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#### Abstract

Recently, Bai and Zhang [Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications, 2013, 20, 425-439] constructed modulus-based synchronous multisplitting methods by an equivalent reformulation of the linear complementarity problem into a system of fixed-point equations and studied the convergence of them; Li et al. [Journal of Nanchang University (Natural Science), 2013, 37, 307-312] studied synchronous block multisplitting iteration methods; Zhang and Li [Computers and Mathematics with Application, 2014, 67, 1954-1959] analyzed and obtained the weaker convergence results for linear complementarity problems. In this paper, we generalize their algorithms and further study global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multiparameters methods for linear complementarity problems. Furthermore, we give the weaker convergence results of our new method in this paper when the system matrix is a block $H_{+}$-matrix. Therefore, new results provide a guarantee for the optimal relaxation parameters, please refer to [A. Hadjidimos, M. Lapidakis and M. Tzoumas, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 2012, 33, 97-110, (dx.doi.org/10.1137/100811222)], where optimal parameters are determined.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the linear complementarity problem, abbreviated as $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$, for finding a pair of real vectors $r$ and $z \in R^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r:=A z+q \geq 0, z \geq 0 \text { and } \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{Az}+\mathrm{q})=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in R^{n \times n}$ is a given large, sparse and real matrix and $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)^{T} \in R^{n}$ is a given real vector. Here, $z^{T}$ and $\geq$ denote the transpose of the vector $z$ and the componentwise defined partial ordering between two vectors, respectively.

Many problems in scientific computing and engineering applications may lead to solutions of LCPs of the form (1.1). For example, the linear complementarity problem may arise from application problems such as the convex quadratic programming, the Nash equilibrium point of the bimatrix game, the free boundary problems of fluid dynamics etc. (e.g. see $[15,17]$ and the references therein). Some solvers for $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$ with a special matrix $A$ were proposed $[2-8,14,16,20]$. Recently, many people have focused the solver of $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$ with an algebra equation [7-9, 11-14, 16, 20, 29, 33-42]. In particular Bai proposed a modulus-based matrix multisplitting iteration method for solving $\mathrm{LCP}(q, A)$ and presented convergence analysis for the proposed methods; see [7,8]. Zhang and Ren [33] extended the condition of a compatible $H$-splitting to that of an $H$-splitting. Li [27] extended the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method to more general cases. Bai [10] presented parallel matrix block multisplitting relaxation iteration methods and established the convergence theory of these new methods in a thorough manner. Li et al. [28] studied synchronous block multisplitting iteration methods. Zhang and Li [35] generalized Bai and Zhang's methods [1] and studied modulus-based synchronous multisplitting multi-parameters methods for linear complementarity problems.

In this paper, we generalize the methods of Bai and Zhang's [1] and Zhang and Li's [35] from point form to block form according to the modulus-based synchronous multisplitting iteration methods and consider global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters method for solving $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$. Moreover, we give some theoretical analysis and improve some existing convergence results in $[1,28]$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some notations and lemmas. In section 3 , we propose global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters method for solving $\mathrm{LCP}(q, A)$. In section 4 , we give the convergence analysis for the proposed method.

## 2. Notations and Lemmas

In order to study mudulus-based synchronous block multisplitting iteration methods for solving $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$, let us introduce some definitions and lemmas.

A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is called an M-matrix if $a_{i j} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $A^{-1} \geq 0$. The comparison matrix $\langle A\rangle=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ of matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is defined by: $\alpha_{i j}=\left|a_{i j}\right|$, if $i=j ; \alpha_{i j}=-\left|a_{i j}\right|$, if $i \neq j$. A matrix $A$ is called an $H$-matrix if $\langle A\rangle$ is an $M$-matrix and is called an $H_{+}$-matrix if it is an $H$-matrix with positive diagonal entries [29]. Let $\rho(A)$ denote the spectral radius of $A$. A representation $A=M-N$ is called a splitting of $A$ when $M$ is nonsingular. Let $A$ and $B$ be $M$-matrices. If $A \leq B$, then $A^{-1} \geq B^{-1}$. Finally, we define by $R_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \mid x \geq 0, x \in R^{n}\right\}$.

