \phi^*W\phi).$$
(19)

Here, $\overline{\lambda}$ denotes the conjugate of λ . Let $\varsigma = \psi^* W \psi$, $\varphi = \phi^* \phi$, $\chi = \phi^* W \phi$, we can obtain from Eq. (19)

$$\omega\beta + \alpha\bar{\omega}\varphi = \xi(\varsigma + \chi). \tag{20}$$

where $\omega = \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda+1}$. Since $\alpha, \beta, \varsigma, \varphi, \chi, \xi > 0$, from Eq. (20) we have

$$Re(\omega) = \frac{\xi(\varsigma + \chi)}{\beta + \alpha\varphi} > 0.$$
(21)

where $Re(\omega)$ denotes real part of ω . So, we can obtain

$$|\lambda| = \frac{1-\omega}{1+\omega} = \sqrt{\frac{[1-Re(\omega)]^2 + [Im(\omega)]^2}{[1+Re(\omega)]^2 + [Im(\omega)]^2}} < 1.$$
(22)

where $Re(\omega)$ and $Im(\omega)$ denote real part and imaginary part of ω , respectively.

Remark 3.1 [20,22,28]. From Theorem 3.3, we know that the parameter shift-splitting iterative method is convergent unconditionally. However, the convergence of the stationary iteration is typically too slow for the method to be competitive. For this reason, we propose using the Krylov subspace method to accelerate the convergence of the iteration. In particular, Krylov subspace methods apply techniques that involve orthogonal projections onto subspaces of the form

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}, b) \equiv \operatorname{span}\{b, \mathcal{A}b, \mathcal{A}^2b, ..., \mathcal{A}^{n-1}b, ...\}.$$

The conjugate gradient method (CG), minimum residual method (MINRES) and generalized minimal residual method (GMRES) are all common iterative Krylov subspace methods. The CG method is used for symmetric, positive definite matrices, MINRES for symmetric and possibly indefinite matrices and GMRES for unsymmetric matrices.

4 Numerical examples

In this section, we present one example [8] to illustrate the effectiveness of the parameter shift-splitting preconditioner for GMRES(m) method and MINRES to solve the linear systems (3) in the sense of iteration step (denoted as It), elapsed CPU time in seconds (denoted as CPU), and relative residual error (denoted as RES). All numerical examples are carried out in Matlab 7.0. In our experiments, all runs with respect to both GSS method and PSS method are started from initial vector $((x^{(0)})^T, (y^{(0)})^T)^T = 0$, and terminated if the current iteration satisfy RES := $\frac{\|b-Au^{(k)}\|_2}{\|b\|_2} < 10^{-6}$.

Consider the linear system of equations (1) with

$$T = I \otimes V + V \otimes I$$
 and $W = 10(I \otimes V_{C} + V_{C} \otimes I) + 9(e_{1}e_{1}^{T} + e_{l}e_{1}^{T}) \otimes I$,

where $V = \text{tridiag}(-1, 2, -1) \in \mathcal{R}^{l \times l}$, $V_C = V - e_1 e_1^T - e_l e_1^T \in \mathcal{R}^{l \times l}$ and e_1 and e_l are the first and last unit vectors in \mathcal{R}^l , respectively. Here T and K correspond to the five-point centered difference matrices approximating the negative Laplacian operator with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and periodic boundary conditions, respectively, on a uniform mesh in the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with the mesh-size $h = \frac{1}{l+1}$.

In Figs 1 ~ 4, we report the eigenvalue distribution for the generalized shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and the parameter shift-splitting preconditioned matrix for different parameter, respectively. In tables 1 ~ 2, we report iteration counts, relative residual and cpu time about preconditioned matrices $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ with l = 16 and l = 24 when choosing different parameters. Figs 1 ~ 4 and Tables 1 ~ 2 show that the GSS preconditioner and PSS preconditioner have more clustered eigenvalue distribution when choosing different parameters.



Figure 1: The eigenvalue distribution for the generalized shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001$ (the first), the parameter shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.8$ (the second), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.7$ (the third) and $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.5$ (the fourth), respectively. Here, l = 16.



Figure 2: The eigenvalue distribution for the parameter shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.5$ (the first), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.3$ (the second), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.1$ (the third) and $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 1.3$ (the fourth), respectively. Here, l = 16.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, based on the generalized shift-splitting (GSS) preconditioner [23], the author generalizes the corresponding algorithms and further studies the parameter shift-splitting



Figure 3: The eigenvalue distribution for the generalized shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001$ (the first), the parameter shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.8$ (the second), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.7$ (the third) and $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.5$ (the fourth), respectively. Here, l = 24.



Figure 4: The eigenvalue distribution for the parameter shift-splitting preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.5$ (the first), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.3$ (the second), $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 0.1$ (the third) and $\alpha = \beta = 0.001, \xi = 1.3$ (the fourth), respectively. Here, l = 24.