Definition 2.1 ( [10]). Define the set:
(1) $L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)=\left\{A=A_{i j} \in L_{n}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right) \mid A_{i i} \in L\left(R^{n_{i}}\right)\right.$
is nonsingular $(i=1,2, \ldots, p)\}$;
(2) $L_{n, I}^{d}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)=\left\{A=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{11}, A_{22}, \ldots, A_{p p}\right) \mid A_{i i} \in L\left(R^{n_{i}}\right)\right.$
is nonsingular $(i=1,2, \ldots, p)$
Definition 2.2 ( [30]). Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, and (I)-type block comparison matrix $\langle M\rangle=\left(\langle M\rangle_{i j}\right) \in L\left(R^{n}\right)$ and (II)-type block comparison matrix $\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle=$ $\left(\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle_{i j}\right) \in L\left(R^{n}\right)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle M\rangle_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|M_{i i}^{-1}\right\|^{-1}, i=j \\
-\left\|M_{i j}\right\|, i \neq j
\end{array} i, j=1,2, \ldots, p\right. \\
& \langle\langle M\rangle\rangle_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & i=j \\
-\left\|M_{i i}^{-1} M_{i j}\right\|, & i \neq j
\end{array} i, j=1,2, \ldots, p\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
Moreover, based on block matrix $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ and $L \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, let $D(L)=\operatorname{diag}\left(L_{11}, L_{22}, \ldots, L_{p p}\right), B(L)=D(L)-L, J(A)=D(A)^{-1} B(A), \mu_{1}(A)=$ $\rho\left(J_{\langle A\rangle}\right), \mu_{2}(A)=\rho(I-\langle\langle A\rangle\rangle)$, using definition 2.2 , then we easily verify

$$
\langle I-J(A)\rangle=\langle\langle I-J(A)\rangle\rangle=\langle\langle A\rangle\rangle, \mu_{2}(A) \leq \mu_{1}(A)
$$

Definition 2.3 ([30]). Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, if there exist $P, Q \in L_{n, I}^{d}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, such that $\langle P A Q\rangle$ is $M$-matrix, then $A$ is called (I)-type block $H$-matrix $\left(H_{B}^{(I)}(P, Q)\right.$ matrix) about nonsingular block matrices $P, Q$; such that $\langle\langle P A Q\rangle\rangle$ is $M$-matrix, then $A$ is called (II)-type block $H$-matrix $\left(H_{B}^{(I I)}(P, Q)\right.$-matrix) about nonsingular block matrices $P, Q$.
Definition 2.4 ( $[30])$. Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, then $[A]=\left(\left\|M_{i j}\right\|\right) \in L\left(R^{p}\right)$ is called block absolute value of block matrix $A$. Similarly, we may define block absolute value of block vector $x \in V_{n}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n^{p}\right)$ as $[x] \in R^{n}$.

Lemma 2.5 ( [10]). Let $A, B \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right), x, y \in V_{n}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right), \gamma \in R^{1}$, then
(1) $|[A]-[B]| \leq[A+B] \leq[A]+[B](|[x]-[y]| \leq[x+y] \leq[x]+[y]) ;$
(2) $[A B] \leq[A][B]([A x] \leq[A][x])$;
(3) $[\gamma A] \leq|\gamma|[A](\gamma[x] \leq|\gamma|[x])$;
(4) $\rho(A) \leq \rho(|A|) \leq \rho([A])$.

Lemma 2.6( [10]). Let $A, B \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ is $H_{B}^{(I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, then
(1) $A$ is nonsingular;
(2) $\left[(P A Q)^{-1}\right] \leq\langle P A Q\rangle^{-1}$;
(3) $\mu_{1}(P A Q)<1$.

Lemma 2.7 ( [10]). Let $A, B \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ is $H_{B}^{(I I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, then
(1) $A$ is nonsingular;
(2) $\left[(P A Q)^{-1}\right] \leq\langle\langle P A Q\rangle\rangle^{-1}\left[D(P A Q)^{-1}\right]$;
(3) $\mu_{2}(P A Q)<1$.