(PSS) preconditioner for complex symmetric linear systems. Moreover, theoretical analysis shows the parameter shift-splitting iterative method is unconditionally convergent. In finally, one example is provided to confirm the effectiveness.

6 Authors' contributions

The author did theoretical analysis, numerical test, text editing and so on.

7 Funding

This research of this author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11226337, 11501525), Scientific Research Team Plan of Zhengzhou University of Aeronautics (23ZHTD01003), Key Scientific Research Projects Plan in Henan Higher Education Institutions (24A170031), Henan Natural Science Foundation (222300420579), Henan science and technology research program (212102110206, 222102110404, 202102310942), Henan College Students' innovation training program (s202110485045) and college students' innovation training program (2021-70), Key projects of colleges and universities in Henan Province(22A880022).

8 Availability of data and materials

The research data of this paper are reflected in images and tables.

\mathcal{A} when choosing different parameters. Here, $i = 10$.								
α	β	ξ	It_{GMRES}	Res_{GMRES}	CPU(s)			
0.001	0.001	1	1(1)	4.0867×10^{-9}	0.105			
0.001	0.001	0.8	1(1)	5.0454×10^{-9}	0.110			
0.001	0.001	0.7	1(1)	8.3407×10^{-9}	0.104			
0.001	0.001	0.5	1(1)	1.6350×10^{-8}	0.109			
0.001	0.001	0.3	1(1)	4.5426×10^{-8}	0.103			
0.001	0.001	0.1	1(1)	4.0932×10^{-7}	0.107			
0.001	0.001	1.1	1(1)	3.3773×10^{-9}	0.103			
0.001	0.001	1.3	1(1)	2.4180×10^{-9}	0.133			

Table 1: Iteration counts, relative residual and CPU time about preconditioned matrices $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when choosing different parameters. Here, l = 16.

Table 2: Iteration counts, relative residual and CPU time about preconditioned matrices $\mathcal{T}_{GSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{PSS}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ when choosing different parameters. Here, l = 24.

		0	1	,	
α	β	ξ	It_{GMRES}	Res_{GMRES}	CPU(s)
0.001	0.001	1	2(1)	1.4192×10^{-8}	0.302
0.001	0.001	0.8	2(1)	1.774×10^{-8}	0.299
0.001	0.001	0.7	2(1)	2.0275×10^{-8}	0.306
0.001	0.001	0.5	2(1)	2.8385×10^{-8}	0.302
0.001	0.001	0.3	2(1)	4.7309×10^{-8}	0.306
0.001	0.001	0.1	2(1)	1.4195×10^{-7}	0.299
0.001	0.001	1.1	2(1)	1.2902×10^{-8}	0.301
0.001	0.001	1.3	2(1)	1.0917×10^{-8}	0.303

9 Conflict of Interest

The author declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- M. S. Alkousa, A. V. Gasnikov, D. M. Dvinskikh, D. A. Kovalev, F. S. Stonyakin, Accelerated Methods for Saddle-Point Problem, *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, 60(11) (2020):1787-1809.
- [2] O. Axelsson, A. Kucherov, Real valued iterative methods for solving complex symmetric linear systems, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 7(4)(2000): 197-218.
- [3] Z.-Z. Bai, G.-H. Golub, M.K. Ng, Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 24 (2003):603-626.
- [4] Z.-Z. Bai, G.H. Golub, M.K. Ng, On inexact Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 428 (2008):413-440.
- [5] Z.-Z. Bai, M. Benzi, F. Chen, Z.-Q. Wang, Preconditioned MHSS iteration methods for a class of block two-by-two linear systems with applications to distributed control problems, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 33(1)(2013): 343-369.