Definition 2.8 ([10]). Define the set:
(1) $\Omega_{B}^{(I)}(M)=\left\{F=\left(F_{i j}\right) \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right) \mid\left\|F_{i i}^{-1}\right\|=\left\|M_{i i}^{-1}\right\|,\left\|F_{i j}\right\|=\|\right.$ $\left.M_{i j} \|(i \neq j), i, j=1,2, \ldots, p\right\}$;
(2) $\Omega_{B}^{(I I)}(M)=\left\{F=\left(F_{i j}\right) \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right) \mid\left\|F_{i i}^{-1} F_{i j}\right\|=\left\|M_{i i}^{-1} M_{i j}\right\|, i, j=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, p\}$,
express the same mode set of (I)-type and (II)-type associated with the matrix $M=\left(M_{i j}\right) \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, respectively.

Lemma 2.9 ( [18]). Let $A$ be an $H$-matrix. Then $A$ is nonsingular, and $\left|A^{-1}\right| \leq$ $\langle A\rangle^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.10 ([32]). Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in Z^{n \times n}$ which has all positive diagonal entries. $A$ is an M-matrix if and only if $\rho(B)<1$, where $B=D^{-1} C, D=\operatorname{diag}(A), A=$ $D-C$.

Lemma 2.11 ( [4]). $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be an $H_{+}$-matrix. Then, the $L C P(q, A)$ has a unique solution for any $q \in R^{n}$.

Lemma 2.12 ( [7]). Let $A=M-N$ be a splitting of the matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}, \Omega$ be a positive diagonal matrix, and $\gamma$ a positive constant. Then, for the $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$ the following statements hold true:
(i) if $(z, r)$ is a solution of the $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$, then $x=\frac{1}{2} \gamma\left(z-\Omega^{-1} r\right)$ satisfies the implicit fixed-point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Omega+M) x=N x+(\Omega-A)|x|-\gamma q ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) if $x$ satisfies the implicit fixed-point equation (2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma^{-1}(|x|+x) \text { and } r=\gamma^{-1} \Omega(|x|-x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$.

## 3. GRMSBMMAOR methods

At first, we introduce the concept of multisplitting method and the detailed process of parallel iterative method.
$\left\{M_{k}, N_{k}, E_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{l}$ is a multisplitting of block matrix $A$ if

1) $A=M_{k}-N_{k}, \operatorname{det}\left(M_{k}\right) \neq 0$ is a splitting for $k=1,2, \ldots, l$;
2) $E_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(E_{11}^{k}, \ldots, E_{p p}^{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, l$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left\|E_{i i}^{(k)}\right\|=1, i=1,2, \ldots, p$,
where the block matrices $M_{k}, N_{k}, E_{k} \in L_{n}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$, and $\|\bullet\|$ expresses consistent matrix norm satisfying $\|I\|=1\left(I \in L\left(R^{m}\right)\right.$ is an unit matrix $)$.

Given a positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ and a positive constant $\gamma$, form Lemma 2.13, we know that if $x$ satisfies either of the implicit fixed-point equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Omega+M_{k}\right) x=N_{k} x+(\Omega-A)|x|-\gamma q, k=1,2, \ldots, l, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\gamma^{-1}(|x|+x) \text { and } r=\gamma^{-1} \Omega(|x|-x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$.
Based on block matrix $A \in R^{m \times m}$, the corresponding block diagonal matrix is $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{11}, A_{22}, \ldots, A_{p p}\right)$, and $L_{k}$ is block strictly triangular matrix, $U_{k}=D-$
$L_{k}-A$, then $\left(D-L_{k}, U_{k}, E_{k}\right)$ is a multisplitting of block matrix $A \in R^{m \times m}$. With the equivalent reformulations (4), (5) and accelerated over-relaxation (AOR) of the $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$, we can establish the following global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method (GRMSBMMAOR), which is similar to Method 3.1 in [19] and Method 3.1 in [28].
Method 3.1 (The GRMSBMMAOR method for $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$ ).
Let $\left(M_{k}, N_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots l)$ be a multisplitting of the system matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$. Given an initial vector $x^{(0)} \in R^{n}$ for $m=0,1, \ldots$ until the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \subset R_{+}^{n}$ is convergent, compute $z^{(m+1)} \in R_{+}^{n}$ and $x^{(m+1)} \in R_{+}^{n}$ by

$$
z^{(m+1)}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\left|x^{(m+1)}\right|+x^{(m+1)}\right)
$$