- [6] Z.-Z. Bai, On Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting iteration methods for continuous Sylvester equations, *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 29(2)(2011): 185-198.
- [7] Z.-Z. Bai, M. Benzi, F. Chen, On preconditioned MHSS iteration methods for complex symmetric linear systems, *Numerical Algorithms*, 56(2)(2011): 297-317.
- [8] Z.-Z. Bai, On semi-convergence of Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for singular linear systems, *Computing*, 89(3-4)(2010): 171-197.
- Z.-Z. Bai, M. Benzi, F, Chen, Modified HSS iteration methods for a class of complex symmetric linear systems, *Computing*, 87(3-4)(2010): 93-111.
- [10] Z.-Z. Bai, X.-P. Guo, On Newton-HSS methods for systems of nonlinear equations with positive-definite Jacobian matrices, *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 28(2)(2010): 235-260.
- [11] Z.Z. Bai, Optimal parameters in the HSS-like methods for saddle-point problems, Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications, 16(6)(2009): 447-479.
- [12] Z.-Z. Bai, X. Yang, On HSS-based iteration methods for weakly nonlinear systems, Applied Numerical Mathematics, 59(12)(2009): 2923-2936.
- [13] Z.-Z. Bai, G.H. Golub, L.-Z. Lu, J.-F. Yin, Block triangular and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for positive-definite linear systems, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 26(3)(2005): 844-863.
- [14] Z.-Z. Bai, G.H. Golub, M.K. Ng, On successive-overrelaxation acceleration of the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting iterations, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 14(4)(2007): 319-335.
- [15] Z.-Z. Bai, G.H. Golub, J.-Y. Pan, Preconditioned Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive semidefinite linear systems, *Numerische Mathematik*, 98(1)(2004): 1-32.
- [16] M. Benzi, D. Bertaccini, Block preconditioning of real-valued iterative algorithms for complex linear systems, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 28 (2008):598-618.
- [17] M. Benzi, A generalization of the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting iteration, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 31(2)(2009): 360-374.
- [18] M. Benzi, D. Bertaccini, Block preconditioning of real-valued iterative algorithms for complex linear systems, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 28(3)(2008): 598-618.
- [19] M. Benzi, G.H. Golub, J. Liesen, Numerical solution of saddle point problems, Acta Numerica, 14 (2005):1-137.
- [20] M. Bolten, M. Donatelli, P. Ferrari, I. Furci, Symbol based convergence analysis in multigrid methods for saddle point problems, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 671 (2023):67-108.
- [21] Y. Cao, Z.-R. Ren, Two variants of the PMHSS iteration method for a class of complex symmetric indefinite linear systems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 264 (2015):61-71.
- [22] Y. Cao, J. Du, Q. Niu, Shift-splitting preconditioners for saddle point problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 272 (2014):239-250.
- [23] C.-R. Chen, C.-F. Ma, A generalized shift-splitting preconditioner for complex symmetric linear systems, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 344 (2018):691-700.

- [24] C.-R. Chen, C.-F. Ma, A generalized shift-splitting preconditioner for saddle point problems, Applied Mathematics Letters, 43 (2015):49-55.
- [25] D. Day, M.A. Heroux, Solving complex-valued linear systems via equivalent real formulations, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 23(2)(2001): 480-498.
- [26] G. Karaduman, M. Yang and R.-C. Li, A least squares approach for saddle point problems, Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 40(1) (2023):95-107.
- [27] C.-L. Li, C.-F. Ma, On Euler preconditioned SHSS iterative method for a class of complex symmetric linear systems, *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 53 (2019): 1607-1627.
- [28] C.-L. Li, C.-F. Ma, On semi-convergence of parameterized SHSS method for a class of singular complex symmetric linear systems, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2019, 77(2):466-475.
- [29] C.-L. Li, C.-F. Ma, Efficient parameterized rotated shift-splitting preconditioner for a class of complex symmetric linear systems, *Numerical Algorithms*, 80(2) (2019):337-352.
- [30] C.-L. Li, C.-F. Ma, On Euler-extrapolated Hermitian/skew-Hermitian splitting method for complex symmetric linear systems, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 86 (2018): 42-48.
- [31] L. Li, T.-Z. Huang, X.-P. Liu, Modified Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non- Hermitian positive-definite linear systems, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 14(3)(2007): 217-235.
- [32] J. Li, A modified new matrix splitting preconditioner for double saddle point problems, Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 41(1) (2024):85-103.
- [33] X. Li, A.-L. Yang, Y.-J, Wu, Lopsided PMHSS iteration method for a class of complex symmetric linear systems, *Numerical Algorithms*, 66(3) (2014): 555-568.
- [34] M. Masoudi, D.K. Salkuyeh, Semiconvergence of the extended PSS method for singular generalized saddle point problems, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 99(4) (2022):837-851.
- [35] Y. Saad, Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems, 2nd edn, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2003.
- [36] D.K. Salkuyeh, D. Hezari, V. Edalatpour, Generalized successive overrelaxation iterative method for a class of complex symmetric linear system of equations, *International Journal* of Computer Mathematics, 92 (4) (2015):802-815.
- [37] D.K. Salkuyeh, M. Masoudi, D. Hezari, On the generalized shift-splitting preconditioner for saddle point problems, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 48 (2015):55-61.
- [38] X.-H. Shao, H.-N. Meng, A new generalized variant of the deteriorated PSS preconditioner for nonsymmetric saddle point problems, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications: An International Journal*, 671 (2023):67-108.
- [39] S.-L. Wu, Several variants of the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting method for a class of complex symmetric linear systems, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 22 (2) (2015):338-356.
- [40] A.-L. Yang, J. An, Y.-J. Wu, A generalized preconditioned HSS method for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 216(6)(2010): 1715-1722.

- [41] Q.-Q. Zheng, C.-F. Ma, A class of accelerated parameterized inexact Uzawa algorithms for complex symmetric linear systems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 320 (2018): 547-556.
- [42] Q.-Q. Zheng, C.-F. Ma, Accelerated PMHSS iteration methods for complex symmetric linear systems, Numerical Algorithms, 73(2) (2016): 501-516.
- [43] M.-L. Zeng, C.-F. Ma, A parameterized SHSS iteration method for a class of complex symmetric system of linear equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 71(10) (2016): 2124-2131.