and $x^{(m, k)} \in R^{n}$ according to

$$
x^{(m+1)}=\omega \sum_{k=1}^{l} E_{k} x^{(m, k)}+(1-\omega) x^{(m)},
$$

where $x^{(m, k)}, k=1,2, \ldots, l$, are obtained by solving the linear systems
$\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+D-\beta_{k} L_{k}\right) x^{(m, k)}=\left[\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) D+\left(\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right) L_{k}+\alpha_{k} U_{k}\right] x^{(m)}+\alpha_{k}\left[(\Omega-A)\left|x^{(m)}\right|-\gamma q\right]$, $k=1,2, \ldots, l$,
respectively.
Remark 3.1. In Method 3.1, when the coefficient matrix $A$ is point form and $\alpha_{k}=\alpha, \beta_{k}=\beta, \omega=1$, the GRMSBMMAOR method reduces to the modulus-based synchronous multisplitting AOR method (MSMAOR) [1]; When the coefficient matrix $A$ is point form and $\omega=1$, the GRMSBMMAOR method reduces to the modulus-based synchronous multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method (MSMMAOR) [35]; When $\omega=1$, the GRMSBMMAOR method reduces to the modulusbased synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method (MSBMMAOR) [28]; When the parameters $\left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, \omega\right)=\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}, 1\right),(1,1,1)$ and $(1,0,1)$, the GRMSBMMAOR method reduces to the modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters successive over-relaxation (MSBMMSOR), modulusbased synchronous block multisplitting Gauss-Seidel (MSBMGS) and modulusbased synchronous block multisplitting Jacobi (MSBMJ) methods, respectively; When the parameters $\left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, \omega\right)=\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}, \omega\right),(1,1, \omega)$ and $(1,0, \omega)$, the GRMSBMMAOR method reduces to the global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters successive over-relaxation (GRMSBMMSOR), global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting G-S (GRMSBMMGS) and global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting Jacobi (GRMSBMMJ) methods, respectively.

## 4. Convergence analysis

In 2013 , based on the modulus-based synchronous multisplitting AOR method, Bai and Zhang [1] obtained the following results.

Theorem 4.1 ( [1]). Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be an $H_{+-m a t r i x, ~ w i t h ~} D=\operatorname{diag}(A)$ and $B=D-A$, and let $\left(M_{k}, N_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ and $\left(D-L_{k}, U_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ be a multisplitting and a triangular multisplitting of the matrix $A$, respectively. Assume that $\gamma>0$ and the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq D$. If $A=$ $D-L_{k}-U_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ satisfies $\langle A\rangle=D-\left|L_{k}\right|-\left|U_{k}\right|(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$, then the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the MSMAOR iteration method converges to the unique solution $z_{*}$ of $L C P(q, A)$ for any initial vector $z^{(0)} \in R_{+}^{n}$, provided the relaxation parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy

$$
0<\beta \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}|B|\right)}
$$

In 2013, based on the modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting AOR method, Li et al. [28] analyzed the following results.
Theorem 4.2([28]). Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ be a block $H_{B}^{(I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, with $H \in \Omega_{B}^{(I)}(P A Q)$, and let $\left(\bar{M}_{k}, \bar{N}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ and $\left(\bar{D}-\bar{L}_{k}, \bar{U}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=$ $1,2, \ldots, l$ ) be a block mulisplitting and a block triangular multisplitting of block $H$ matrix, respectively. Assume that $\gamma>0$ and the positive matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq$ $D(H)$ and $\operatorname{diag}(\Omega)=\operatorname{diag}(D(H))$. If $H=\bar{D}-\bar{L}_{k}-\bar{U}_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ satisfies $\langle H\rangle=\langle\bar{D}\rangle-\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]-\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]=D_{\langle H\rangle}-B_{\langle H\rangle}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$, then the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the MSBMAOR iteration method converges to the unique solution $z_{*}$ of $L C P(q, A)$ for any initial vector $z^{(0)} \in R_{+}^{n}$, provided the relaxation parameters $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ satisfy

$$
0<\beta \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}
$$

In 2014, based on the modulus-based synchronous multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method, Zhang and Li [35] studied the following results.

Theorem 4.3 ( [35]). Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be an $H_{+}$matrix, with $D=\operatorname{diag}(A)$ and $B=D-A$, and let $\left(M_{k}, N_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ and $\left(D-L_{k}, U_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ be a multisplitting and a triangular multisplitting of the matrix $A$, respectively. Assume that $\gamma>0$ and the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq D$. If $A=$ $D-L_{k}-U_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ satisfies $\langle A\rangle=D-\left|L_{k}\right|-\left|U_{k}\right|(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$, then the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the MSMMAOR iteration method converges to the unique solution $z_{*}$ of $L C P(q, A)$ for any initial vector $z^{(0)} \in R_{+}^{n}$, provided the relaxation parameters $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ satisfy

$$
0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1 \text { or } 0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}|B|\right)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}|B|\right)}
$$

Based global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multiparameters AOR method, we will present a weaker convergence results of the multisplitting methods for the linear complementarity problem when the system matrix is a block $H_{+}$-matrix, which is as follows:

Theorem 4.4. Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ be a block $H_{B}^{(I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, with $H \in \Omega_{B}^{(I)}(P A Q)$, and let $\left(\bar{M}_{k}, \bar{N}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ and $\left(\bar{D}-\bar{L}_{k}, \bar{U}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=$
$1,2, \ldots, l$ ) be a block mulisplitting and a block triangular multisplitting of block $H$ matrix, respectively. Assume that $\gamma>0$ and the positive matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq$ $D(H)$ and $\operatorname{diag}(\Omega)=\operatorname{diag}(D(H))$. If $H=\bar{D}-\bar{L}_{k}-\bar{U}_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ satisfies $\langle H\rangle=\langle\bar{D}\rangle-\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]-\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]=D_{\langle H\rangle}-B_{\langle H\rangle}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$, then the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the GRMSBMMAOR iteration method converges to the unique solution $z_{*}$ of $\operatorname{LCP}(q, A)$ for any initial vector $z^{(0)} \in R_{+}^{n}$, provided the relaxation parameters $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \text { or }  \tag{4.1}\\
& 0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(P A Q)=\rho\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)=\rho\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}\right), \rho^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq l}\left\{1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}, 2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-\right.$ $\left.1,2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right\}$.
Proof. From Lemma 2.11 and (3.3), for the GRMSBMMAOR method, it holds that

$$
\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D}-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right) x_{*}=\left[\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) \bar{D}+\left(\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right) \bar{L}_{k}+\alpha_{k} \bar{U}_{k}\right] x_{*}+\alpha_{k}\left[(\Omega-H)\left|x_{*}\right|-\gamma q\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=1,2, \ldots, l \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting (4.2) from (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(m+1)}-x_{*}= & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l} E_{k}\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D}-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) \bar{D}+\left(\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right) \bar{L}_{k}+\alpha_{k} \bar{U}_{k}\right]\left(x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right) \\
& +\omega \sum_{k=1}^{l} E_{k}\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D} v-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{k}(\Omega-H)\left(\left|x^{(m)}\right|-\left|x_{*}\right|\right)+(1-\omega)\left(x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking absolute values on both sides of the equality (4.3), estimating $\mid\left[x^{(m)}\right]-$ $\left[x_{*}\right] \mid \leq\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]$ and amplifying, we may obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x^{(m+1)}-x_{*}\right] \leq } & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left[\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D}-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|[\bar{D}]+\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]\right]\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]+\omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left[\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D}-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right)^{-1}\right] \alpha_{k}[\Omega-H]\left(\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]\right) \\
& +|1-\omega|\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[\Omega-H]=\langle\Omega\rangle-\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}-B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)$ and $B_{\langle H\rangle}=\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right],[\bar{D}] \geq D_{\langle H\rangle}$, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x^{(m+1)}-x_{*}\right] \leq } & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left[\left(\alpha_{k} \Omega+\bar{D}-\beta_{k} \bar{L}_{k}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|[\bar{D}]+\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{k}[\Omega-H]\right]\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]+|1-\omega|\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] \\
\leq & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left(\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle+D_{\langle H\rangle}-\beta_{k}\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|-\alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|+\alpha_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle\right]\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]+|1-\omega|\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] \\
= & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right] H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]+|1-\omega|\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] \\
= & \left\{\omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right] H_{G R M S B M M A O R}+|1-\omega| I\right\}\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] \\
= & \mathcal{H}_{G R M S B M M A O R}\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right] . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{G R M S B M M A O R}= & \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right] H_{G R M S B M M A O R}+|1-\omega| I, \\
H_{G R M S B M M A O R}= & \left(\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle+D_{\langle H\rangle}-\beta_{k}\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|-\alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}\right.  \tag{4.5}\\
& \left.+\left(\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|+\alpha_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The error relationship (4.4) is the base for proving the convergence of GRMSBMMAOR method. By making use of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, defining $\epsilon^{(m)}=x^{(m)}-x_{*}$ and arranging similar terms together, we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\epsilon^{(m+1)}\right] } & =\left[x^{(m+1)}-x_{*}\right] \\
& \leq \mathcal{H}_{G R M S B M M A O R}\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\left\{\omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right] H_{G R M S B M M A O R}+|1-\omega| I\right\}\left[x^{(m)}-x_{*}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1: Let $0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{k} & =\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle+D_{\langle H\rangle}-\beta_{k}\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right] \\
N_{k}^{1} & =\left(\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|-\alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}+\left(\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|+\alpha_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle  \tag{4.7}\\
& =\left(1-2 \alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}+\left(2 \alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle \\
& =M_{k}-2 \alpha_{k} D_{\langle H\rangle}+2 \alpha_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle} .
\end{align*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{G R M S B M M A O R} & =M_{k}^{-1} N_{k}^{1}=M_{k}^{-1}\left(M_{k}-2 \alpha_{k} D_{\langle H\rangle}+2 \alpha_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right) \\
& =I-2 \alpha_{k} M_{k}^{-1}\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}-B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Through further analysis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq M_{k}^{-1}\left[M_{k}-2 \alpha_{k}\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}-B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)\right] \\
& \leq I-2 \alpha_{k} M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left(I-D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ is a block $H_{B}^{(I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 we know $\mu_{1}(P A Q)=\rho\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)=\rho\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}\right)<1, J_{\epsilon}=J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}$, where $e$ denotes the vector $e=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T} \in R^{n}$. Since $J_{\epsilon}$ is nonnegative, the matrix $J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}$ has only positive entries and irreducible for any $\epsilon>0$. By the PerronFrobenius theorem for any $\epsilon>0$, there is a vector $x_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right) x_{\epsilon}=\rho_{\epsilon} x_{\epsilon},
$$

where $\rho_{\epsilon}=\rho\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right)=\rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)$. Moreover, if $\epsilon>0$ is small enough, we have $\rho_{\epsilon}<1$ by continuity of the spectral radius. Because of $0<\alpha_{k} \leq 1$, we also have $1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho<1$, and $1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}<1$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq I-2 \alpha_{k} M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right)\right] \\
& =I-2 \alpha_{k} M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-J_{\epsilon}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $x_{\epsilon}$ in two sides of the above inequality, and $M_{k}^{-1} \geq D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq x_{\epsilon}-2 \alpha_{k} M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[1-\rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right] x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq x_{\epsilon}-2 \alpha_{k} D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[1-\rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right] x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\left(1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right) x_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on $E_{k}$ and the definition of $[\bullet]$, we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]=I$. By (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{H}_{G R M S M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left(1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq \omega\left(1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq\left(\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|\right) x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\theta_{1} x_{\epsilon}\left(\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{1}=\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|<1$.
Case 2: $0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}$.
Subcase 1: $\alpha_{k} \geq \beta_{k}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{k}^{2} & =\left(\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|-\alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}+\left(\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|+\alpha_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle  \tag{4.8}\\
& =M_{k}-2 D_{\langle H\rangle}+2 \alpha_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle}
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq M_{k}^{-1}\left[M_{k}-2\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}-\alpha_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)\right] \\
& \leq I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left(I-\alpha_{k} D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the Case 1, let $e$ denote the vector $e=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T} \in R^{n}$, and $x_{\epsilon}>0$ such that $J_{\epsilon} x_{\epsilon}=\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right) x_{\epsilon}=\rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right) x_{\epsilon}$. Moreover, if $\epsilon>0$ is small enough, we have $\rho_{\epsilon}<1$ by continuity of the spectral radius. Because of $1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}$, we also have

$$
2 \alpha_{k} \rho-1<1 \text { and } 2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1<1
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-\alpha_{k}\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right)\right] \\
& =I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-\alpha_{k} J_{\epsilon}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $x_{\epsilon}$ in two sides of the above inequality, and $M_{k}^{-1} \geq D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq x_{\epsilon}-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[1-\alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right] x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq x_{\epsilon}-2\left(1-\alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right) x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\left(2 \alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)-1\right) x_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on $E_{k}$ and the definition of $[\bullet]$, we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]=I$. By (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{H}_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left(2 \alpha_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)-1\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq \omega\left(2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq\left(\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|\right) x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\theta_{2} x_{\epsilon}\left(\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{2}=\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|<1$.
Subcase 2: $\alpha_{k}<\beta_{k}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{k}^{3} & =\left(\left|1-\alpha_{k}\right|-\alpha_{k}\right) D_{\langle H\rangle}+\left(\left|\alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}\right|+\alpha_{k}\right)\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]+\alpha_{k}\langle\Omega\rangle \\
& =M_{k}-2 D_{\langle H\rangle}+2 \beta_{k}\left[\bar{L}_{k}\right]+2 \alpha_{k}\left[\bar{U}_{k}\right]  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq M_{k}-2 D_{\langle H\rangle}+2 \beta_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle} .
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq M_{k}^{-1}\left[M_{k}-2\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}-\beta_{k} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right)\right] \\
& \leq I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left(I-\beta_{k} D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} B_{\langle H\rangle}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the Case 1, let $e$ denote the vector $e=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T} \in R^{n}$, and $x_{\epsilon}>0$ such that $J_{\epsilon} x_{\epsilon}=\left(J_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right) x_{\epsilon}=\rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right) x_{\epsilon}$. Moreover, if $\epsilon>0$ is small enough, we have $\rho_{\epsilon}<1$ by continuity of the spectral radius. Because of $0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}$, we also have

$$
2 \beta_{k} \rho-1<1 \text { and } 2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1<1
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] } & \leq I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-\beta_{k}\left(D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}+\epsilon e e^{T}\right)\right] \\
& =I-2 M_{k}^{-1} D_{\langle H\rangle}\left[I-\beta_{k} J_{\epsilon}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $x_{\epsilon}$ in two sides of the above inequality, and $M_{k}^{-1} \geq D_{\langle H\rangle}^{-1}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq x_{\epsilon}-2\left(1-\beta_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)\right) x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\left(2 \beta_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)-1\right) x_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on $E_{k}$ and the definition of $[\bullet]$, we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]=I$. By (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{H}_{G R M S B M M A O R}\right] x_{\epsilon} } & \leq \omega \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left[E_{k}\right]\left(2 \beta_{k} \rho\left(J_{\epsilon}\right)-1\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq \omega\left(2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right) x_{\epsilon}+|1-\omega| x_{\epsilon} \\
& \leq\left(\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|\right) x_{\epsilon} \\
& =\theta_{3} x_{\epsilon}\left(\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{3}=\omega \rho^{\prime}+|1-\omega|<1$.
Remark 4.1. Obviously, from Figure 1, we can find that the conditions of Theorem 4.4 (when $\omega=1$ ) in this paper are weaker than those of Theorem 1 in [23]. Moreover, the parameters can be adjusted suitably so that the convergence property of method can be substantially improved. That is to say, we have more choices for the splitting $A=B-C$ which makes the multisplitting iteration methods converge. Therefore, our convergence theories extend the scope of multisplitting iteration methods in applications.


Figure 1. Comparison of convergence domains in Li et al.'s paper and in this paper. Here, $\rho=\mu_{1}(P A Q)$.
Based on the similar proving process of Theorem 4.4, we can obtain the following convergence results.
Theorem 4.5. Let $A \in L_{n, I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)$ be a block $H_{B}^{(I I)}(P, Q)$-matrix, with $H \in$ $\Omega_{B}^{(I I)}(P A Q)$, and let $\left(\bar{M}_{k}, \bar{N}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ and $\left(\bar{D}-\bar{L}_{k}, \bar{U}_{k}, E_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ be a block mulisplitting and a block triangular multisplitting of block $H$ matrix, respectively. Assume that $\gamma>0$ and the positive matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq D(H)$ and $\operatorname{diag}(\Omega)=\operatorname{diag}(D(H))$. If $\langle\langle H\rangle\rangle=I-\left[\bar{D}^{-1} \bar{L}_{k}\right]-\left[\bar{D}^{-1} \bar{U}_{k}\right]=I-B_{\langle\langle H\rangle\rangle}(k=$ $1,2, \ldots, l)$, then the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the GRMSBMMAOR iteration method converges to the unique solution $z_{*}$ of $L C P(q, A)$ for any initial vector $z^{(0)} \in R_{+}^{n}$, provided the relaxation parameters $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \text { or }  \tag{4.10}\\
& 0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{2}(P A Q)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{2}(P A Q)}, 0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{2}(P A Q)=\rho\left(J_{\langle\langle H\rangle\rangle}\right), \rho^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq l}\left\{1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}, 2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1,2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right\}$.
Remark 4.2. From Table 1, we obviously see that the MSMMAOR method in [1] and the MSBMAOR method in [28] use the same parameters $\alpha, \beta$ in different processors, but the GRMSBMMAOR method in this paper uses different parameters
$\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, l)$ in different processors. Moreover, when computing $x^{(m+1)}$ in Method 3.1, we utilize relaxation extrapolation technique and add a relaxation parameter $\omega$. Therefore, we may choose proper relaxation parameters to increase convergence speed and reduce the computation time when doing numerical experiments. On the other hand, the convergence results in [1] and [28] are $0<\beta \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}|B|\right)}$ and $0<\beta \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}$, respectively, but the convergence results in this paper are $0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}$ or $0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 0<$ $\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}}$, where $\rho^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq l}\left\{1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}, 2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1,2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right\}$. So, our method is not only the generalization of MSMAOR and MSBMAOR methods, but also convergence results of new method are weaker than those of Bai and Zhang's [1] and Li et al.'s [28]. In GRMSBMMAOR method, we may choose proper $E_{k}$ to balance the load of each processor and avoid synchronization.

Table 1. The global relaxed modulus-based synchronous (block) multisplitting multi-parameters method and corresponding convergence results.

| Method | $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, \omega$ | Description | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSMJ | $\alpha_{k}=1, \beta_{k}=0, \omega=1$ | Modulus-based synchronous multisplitting Jacobi method | [1] |
| MSMGS | $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=1, \omega=1$ | Modulus-based synchronous multisplitting Gauss-Seidel method | [1] |
| MSMSOR | $0<\alpha\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\beta\left(\beta_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}\|B\|\right)}, \omega=1$ | Modulus-based synchronous multisplitting SOR method | [1] |
| MSMAOR | $0<\beta\left(\beta_{k}\right) \leq \alpha\left(\alpha_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}\|B\|\right)}, \omega=1$ | Modulus-based synchronous multisplitting AOR method | [1] |
| GRMSMMAOR | $\begin{gathered} 0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \text { or } \\ 0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}\|B\|\right)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\rho\left(D^{-1}\|B\|\right)} \\ 0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \\ \text { where } \rho^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq l}\left\{1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon},\right. \\ \left.2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1,2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right\} \end{gathered}$ | Global relaxed modulus-based synchronous multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method | [32] |
| MBRI | $0<\beta<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, \omega=1$ | Parallel matrix block multisplitting relaxation iteration method | [10] |
| MSBMAOR | $0<\beta \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, \omega=1$ | Modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting AOR method | [25] |
| GRMSBMMAOR | $\begin{gathered} 0<\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1,0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \text { or } \\ 0<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)}, 1<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(P A Q)} \\ 0<\omega<\frac{2}{1+\rho^{\prime}} \\ \text { where } \rho^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq l}\left\{1-2 \alpha_{k}+2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon},\right. \\ \left.2 \beta_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1,2 \alpha_{k} \rho_{\epsilon}-1\right\} \end{gathered}$ | Global relaxed modulus-based synchronous block multisplitting multi-parameters AOR method | this paper |
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